

WAYS AND MEANS
COMMITTEE
of the
SUFFOLK COUNTY LEGISLATURE
Minutes

A regular meeting of the Ways and Means Committee of the Suffolk County Legislature was held in the Rose Y. Caracappa Legislative Auditorium of the William H. Rogers Legislature Building, Veterans Memorial Highway, Smithtown, New York, on Thursday, February 25, 2016.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Legislator Bridget Fleming - Chairwoman
Legislator William Spencer - Vice-Chairman
Legislator Kate Browning
Legislator Robert Calarco
Legislator Robert Trotta
Legislator Monica Martinez

MEMBERS NOT PRESENT:

Legislator Tom Cilmi

ALSO IN ATTENDANCE:

Amy Ellis - Chief Deputy Clerk of the Legislature
George Nolan - Counsel to the Legislature
Debbie Harris - Aide to Legislator Stern
Robert Lipp - Deputy Director - Budget Review Office
Laura Halloran - Budget Review Office
Katie Horst - County Executive's Office
Amy Keyes - Economic Development and Planning
Rick Brand, Newsday
All other interested parties

MINUTES TAKEN BY:

Gabrielle Severs - Court Stenographer

(The meeting was called to order at 1:04 p.m.)

CHAIRWOMAN FLEMING:

Good afternoon, everyone, and welcome to a regular meeting of the Ways and Means committee. We'll begin with the Pledge of Allegiance led by Legislator Browning.

(Salutation)

Welcome, everyone. Just as a preliminary matter, I know there were some discussions about the start time of this committee. It used to be earlier, and I know there were some members who were interested in exploring moving it back to 12:30. I don't know if anybody has any strong feelings about that. I think we thought that it was because Health started at 2:00 that we needed that extra time, but if Health starts at 2:30, we still have an hour and a half.

LEG. SPENCER:

Health did start at 2:00, but what happens is with the 2:30 -- and I'd like the consideration --

MS. ELLIS:

Can you please use the mike?

LEG. SPENCER:

If I may, Madam Chair, for a moment. I think the consideration was that typically our Public Safety meetings, there's a lot of important issues, and there wasn't much time for a lunch break. Public Safety used to start at 10:00, and they moved it back to 9:30. The problem with starting Health committee at 2:30 is that 4:00 typically seems to be a time where a lot of members need to get out, and they sometimes will say -- and pretty regularly I need to get out by 4:00, so we had a 2:00 start time, but if the later start time is better, I just wanted to see if we could explore the possibility of the earlier time.

CHAIRWOMAN FLEMING:

Yeah, I appreciate that. I don't know if anyone has a strong objection to moving it back to 12:30, the way it used to be. Let's talk about it off the record, and we'll make a decision within the next couple weeks.

LEG. SPENCER:

Thank you for your consideration.

CHAIRWOMAN FLEMING:

Thank you, Doc.

We have no correspondence. Just moving right to Public Portion, I don't think we have any cards.

MS. ELLIS:

No, we do not.

CHAIRWOMAN FLEMING:

But if anyone would like to be heard -- yes, please come on up to the podium. So you have the three minutes at the podium.

MR. CAIN:

Good afternoon, Members of the Legislature. My name is Michael Cain. I'm the chairman of the Colonial Woods Whispering Pines Community Concerns Committee. We're a community of 544 homeowners located in Yaphank, and I've lived in that community for 31 years.

I'd like to just make a few remarks with regard to Legislator Browning's proposal, the resolution presented to you of which I have a copy. Just want to give you an idea as to where we stand on this. The resolution is needed. We're in a position in Yaphank where we have -- already have a number of installations, let's call it. We have the Brookhaven landfill, we have Long Island compost, we have the Brookhaven Rail Terminal, and this particular situation of taking down additional trees is not well-advised. We're in a situation where, as you -- I'm sure you know, the southern beetle is destroying pine trees throughout the central pine barrens, and the infestation threatens thousands and thousands of trees. To take healthy trees down to accommodate a solar farm, which really should be installed in industrial areas or in parking lots, as you have across the street here, it just makes no sense to take the trees down at this particular point in time.

We do support the plan to have a coordinated, organized master plan for the government-owned, county-owned property in Yaphank, something that is long overdue, and we certainly support Legislator Browning's plan to move forward with a committee that would involve representatives from not only our community but environmentalists and government representatives as well. So thank you very much for your time. We appreciate it; and thank Legislator Browning for presenting this to you for your consideration. Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN FLEMING:

Thank you, Mr. Cain. Is there anyone else who would like to be heard? Three minutes at the podium. So seeing no further public comments, I'll -- do I need to move to close public portion?

MR. NOLAN:

No.

CHAIRWOMAN FLEMING:

So we don't have presentations today, so we'll move straight to the resolution. The first tabled resolution is **resolution 1005-2016** -- I believe it was what Mr. Cain was referring to -- **Establishing a committee to maximize use of County property in Yaphank (Browning)**. Legislator Browning.

LEG. BROWNING:

I'll make a motion to approve.

CHAIRWOMAN FLEMING:

We have a motion and a second. Is there discussion? On the motion, I would just like to make a note. I do agree that it's a good idea to move forward in a thorough planning approach to such a large parcel. I do endorse and support moving aggressively on large-scale solar, however, and I recognize the obstacles that so often arise in private installation, so I do hope that this can move forward with some kind of alternative energy installed. I don't support large-scale clearing to install alternative energy systems, but I believe that a thorough planning process is called for and sensible. And I would just note that I think Legislator Browning has made some changes based on her original proposal that were made to the goals of the committee so that the solar project can continue to move in its planning and design stages along with the planning. So if it turns out that there are more appropriate sites that don't involve that kind of clearing, that those might be available, but that we're still looking to aggressively move forward on alternative energy, and I do really appreciate that, so I'm certainly interested in supporting it. I don't know if anyone else wants to be heard.

LEG. BROWNING:

Yeah, on this, I had -- thank you, Joe. Joe Schroeder. I may have some questions for you also. But if you take a look at the map, it shows the county property. At one time, we had about 400

acres of open space, and if you take a look at what we have left, undeveloped land is about 197 left. To the left, you'll see the property that was sold to Brookhaven Rail Terminal, guaranteed very shortly, and at some point in time, that land is going to be cleared, all those trees are going to be gone. And I'm looking at -- I have to tell you, when I first got this from our planning department and I saw this, I got really upset and very depressed about it because we had so much land that a very small piece of it we preserved, and I know that a lot of this property -- the majority of this property was taken by eminent domain or purchased many years ago for future development of county facilities, and I think what's important is that we need to take stock of what do we have, what are our current holdings here in Suffolk County? What are the buildings like that we currently have? Is there a possibility that someday the Dennison Building might have to move? I can tell you, going to the police headquarters, police headquarters is a mess. That building may need to be rebuilt.

So I'm all about -- I'm not saying well shouldn't be doing the solar project. What I'm saying is let's take stock of what we have, put a real plan in place, and I know that there was talk about this master plan during this legacy village project, but there was nothing about a Brookhaven Rail Terminal in that legacy project when they were doing that. There was nothing about a massive solar project, so they're not even adhering to that so-called plan that they have in the past. I think we really need to take stock of what property we own, what our potential uses and needs may be for county purposes: buildings, whatever, FRES might need to expand, the jail might need to expand. So, you know, I hear about, you know, the Wyandanch Rising and all of these developments, and there is so much planning and preparation, and this is just a willy-nilly, oh, look, we got some open space here, let's do it here because it's going to cost us less; because we don't have to do it in the parking lot, it's going to cost us less than knocking down trees. It's going to cost less to knock down trees than to do it in a parking lot.

So I don't think there's really a lot of forethought and real planning, and this is what this committee will do to come up with a true plan to figure out what we need to do and what we -- when none of us are here, because I would hate to think 20 years from now that they are going to be looking to build new facilities for the county, new buildings, and they'll say, Oh, yeah, remember we used to have 400 acres in Yaphank and we got rid of it and now we have to buy land, so they should be thinking about taxpayers and what the needs are going to be in the future because 20 years from now, land is going to be a hell of a lot more money than what it is today.

So do I support putting the solar project? I have met with Solar City once, and they have come back with some changes. We'll continue to talk to them and come up with something and some other thoughts have come up that I think it's important that we should also discuss other property that is cleared here to consider that for solar, but I do see that there is no real plan in place for this property for future development, possibly, or possibly need to preserve because we know today more than what we did then. Trading green for green is not smart. Town of Brookhaven is doing a lot of solar projects, and they are not knocking down one tree, and I think maybe we should be looking at the Town of Brookhaven as an example of the smart way to do it.

So, Joe, I do have a question for you. I know at the last meeting we tabled this, and there was some requests that you had made for information. Have you received everything that you have asked and gotten all the answers to all your questions?

MR. SCHROEDER:

Following the meeting we had in December, I met with a representative from DPW who had been involved in this project. We sent a joint request for information over to Solar City. I did not receive a response to that request with the material that I requested. I did receive a number of PDF printouts of a computer program that didn't address the inquiry that I had. I subsequently filed another request with them, and I'm still waiting for that response. I was told they were working on a

response.

LEG. BROWNING:

Can you give us some idea of what the questions were that you had that you never got answers on?

MR. SCHROEDER:

Well, I had submitted questions to Solar City about the level of energy that was going to be produced based on the orientation and the tilt of the panels they were planning. In one particular case, I noted that they had proposed a project on the roof of the Riverhead center, which is a flat roof, and the building orientation is to the southwest. The planned installation was to install half of the panels facing southeast and half of the panels facing northwest, and I had inquired as to whether or not they had considered a southerly orientation for the panels. That was one of the questions that I had asked.

They, in their most recent response to you, have indicated that they are no longer interested in installing on the roof of the Riverhead center, so I'm not sure why that is, but there were other questions relating to an ongoing redline version of a contract that's with the county attorney's office. There were questions relating to the Solar City company installing secondary meters on buildings that we already have utility meters on. I suggested that that might present a problem in reconciling billing between what we get from the utility and what we get from Solar City, and I haven't received any response to that. There was a proposal in addition to the solar panels to install battery storage as a backup technology. The proposed purpose of that was to reduce the man charges. I've requested analysis relating to that proposal but have not received any information yet. I also requested information comparing the actual billing that we have in the same format of the proposed adjusted billing that we would realize with the Solar City solar panels and again with the Solar City solar panels and the battery backup. I have not received response to that request. That is the latest request that I put to them that they said they were working on.

In the meantime, they sent you a proposal with projected savings for both the solar panels and the battery backup, so I'm not sure on what information they're basing their response to you, but I have not received the information that I have requested yet. There were a number of other questions that were posed relating to the redline contract. I don't have that information in front of me.

LEG. BROWNING:

And I guess, Katie, if you can find out why he's not getting what he's asking for, because Joe is the person we depend on to give us the right information and to answer our questions, and I feel it's very foolish for us to make decisions on something when we are not being properly informed. So, anyway, I think I've had enough to say.

CHAIRWOMAN FLEMING:

Ms. Bizzarro from the county attorney's office.

MS. BIZZARRO:

Thank you very much, and welcome, Chairwoman Fleming. I don't believe we've ever met.

CHAIRWOMAN FLEMING:

Thank you. I appreciate it.

MS. BIZZARRO:

Yes, I'm the chief deputy. Thank you. I just have a comment. I'm not really looking at the substance of the bill. My only comment is in regard to paragraph 13, and I mean what I'm hearing here is that the intent of the bill is not to stop Solar City project, but the way that paragraph reads, it indicates that no project can be used, sold, or leased at the Yaphank county center, and it cannot

receive final approval from any county department, office, or agency. And the problem with the language is that CEQ has not been finalized for Solar City yet, and most projects that are going to come before you would require CEQ determination so I can see that unfortunately paragraph 13 would stop the Solar City project from moving forward.

However, as I said, I'm hearing on the record that that does not seem to be the intent of the bill, and I think if the record is made clear, the record throughout here and at the full legislature, that that is not the intent of the bill, that the intent is that all county departments and agencies and offices can proceed forward to the end in doing whatever research it has to do in making whatever determinations it has to make, and it would run concurrent with whatever committee that is set up under this bill that this can then all be presented to the legislature and then the legislature has that opportunity to vote up or down whatever projects come before you that are on the Yaphank county center property, so that's my concern.

CHAIRWOMAN FLEMING:

Is it only the CEQ approval that you see might meet an obstacle based on the language? Because, the reason, I found this language helpful from the perspective of someone who wants to see aggressive movement forward on solar installations because I think this 13 can be read to authorize a parallel process, and while the master plan is being developed by the committee and it's a six-month-plus-30-days on either side process, does all this back and forth, and we heard about from Joe and the adjustments that they've made with regard to clearing considerations can be moving forward. So is it only CEQ that you see is going to be an obstacle here?

MS. BIZZARRO:

That's the one that I see that can be a problem. I don't know what else might be in the pipeline or in the process that could stall as a result of this language, but again I want to repeat that it's made clear that that is not the intent of the language, that the language is really supposed to have this double track whereby the committee's doing its jobs and all the county departments and agencies and offices are doing their jobs and everyone is moving toward the end, ultimately those two areas are going to have conclusions and recommendations and they will present it to you versus committee -- well, they will present it to the county legislature, and then the county legislature will have the opportunity up or down on whatever projects they are, so I only thought as CEQ as being a problem. I don't know if there's anything else; there could be.

CHAIRWOMAN FLEMING:

I think you are hearing that, but let's see what Mr. Nolan says.

MR. NOLAN:

I was just going to say that, you know, this is the major change -- one of the major changes Legislator Browning made from the original version that she introduced last year, which is people said, Hey, we don't want everything to grind to a halt at Yaphank including this Solar City project while this group is meeting and preparing their report, so this is intended to allow certain things, planning, to move forward on projects and particularly Solar City. In terms of CEQ, I don't really consider CEQ giving an approval to a project but rather doing an evaluation of the environmental impact and how it should be classified from a SEQRA standpoint. So, myself, I'm not concerned about the CEQ aspect being a problem here.

CHAIRWOMAN FLEMING:

Does that answer your question?

MS. BIZZARRO:

Yes, it does. I'm just looking to have it clear on the record that all the departments, agencies, and offices can move forward to conclusion, make whatever determinations they have to make, make

whatever approvals they have to make, but that they can ultimately give it to the legislature to vote on for all projects, not only the Solar City project.

CHAIRWOMAN FLEMING:

Okay. We'll let the language speak for itself on that, but I appreciate your points, yeah.

LEG. BROWNING:

The last thing, we get to vote on this, but it seems to me this is coming to us willy-nilly with no real plan for this property, period, and that's all we're saying. When Wyandanch Rising was proposed, how much planning went into place before it happened? And granted, this may not be as large scale, but you're looking at where do you want to do it, how do you want to do it, and what's the smart way to do it? Ronkonkoma Hub, any project that's being done. But what's happened here in Yaphank is, okay, for the one-shot, We're going to sell off 250 acres to the Brookhaven Rail Terminal, and we're going to sell this, and we're going to get rid of that, and I think it's important because this committee will be looking at space management to help us to say what's our need, what might be our future need, and that's the smart thing to do, and how much of this space can we use to put solar, if any. Maybe we'll come back and say this was a bad idea. We all want to see solar, but do we want to see it done, yes, but we want to see it done right and we want to see it done smart, and so I think it's important to look at this property and be smart about it not just for us today but for the taxpayers 20, 30, 40 years from now.

CHAIRWOMAN FLEMING:

Legislator Spencer.

LEG. SPENCER:

Thank you. I remember at the end of the year, we discussed this bill and I had asked you to make changes and you made the changes, and you let me review them, and that was my biggest concern, so it makes my remarks very brief because of, you know, I did have the concern that I did. I think it's important to have planning so that we kind of know where we're going, and I think Legislator Browning in particular, being the legislator in the district where there are a lot of county holdings that sometimes there's a disproportionate amount of land that are sometimes being used or planned in your district, and you've been a great representative for your constituents, and I respect that and we should do everything we can to help you continue in that.

I really was concerned that I didn't want to have legislation in place that created gridlock but legislation in place that allowed for responsible planning but allowed also that if there was a situation where we needed to make moves that is in the best interest of the county that we would have the flexibility to be able to do that. Because you can submit a plan for 10, 15 years down the road, but there are unexpected things that may come up, and it seems that in the design of your committee if you allow for a process that will do that and it doesn't currently stop us looking at alternative interviews, which is, I think, very important.

So I respect what you've done. I did give you my word; you make the change, and I'll be supporting it.

CHAIRWOMAN FLEMING:

Anybody else? So there's a motion and a second. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? Motion carries. **Approved (VOTE: 6-0-0-1, Not Present: Cilmi)**

Moving to Introductory Resolutions, **1047, Authorizing a certain technical correction to Adopted Resolution No. 1117-2015 (County Executive).**

LEG. CALARCO:

Motion.

CHAIRWOMAN FLEMING:

Is that a motion to approve on the consent calendar?

LEG. CALARCO:

Yes.

CHAIRWOMAN FLEMING:

I have a motion from Legislator Calarco. Is there a second? Second Legislator Martinez.

Approved/Consent (VOTE: 6-0-0-1, Not Present: Cilmi).

1048, Authorizing a certain technical correction to Adopted Resolution No. 1118-2015 (County Executive). Same motion, same second, same vote. **Approved/Consent (VOTE: 6-0-0-1, Not Present: Cilmi)**

1083, Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act to -- I think these resolutions have the word "to" -- **Austin Jang (SCTM No. 0400-259.05-01.00-049.000) (County Executive).** Is there a motion?

LEG. SPENCER:

I'll make a motion to approve and place on the consent calendar.

CHAIRWOMAN FLEMING:

Seconded by Legislator Calarco. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? **Approved/Consent (VOTE: 6-0-0-1, Not Present: Cilmi).**

Oh, I'm sorry. Did you want to be heard?

LEG. TROTТА:

No, no.

CHAIRWOMAN FLEMING:

Okay. Moving along. **1084, Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Grace Caputo (SCTM No. 0100-127.00-03.00-070.000) (County Executive).** Same motion, same second. All in favor? All opposed? Any abstentions? That's approved. **Approved/Consent (VOTE: 6-0-0-1, Not Present: Cilmi)**

1085, Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act to Kenneth Sitterley (SCTM No. 0400-070.00-04.00-005.000) (County Executive). Same motion, same second. All in favor? All opposed? Any abstentions? Approved. **Approved/Consent (VOTE: 6-0-0-1, Not Present: Cilmi)**

1086, Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Pine Tree Development, LLC (SCTM No. 0200-836.00-01.00-031.001) (County Executive). Same motion, same second, same vote. That's approved. **Approved/Consent (VOTE: 6-0-0-1, Not Present: Cilmi)**

1087, Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Pine Tree Development, LLC (SCTM No. 0200-836.00-01.00-031.003) (County Executive). Same motion, same second, same vote. Approved/Consent Calendar (VOTE: 6-0-0-1, Not Present: Cilmi)

1088, Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Raul Gonzalez (SCTM No. 0500-247.00-03.00-052.000) (County Executive). Same motion, same second, same vote. 1088 is approved. Approved/Consent (VOTE: 6-0-0-1, Not Present: Cilmi).

1089, Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Sarachel Development, Ltd. And Phelan Properties, LLC (SCTM No. 0200-836.00-01.00-030.001) (County Executive). Same motion, same second, same vote. That's approved. Approved/Consent (VOTE: 6-0-0-1, Not Present: Cilmi).

1090, Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Sarachel Development, Ltd. And Phelan Properties, LLC (SCTM No. 0200-836.00-01.00-031.002) (County Executive). Same motion, same second, same vote. 1090 is approved. Approved/Consent (VOTE: 6-0-0-1, Not Present: Cilmi).

1091, Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Thomas J. Ammann (SCTM No. 0209-036.00-02.00-014.000) (County Executive). Same motion, same second, same vote. That is approved. Approved/Consent (VOTE: 6-0-0-1, Not Present: Cilmi).

These are all moving to the consent calendar; right, George?

MR. NOLAN:

Yes.

CHAIRWOMAN FLEMING:

Okay. **1101, Authorizing the transfer of certain properties to the Suffolk County Department of Economic Development and Planning, Division of Real Property Acquisition and Management (the Northern part of which having been assigned the Suffolk County Tax Map Identification Number of District 0200 Section 140.00 Block 04.00 Lot 030.000) (County Executive).** Is there a motion?

LEG. SPENCER:

Motion.

CHAIRWOMAN FLEMING:

Is there a question with regard to this? Okay. I know Real Estate is here. Amy, can you explain to us? I think there's a question with regard to the tax map identification number, which I was so happy to read.

MS. KEYES:

Hi. Amy Keyes from Economic Development and Planning. The tax map number applies to the most northern part of the overall 25 acres. It was subdivided previous to this transfer, and that's how it was identified a tax map number.

CHAIRWOMAN FLEMING:

And the remaining part of the parcel is not identified because?

MS. KEYES:

It's in DPW and it is as highway. It just hasn't been assigned, was never recorded, hasn't been assigned a tax map number.

CHAIRWOMAN FLEMING:

And the purpose of the transfer?

MS. KEYES:

So DPW is periodically -- and Bill Hillman is here from DPW. If there are any specific questions about their process come up, I would defer to him. DPW is periodically reviewing their surplus properties and identifying some that they think may be of potential value for a potential sale to the county, and at that point suggesting them for a transfer to Real Estate so that we can evaluate it and come back to you with a potential disposition strategy for each individual parcel.

CHAIRWOMAN FLEMING:

And this is 25 undeveloped acres --

MS. KEYES:

Right.

CHAIRWOMAN FLEMING:

But we, the legislature, would have to approve any plan for --

MS. KEYES:

For any portion of it, whether it was a transfer, a sale.

CHAIRWOMAN FLEMING:

And it's one contiguous parcel, 25 acres --

MS. KEYES:

Yes, 25 acres. So it start in Mt. Sinai at 25A in between two private roads. There's a map -- that's not, like, the best way to identify it, but there was a map attached. It's a long, skinny parcel. It's a right-of-way.

CHAIRWOMAN FLEMING:

Oh, it's a right-of-way.

MS. KEYES:

Yes.

CHAIRWOMAN FLEMING:

Is there a motion?

LEG. SPENCER:

Motion.

CHAIRWOMAN FLEMING:

Is there a second.

LEG. CALARCO:

Doc made the motion. I seconded.

CHAIRWOMAN FLEMING:

Okay. Motion by Legislator Spencer. Seconded by Legislator Calarco. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? Motion carries. **Approved (VOTE: 6-0-0-1, Not Present: Cilmi)**

1120, Appropriating funds in connection with the Upgrading of the Court Minutes Application (CP 1681) (Gregory). Motion by Legislator Spencer. Seconded by Legislator Calarco. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? It carries. **Approved (VOTE: 6-0-0-1, Not Present: Cilmi).**

1122, Accepting and appropriating 100% New York State Local Government Records Management Improvement Fund Grant for Disaster Recovery purposes (Gregory). I'll make a motion to approve and place on the consent calendar. Second by Legislature Browning. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? **Approved/Consent Calendar (VOTE: 6-0-0-1, Not Present: Cilmi).**

1123, Accepting and appropriating 100% New York State Local Government Records Management Improvement Fund Grant for Records Management Programs (Gregory). I'll make the same motion, same second. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? That carries. **Approved/Consent Calendar (VOTE: 6-0-0-1, Not Present: Cilmi).**

1124, Authorizing the County Clerk to file an application for additional State Mortgage Tax reimbursement (Gregory). Motion by Legislator Spencer. Seconded by Legislator Calarco. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? Carries. **Approved (VOTE: 6-0-0-1, Not Present: Cilmi)**

1125, Appropriating funds in connection with the Optical Disk Imaging System (CP 1751) (Gregory).

LEG. CALARCO:

Motion.

LEG. SPENCER:

Second.

CHAIRWOMAN FLEMING:

Motion by Legislator Calarco. Seconded by Legislator Spencer. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? **Approved (VOTE: 6-0-0-1, Not Present: Cilmi).**

1138, Authorizing transfer of surplus sound equipment to Star Playhouse, Suffolk YJCC (Stern). I believe these can go on the consent calendar as well; can they?

MR. NOLAN:

Yes, they can.

CHAIRWOMAN FLEMING:

Okay. Motion by Legislator Spencer. Seconded by Legislator Trotta. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? It's not personal. I'm just trying to do it right. I'm a little overwhelmed, Rob. Motion carries. Any abstentions? Motion carries. **Approved/Consent (VOTE: 6-0-0-1, Not Present: Cilmi).**

1139, Authorizing transfer of surplus sound equipment to Kiwanis Club of Three Village-Brookhaven Township (Hahn). Same motion, same second. All in favor? All

opposed? Any abstentions? That carries. **Approved/Consent (VOTE: 6-0-0-1, Not Present: Cilmi).**

1140, Authorizing transfer of surplus sound equipment to the Bellport Hagerman East Patchogue Alliance, Inc. (Browning). Same motion, same second. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? That carries. **Approved/Consent (VOTE: 6-0-0-1, Not Present: Cilmi).**

1141, Authorizing transfer of surplus sound equipment to the Pattersquash Creek Civic Association (Browning). Same motion, same second. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? 1141 carries. **Approved/Consent (VOTE: 6-0-0-1, Not Present: Cilmi).**

1142, Authorizing transfer of surplus sound equipment to the Performing Arts Center of Suffolk County (Browning). Same motion, same second. All in favor? All opposed? Any abstentions? Am I going too fast?

MS. SEVERS:

No.

LEG. SPENCER:

No.

CHAIRWOMAN FLEMING:

That carries. **Approved/Consent (VOTE: 6-0-0-1, Not Present: Cilmi).**

Well, I was asking the reporter. It's her fingers.

(Laughter)

1144, Authorizing transfer of surplus sound equipment to New Jerusalem Baptist Church (Martinez). Same motion, same second. All in favor? All opposed? Any abstentions? That carries. **Approved/Consent (VOTE: 6-0-0-1, Not Present: Cilmi).**

1145, Authorizing transfer of surplus sound equipment to the Southampton Youth Bureau (Fleming). Same motion, same second. All in favor? All opposed? Any abstentions? This carries. **Approved/Consent (VOTE: 6-0-0-1, Not Present: Cilmi).**

1146, Authorizing transfer of surplus sound equipment to the North Shore Youth Council (Anker). Same motion, same second. All in favor? All opposed? Any abstentions? Approved. **Approved/Consent (VOTE: 6-0-0-1, Not Present: Cilmi).**

1147, Authorizing the reconveyance of County-owned real estate pursuant to Section 215, New York State County Law to Jay Schmitt (Spencer).

LEG. SPENCER:

Motion.

CHAIRWOMAN FLEMING:

Motion by Legislator Spencer. Seconded by Legislator Calarco. All in favor? All opposed? Any abstentions? 1147 carries. **Approved (VOTE: 6-0-0-1, Not Present: Cilmi).**

1152, Authorizing agreement with Pasquale Vitagliano for the sale of a portion of a tax deed parcel identified as (SCTM No. 0500-441.00-01.00-058.004) pursuant To § 215(8) of the New York County Law (Barraga). Is there a motion?

LEG. BROWNING:

What does it mean by a portion of the tax code?

MR. NOLAN:

This is underwater property, I believe, in West Islip and is a county-owned parcel that is going to be subdivided, and one of the pieces will be given to the adjacent owner so he can build a dock. The property's been appraised, and we're going to get --

LEG. BROWNING:

Literally underwater?

MR. NOLAN:

Literally underwater.

CHAIRWOMAN FLEMING:

Does that answer your question?

LEG. BROWNING:

Yes.

CHAIRWOMAN FLEMING:

Okay. Is there a motion? I'll make a motion to approve. Seconded by Legislator Spencer. All in favor? All opposed? Any abstentions? It's approved. **Approved (VOTE: 6-0-0-1, Not Present: Cilmi).**

There are no procedural motions. We have no executive session material today. We are adjourned. Thank you.

(The meeting was adjourned at 1:41 p.m.)