

**WAYS & MEANS COMMITTEE**  
**OF THE**  
**SUFFOLK COUNTY LEGISLATURE**  
**MINUTES**

A meeting of the Ways & Means Committee of the Suffolk County Legislature was held in the Rose Y. Caracappa Legislative Auditorium of the William H. Rogers Legislature Building, 725 Veterans Memorial Highway, Smithtown, New York on November 12, 2015 at 12:30 p.m.

**MEMBERS PRESENT:**

Legislator Steven H. Stern - Chairman  
Legislator William Spencer - Vice Chair  
Legislator Kate M. Browning  
Legislator Robert Calarco  
Legislator Robert Trotta

**ALSO IN ATTENDANCE:**

Jason Richberg - Clerk/Suffolk County Legislature  
George Nolan - Counsel to the Suffolk County Legislature  
Laura Halloran - Budget Review Office  
Bill Shilling - Aide to Legislator Calarco  
Debbie Harris - Aide to Legislator Stern  
Greg Moran - Aide to Legislator Trotta  
Wayne Thompson - Land Specialist/Environment and Energy  
Jason Smagin - County Attorney's Office  
Katie Horst - County Executive's Office  
All Other Interested Parties

**MINUTES TAKEN BY:**

Gabrielle Severs - Court Stenographer

**MINUTES TRANSCRIBED BY:**

Kim Castiglione - Legislative Secretary

(\*The meeting was called to order at 1:58 p.m. \*)

**CHAIRMAN STERN:**

Welcome everyone to the committee on Way and Means. I'm going to ask everybody to please rise and join us in the Pledge of Allegiance led by Legislator Spencer.

***Salutation***

I'm going to ask everybody to please remain standing and join us in a moment of silence as we keep all of our brave men and women fighting for our freedoms overseas in our thoughts and prayers.

***Moment of Silence Observed***

So just a couple of comments before we go to the agenda. First of all, Mr. Clerk, I do not see any cards.

**MR. RICHBERG:**

I have no cards, Mr. Chair.

**CHAIRMAN STERN:**

All right. Is there anybody here with us this afternoon that would like to address the committee? Seeing none, just to let everybody know that we will not have Executive Session today, so that will have us begin the agenda. Beginning with tabled resolutions.

**Tabled Resolutions**

***IR 1513 - Directing the Suffolk County Traffic and Parking Violations Agency to institute a Payment Plan Program for parking tickets (Hahn).***

Motion by Legislator Browning. Second by Legislator Calarco. Anybody on the motion? Legislator Browning.

**LEG. BROWNING:**

Real quick. We just had Mr. Margiotta here, and that was an issue that has come to my attention, is the fact that the people who are being hurt most because they can't afford to pay these fines are the people who don't make money and don't have a lot of money. I mean, probably each and every one of us could probably pay that fine once we get it, however, you have some young kid or a single mom who's making 20, \$30,000 a year, making a choice between the milk and the bread on the table and paying a ticket. And so I think out of fairness we should allow a program. I was in the DWI Court and Judge Dunn was giving up to a year to pay a ticket, so why should we be any different here compared to the DWI Court? So that's why I'm supporting it.

**CHAIRMAN STERN:**

Okay. Anybody else? Legislator Spencer.

**LEG. SPENCER:**

Thank you. I am sympathetic to this and I agree with Legislator Browning that people who need to have some relief we should do everything we can to provide them with that relief, and what I would hope is there would be a way where there are those that don't need a payment plan that would be in a situation where that they have a fine and say *You know what? Too bad, I'm just going to -- I'll take the payment plan and let the County wait on their money.* If there was some mechanism, and it's hard where you start to look at specific financial criteria, where there was some need base, you know, I think I would be all for it, but I could see someone that's sitting there with the money in

their pocket and say *Well, I'll pay Suffolk County ten bucks a month and let them jump in a lake.*

**LEG. BROWNING:**

Right. I guess, I mean, George left the room, but I would think that our Traffic Court would have the discretion to say *Okay, you can't pay. Well, why can't you pay? Well, because I only make X amount of dollars, I'm on social services, I'm unemployed,* that you would have to show proof. I would think that that's something that they could do, is you can show an unemployment stub, you can show that you're on social services, you can show -- bring a copy -- show a copy of your paycheck. You know, I think that gives you an opportunity to be eligible. But if I bring my paycheck and show it to them, sorry, pay it. And I wouldn't disagree with that.

**LEG. SPENCER:**

Okay.

**CHAIRMAN STERN:**

I'm looking here at the financial impact statement that was provided, and so while I'm looking forward to, you know, a more meaningful conversation about what this type of a program might look like going forward, BRO points out a lot of questions that remain unanswered. First of all, there's parking violations data that is not up-to-date, that was really not available at the time this was done. Programs eligibility criteria are not detailed in the legislation. That's something that's going to have to come at a later date, and that goes to Doc's point as well, what will be the discretion to be utilized, what will be the criteria to be utilized, does this apply across the board or are there elements of a standard that need to be developed, and who would develop that standard.

It's unclear at this point who would create, implement and maintain a system to track the individuals utilizing the payment plan program, and BRO also suggests here that it's unclear as to what the cost would be of implementing this program. And so while BRO has put together what would be some projected numbers here and making certain presumptions as to percentage of those who would come into compliance because of the payment plan program, it makes assumptions across the board, and so one could suggest here that it would mean an additional revenue to the County, and that's a positive. What the cost element might be on the other end at this point has not been determined, and so I would look forward, as I said, to a more in-depth conversation about what the program might look like, some of the thoughts, not just of the sponsor, but maybe of those that would be charged with implementing the program and how might that look.

But I am supportive of continuing the conversation, and so I will make a motion to discharge without recommendation as a way to keep the conversation moving, and then we can have that conversation not only with the sponsor, but with others involved as well and see how we can implement a meaningful program and get to the goal that you're looking to accomplish. So I'll make that motion.

**LEG. BROWNING:**

The one thing that I mentioned to Mr. Margiotta at the last meeting was, you know, the young girl that I know. She got a \$450 ticket and couldn't pay it right it away, so now she has to pay it off -- she didn't have a time to pay it off. She saved up her money to pay for it, but now she had another \$50 late fee that she had to save up for. So it just -- and it's people like her that is getting hit over the head. It's not people like us or people who are making good salaries that are having a problem with it. So the way I see it is that they have the ability, and I would hope that if somebody gets a \$450 ticket I don't think it's that big of an administrative cost for them to say *Okay, you can pay it off over a certain amount of time* or that *you've got six months to pay it*, but giving people an opportunity to pay it is fair.

And I think that the opportunity is there to get the money rather than not get it because they couldn't pay it. So -- and again, I do have an issue with that fee because who are the people who are paying that fee are the people who don't have money. So I think out of fairness, I don't know, Kara, does this have -- does the bill have -- does it require that they come back to us when they've come up with a plan?

**MR. NOLAN:**

Legislator Browning, just a couple of things on this. This applies only to the parking tickets not -- only parking tickets. I'm trying to remember the exact reason why we limit it to that. I believe it's because we have to remit money to the State on the moving violations within a set amount of time. So for logistical reasons we had to limit it to parking tickets. It does require TPVA to come back to us and present their plan within 90 days, and then implement it within 180 days. The State -- the City of New York has a program like this. I would just note that they require people who pay late to pay interest on it. So just those -- put those points on the record.

**LEG. HAHN:**

Does the City of New York's program include moving violations?

**MR. NOLAN:**

I'm assuming not. It's been a long time since I looked at this, so I don't remember. But anyway, I'd have to --

**LEG. HAHN:**

And then when they plead down, are they pleaded down -- when the red light tickets are pleaded down, are they pleaded down to something that can be spread out over time?

**MR. NOLAN:**

They plead to a non-moving violation, which happens quite a bit I understand, then I believe that would be, you know, fall within the subject of the matter of this bill.

**LEG. HAHN:**

Okay. Good.

**CHAIRMAN STERN:**

Okay. All right. So certainly these are all of the issues that should be discussed and part of the conversation so that by the time we come back for General Session that hopefully most, if not all, of these questions can be answered and we can be on our way to implementing perhaps a program that works.

Here I'm suggesting a motion to discharge without recommendation because as I see the fiscal impact statement here there's been an analysis as to potential revenue, but not as to potential cost, which is the purpose of a financial impact statement. So hopefully we can get those numbers or those projected numbers as well to be part of the conversation by the time we meet back for a General Session. Does the Administration want to say something?

**MS. HORST:**

Yeah. I just wanted to address the question of staff, because that is something that when this bill was initially brought up we did analyze and we were not prepared to -- we didn't realize this was moving forward and we're not prepared to bring all that data with us, but we do have an analysis and I do believe it would require adding some people on, but we will put together that memo and circulate it.

**CHAIRMAN STERN:**

All right. So you'll have that ready for us to consider as a part of the deliberation.

**MS. HORST:**

Yes, yes.

**CHAIRMAN STERN:**

All right. So I think that's the important point to make here.

**LEG. HAHN:**

Yeah, and, I mean, it's also possible that -- and I think this is in BRO's analysis that we have quite a few people who haven't paid, and so, you know, adding a few staff to get folks to be able to pay and have a better return rate on, you know, on payments of these tickets would be a good thing and possibly end up in the plus. But really the bottom line is we want folks to be able to pay when they want to try to pay, and in the way that is possible for them to pay, the folks who are struggling the most.

**CHAIRMAN STERN:**

Legislator Calarco, did I have it correct that you're going to withdraw the second --

**LEG. CALARCO:**

Yes. I'll withdraw my second to approve and second the discharge without recommendation.

**CHAIRMAN STERN:**

So that is what is before us.

**LEG. BROWNING:**

I guess I'll withdraw mine, at least until we can get it to the floor.

**CHAIRMAN STERN:**

Okay, and this will move it forward. All right. Everybody good? All right. I'll call the vote. All in favor? Any opposed? Any abstention? IR 1513 is discharged without recommendation. **(Vote: 5-0-0-0)**

***IR 1691 - Adopting Local Law No. -2015, A Local Law to strengthen the Code of Ethics (Trotta).*** Motion to table by the sponsor. Second by Legislator Calarco. All in favor? Any opposed? Any abstentions? IR 1691 stands tabled. **(Vote: 5-0-0-0)**

***IR 1693 - Amending procedures for Procuring Consultant Services (Cilmi).***

**LEG. CALARCO:**

Table.

**LEG. TROTTA:**

Approve.

**CHAIRMAN STERN:**

Motion to table by Legislator Calarco; motion to approve by Legislator Trotta. Do we have a second on anything? I'll second the motion to table. There is no second to the motion to approve, so I'll call the vote on the motion to table. All in favor? Any opposed?

**LEG. TROTTA:**

Opposed.

**CHAIRMAN STERN:**

Any abstentions? Legislator Trotta is opposed. IR 1693 is tabled. **(Vote: 4-1-0-0 - Opposed: Legislator Trotta)**

**Introductory Resolutions**

***IR 1762 - Authorizing the reconveyance of County-owned real estate pursuant to Section 215, New York State County Law to Charles Sessions, Jr., ET AL. (SCTM No. 0100-202.00-03.00-042.000) (Gregory).*** This a 215 hardship redemption. I will make a motion to approve.

**LEG. SPENCER:**

Second.

**CHAIRMAN STERN:**

Second by Legislator Calarco. On the motion? Everybody good? I'll call the vote. All in favor? Any opposed? Any abstentions? IR 1762 is approved. **(Vote: 5-0-0-0)**

***IR 1764 - Authorizing the reconveyance of County-owned real estate pursuant to Section 215, New York State County Law Domenico Morabito and Giovanna Morabito (SCTM No. 0200-625.00-03.00-014.000) (Muratore).***

**LEG. CALARCO:**

Motion.

**CHAIRMAN STERN:**

Motion by Legislator Calarco. I'll second. Everybody good? All in favor? Any opposed? Any abstentions? IR 1764 is approved. **(Vote: 5-0-0-0)**

***IR 1766 - Extending the deadline for the reconveyance of County-owned property to Cheryl L. Stilwell, ET AL (Anker).***

**LEG. SPENCER:**

Motion.

**CHAIRMAN STERN:**

Motion to approve by Legislator Spencer. Second by Legislator Calarco. This is also a 215 redemption. Counsel?

**MR. NOLAN:**

Yeah. This was a redemption that was previously approved on hardship grounds by the Legislature. This resolution that the application for this hardship redemption actually predated the time when our new law went into effect and imposed a time limit on when people had to pay the money to us after we approved the redemption. So we're operating under the old law with this particular redemption.

The original resolution approving the hardship for the Stilwell's did have a time limit when they were supposed to pay by. Even though we were not required to put that language in the resolution, it was there. They missed the deadline. This is giving them one more opportunity to pay the taxes by January 15, 2016. They have a buyer for the property. I've talked to their lawyer, so the Legislature can extend the deadline because we're going and operating under the old hardship law, if the Legislature chooses to use their discretion in that way.

**CHAIRMAN STERN:**

Now, the property did go to auction?

**MR. NOLAN:**

Yeah. That's an oddball twist in that the Legislator's office and my office was in contact with Real Estate about this. It was our understanding that it was coming off the auction list. We found out later that they, in fact, did offer the property at auction and there was a -- somebody made an offer, an accepted offer on it, but Real Estate explained to them that this resolution was outstanding, that the Legislature approved it, that the property would be returned to the Stilwells. Real Estate's rationale was they wanted to have a buyer in the event the Legislature did not approve this resolution.

**CHAIRMAN STERN:**

So the property then was purchased at auction subject to the disposition of this resolution, and we are saying here that the purchaser had actual notice that that was the case and purchased the property subject to that contingency.

**MR. NOLAN:**

Wayne Thompson told me that the buyer at the auction was apprised of this situation and had complete notice and knowledge.

**CHAIRMAN STERN:**

Okay. Very good. Legislator Trotta.

**LEG. TROTТА:**

So we're not on the hook for this, for this person who won it at auction is not going to sue us or --

**MR. NOLAN:**

I can't say a person is not going to sue us, but even -- all the auction sales are subject to legislative approval. We approve the auction sales after the auction and, no, I don't believe we're on the hook at all because they have notice that this property could be returned to the Stilwells.

**LEG. TROTТА:**

Is this a person's house?

**MR. NOLAN:**

This is somebody's residence.

**LEG. TROTТА:**

They fell back on taxes.

**MR. NOLAN:**

Yeah.

**LEG. TROTТА:**

And now they're going to sell it and hope to -- sold it?

**LEG. NOLAN:**

Right.

**LEG. TROTТА:**

How much is it for?

**MR. NOLAN:**

They owe us -- I forget the figure. I'd have to --

**LEG. BROWNING:**

A hundred and nineteen --

**LEG. TROTТА:**

A lot of money.

**MR. NOLAN:**

It's a lot of money.

**LEG. TROTТА:**

What did we sell it for at auction?

**MR. NOLAN:**

I don't know.

**LEG. BROWNING:**

Rob, I believe it did get sold for more at auction. However, the property owner has the right to apply for that difference, so the County can only actually take what is owed to them.

**LEG. TROTТА:**

Oh, okay. I got you.

**LEG. BROWNING:**

If they sell it at auction for 200,000 and they owe 100,000, that property owner has the right to claim that 100.

**LEG. TROTТА:**

Okay.

**LEG. BROWNING:**

If I'm correct in saying that, yes?

**MR. SMAGIN:**

Sorry, Legislator Trotta. I just wanted to address it. It was sold at auction for \$170,000.

**LEG. TROTТА:**

And how much are they selling it for?

**MR. SMAGIN:**

I do not have the figure of how much they're selling it for.

**LEG. TROTТА:**

Where is the house at?

**MR. SMAGIN:**

The house is in -- hold on one second. Coram.

**LEG. TROTТА:**

Okay. Went out on a hook for this one.

**MR. SMAGIN:**

Under the Auction Rule Book it states that the Director of Real Estate can cancel the sale within 30 days of the auction for any reason.

**LEG. TROTТА:**

When was the auction?

**MR. SMAGIN:**

October 20th and 21st. This sold October 20th.

**LEG. TROTТА:**

Let's do this quick.

**CHAIRMAN STERN:**

All right. Everybody good? All right. Mr. Clerk, we have a motion and a second?

**MR. RICHBERG:**

We have a motion and a second to approve.

**CHAIRMAN STERN:**

All right. I'll call the vote. All in favor? Any opposed? Any abstentions? IR 1766 is approved.  
**(Vote: 5-0-0-0)**

***IR 1769 - Authorizing a certain technical correction to Adopted Resolution No. 666-2015 (Co. Exec.).***

I'll make a motion to approve and place on the Consent Calendar. Second by Legislator Spencer. All in favor? Any opposed? Any abstentions? IR 1769 is approved and placed on the Consent Calendar. **(Vote: 5-0-0-0)**

***IR 1777 - Sale of County-owned real estate pursuant to Local Law No. 13-1976 James Albrecht and Denise Albrecht, his wife (SCTM No. 0100-155.00-01.00-097.000) (Co. Exec.).***

Motion to approve by Legislator Calarco. Second by Legislator Spencer. This is property that is in the Town of Babylon; is that correct?

**MS. HARRIS:**

Yes, this is North Lindenhurst.

**CHAIRMAN STERN:**

Appraised at \$4500 and will be sold for \$4500 plus pro rata share of taxes at closing. I guess, Mr. Thompson, is that all accurate?

**MR. THOMPSON:**

Yes, that's correct. It's the remainder of an abandoned paper street. They had already picked up half of it by an abandonment. This is the other half that the other party I guess didn't want. We picked it up for taxes and they consequently wanted the other half of the road. It's not really a road, I mean, it's a paper street. That's why it's 25 feet wide, it's half of 50.

**CHAIRMAN STERN:**

Okay. Everybody good? All right. All in favor? Any opposed? Any abstentions? IR 1777 is approved. **(Vote: 5-0-0-0)**

***IR 1780 - Sale of County-owned real estate pursuant to Section 72-h of the General Municipal Law Town of Brookhaven (SCTM No. 0200-389.00-01.00-016.000)(Co. Exec.).***

I'll make a motion to approve. Second by Legislature Browning. Mr. Thompson.

**MR. THOMPSON:**

Yeah, it's in the South Setauket Woods area. The town made us an offer, which it's better to get paid for it, put it in their parks, better than us to keep it and eat our investment. So we see it as win-win. It gets preserved and we get paid for it.

**CHAIRMAN STERN:**

Okay. Everybody good with that? All right. I'll call the vote. All in favor? Any opposed? Any abstentions? IR 1780 is approved. **(Vote: 5-0-0-0)**

***IR 1784 - Sale of County-owned real estate pursuant to Local Law No. 13-1976 Alan R. Schoenfeld (SCTM No. 0500-275.00-02.00-033.001)(Co. Exec.).***

I'll make a motion to approve. Second by Legislator Spencer. Mr. Thompson.

**MR. THOMPSON:**

Substandard lot, actually one of the adjacent owners already has a fence enclosing it, so it's easy to get rid of it because it's subject -- we sell it subject to any encroachments. I'm not sure which one of them had it, but only one made a bid. So we're rid of another piece of property which is a burden to my staff as far as cleaning and complaints.

**CHAIRMAN STERN:**

Very good. All right. I'll call the vote. All in favor? Any opposed? Any abstentions? IR 1784 is approved. **(Vote: 5-0-0-0)**

***IR 1785 - Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Debra Schwall n/k/a Debra Grass (SCTM No. 0500-320.00-02.00-064.000)(Co. Exec.).***

I'll make a motion to approve and place on the Consent Calendar. Second by Legislator Calarco. Mr. Thompson.

**MR. THOMPSON:**

It's an advanced resolution. They're doing a refinance to purchase their property back. So once this is approved then the bank will give them the money.

**CHAIRMAN STERN:**

And this is -- the number I have here is \$86,715.

**MR. THOMPSON:**

That's correct. I'm sorry.

**CHAIRMAN STERN:**

Okay. Everybody's good? I'll call the vote. All in favor? Any opposed? Any abstentions? IR 1785 is approved and placed on the Consent Calendar. **(Vote: 5-0-0-0)**

***IR 1789 - Sale of County-owned real estate pursuant to Local Law No. 13-1976 Thomas D. Carroll and Mary Ellen Carroll, his wife (SCTM No. 0800-055.00-03.00-035.000)(Co. Exec.).***

I'll make a motion to approve. Second by Legislator Spencer. Mr. Thompson.

**MR. THOMPSON:**

Actually this is a piece of property we got back from the town. You're aware of that, Legislator Trotta? Your office was involved, I believe. This is one in Smithtown.

**LEG. TROTTA:**

Oh, you made a lot of money on that.

**MR. THOMPSON:**

Made a lot of money on that. We had originally given it to the town for general purposes. It was a vacant, wooded lot that they wanted years ago. I guess the neighbors decided they wanted it. The town deeded it back to us. The town paid us I think -- the town paid us originally like 1200 bucks which was our tax investment. Since then they gave it back to us several months ago, we haven't paid any taxes on it, so we virtually have no investment. So when they paid us -- the two neighbors went at it, paid us \$52,000. For an unbuildable lot, by the way.

**CHAIRMAN STERN:**

Very good. And this comes with restrictive covenants.

**MR. THOMPSON:**

They can't build on it separately.

**CHAIRMAN STERN:**

Very good. Okay? I'll call the vote. All in favor? Any opposed? Any abstentions? IR 1789 is approved. **(Vote: 5-0-0-0)**

***IR 1791 - Sale of County-owned real estate pursuant to Section 72-h of the General Municipal Law - Town of Brookhaven (SCTM No. 0200-527.00-02.00-013.000)(Co. Exec.).***

I'll make a motion to approve. Second by Legislator Calarco. Mr. Thompson.

**MR. THOMPSON:**

Apparently the town was in the process of condemning this parcel because it's adjacent to two other parcels they own that are already recharge basins. The property does suffer from a bad slope easement so it really wouldn't lend itself too easily to be built on, and the town said they were in the process of vesting it when the prior owner lost it for taxes. So we're just saving them the trouble of condemning against us or going forward with their vesting, getting our interest back and it's done. Or will be done if you agree.

**LEG. TROTTA:**

How much land is it?

**MR. THOMPSON:**

It's 100 by 120, so it's like a quarter of an acre.

**LEG. TROTTA:**

What town is this in?

**MR. THOMPSON:**

It's in Medford.

**LEG. TROTТА:**

And we're giving it to the town?

**MR. THOMPSON:**

It's more locally known as Gordon Heights.

**LEG. TROTТА:**

Do you have a street?

**MR. THOMPSON:**

What?

**LEG. TROTТА:**

Do you have a street address?

**MR. THOMPSON:**

Does it have what?

**LEG. TROTТА:**

A street address.

**MR. THOMPSON:**

I'm sorry. I'm not getting it.

**LEG. TROTТА:**

A street address.

**MR. THOMPSON:**

Street address.

**LEG. TROTТА:**

And we're giving it to the town?

**MR. THOMPSON:**

It's not a house. I mean, it's vacant land.

**MR. TROTТА:**

But we're giving it to the town?

**MR. THOMPSON:**

Yes, for drainage purposes. So on the southeast corner of Cedar Lane and Pine Grove Avenue.

**LEG. BROWNING:**

I see Gordon Heights does have some serious drainage problems. It's very hilly. They have a lot of issues.

**CHAIRMAN STERN:**

Okay. So I'll call the vote. All in favor? Any opposed? Any abstentions? IR 1791 is approved.  
**(Vote: 5-0-0-0)**

***IR 1795 - Accepting and appropriating a grant in the amount of \$4,212,513 from the New York State Office of Indigent Legal Services, to improve the quality of services provided under Article 18-B of the County Law by the Legal Aid Society of Suffolk County and the***

**Suffolk County Bar Association Assigned Counsel Defender Plan with 100% support (Co. Exec.).** I'll make a motion to approve and place on the Consent Calendar. I need a second.

**LEG. BROWNING:**

Second.

**CHAIRMAN STERN:**

Second by Legislator Browning. All right. Everybody's good? All right. I'll call the vote. All in favor? Any opposed? Any abstentions? IR 1795 is approved and placed on the Consent Calendar. **(Vote: 5-0-0-0)**

**IR 1802 - Adopting Local Law No. -2015, A Local Law authorizing the County Executive to execute agreements for the sale of the John J. Foley Skilled Nursing Facility to the Brookhaven Memorial Hospital Medical Center (Browning).**

This needs to be tabled for public hearing. So motion by Legislator Browning to table for the purposes of public hearing. I'll second. All in favor? Any opposed? Any abstentions? IR 1802 is tabled for purpose of a public hearing.

**IR 1827 - Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Valerie Perl, trustee of the Else Perl Family Trust (SCTM No. 0900-294.00-02.00-033.000) (Co. Exec.).** I'll make a motion to approve and place on the Consent Calendar. Second by Legislator Spencer. Mr. Thompson.

**MR. THOMPSON:**

It's been paid by the Trust, which is the original owner. It's improved dwelling, and that was like \$64,700, plus I guess by the time it closes they'll owe us -- well, they may close before the next tax year is due, but \$64,000 in taxes has been collected already.

**CHAIRMAN STERN:**

Very good. All right. So I'll call the vote. All in favor? Any opposed? Any abstentions? IR 1827 is approved and placed on the Consent Calendar. **(Vote: 5-0-0-0)**

**IR 1834 - Amending the 2015 Operating Budget and appropriating funds in connection with bonding for a settlement for a liability case against the County (Co. Exec.).**

I'm going to make a motion to table. Second by Legislator Spencer. This is a resolution that usually comes on in the normal course before the Budget and Finance Committee. Having spoken with Counsel, there is no need for speed here. We are on a short cycle, so it's okay to table. We'll have it transferred to Budget and Finance and then we'll take it from there. All right. Everybody good? I'll call the vote. All in favor? Any opposed? Any abstentions? IR 1834 is tabled. **(Vote: 5-0-0-0)**

**IR 1847 - Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act estate of Elizabeth Hoffman, Larry Maclean, executor (SCTM No. 0900-258.00-03.00-017.000) (Co. Exec.).**

I'll make a motion to approve and place on the Consent Calendar. Second by Legislator Spencer. Mr. Thompson.

**MR. THOMPSON:**

This 38,700, roughly, paid by the owner. It's an improved dwelling in Hampton Bays.

**CHAIRMAN STERN:**

Okay. Everybody's good? All in favor? Any opposed? Any abstentions? IR 1847 is approved and placed on the Consent Calendar. **(Vote: 5-0-0-0)**

***IR 1848 - Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Kenneth Ripp (SCTM No. 0400-160.00-01.00-084.000)(Co. Exec.).*** Same motion, same second. Mr. Thompson.

**MR. THOMPSON:**

This is vacant land in Huntington. Paid \$5800, paid in full.

**CHAIRMAN STERN:**

All right. All in favor? Any opposed? Any abstentions? IR 1848 is approved and placed on the Consent Calendar. **(Vote: 5-0-0-0)**

***IR 1849 - Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Mitchell Winston (SCTM Nos. 0101-004.00-04.00-048.003 f/k/a 0101-004.00-04.00-047.000).*** Same motion, same second.

**MR. THOMPSON:**

Again, vacant land paid by the PRO for \$4100.

**CHAIRMAN STERN:**

And without objection, same vote. **(Vote: 5-0-0-0)**

***IR 1853 - Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Anthony Lopatowski and Mary Lopatowski (SCTM No. 0800-080.00-03.00-003.002)(Co. Exec.).*** Same motion, same second.

**MR. THOMPSON:**

Paid by the owner, \$122,700. Paid in full and it's a dwelling.

**CHAIRMAN STERN:**

And without objection, same vote. **(Vote: 5-0-0-0)**

***IR 1854 - Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Frank Napoli and Susan Napoli, his wife (SCTM No. 0200-690.00-03.00-037.000)(Co. Exec.).*** Same motion, same second.

**MR. THOMPSON:**

Again, paid by the owner. Improved property for \$55,800.

**CHAIRMAN STERN:**

And without objection, same vote. **(Vote: 5-0-0-0)**

***IR 1877 - Authorizing a certain technical correction to Adopted Resolution No. 739-2015 (Co. Exec.).***

I'll make a motion to approve and place on the Consent Calendar. Second by Legislator Calarco. All in favor? Any opposed? Any abstentions? IR 1877 is approved and placed on the Consent Calendar. **(Vote: 5-0-0-0).**

Ways and Means 11-12-15

Again, we have no Executive Session this afternoon. There being no other business before the committee, we are adjourned.

***(\*The meeting was adjourned at 2:33 p.m. \*)***