PUBLIC WORKS, TRANSPORTATION AND ENERGY COMMITTEE

OF THE

SUFFOLK COUNTY LEGISLATURE

Minutes

A meeting of the Public Works, Transportation and Energy Committee of the Suffolk County Legislature was held in the Rose Y. Caracappa Legislative Auditorium of the William H. Rogers Legislature Building, 725 Veterans Memorial Highway, Smithtown, New York, on December 8, 2014 at 2:00 p.m.

Members Present:
Legislator Al Krupski - Chairman
Legislator Tom Muratore - Vice-Chair
Legislator Tom Barraga
Legislator Steve Stern
Legislator Kate Browning

Also In Attendance:
George Nolan - Counsel to the Legislature
Jason Richberg - Chief Deputy Clerk/Suffolk County Legislature
Bob Doering - Legislative Budget Review Office
Bill Schilling - Aide to Legislator Calarco
Bob Martinez - Aide to Legislator Muratore
Debbie Harris - Aide to Legislator Stern
Tom Vaughn - County Executive Assistant
Gil Anderson - Commissioner/Suffolk County Department of Public Works
All Other Interested Parties.

Minutes Taken By:
Gabrielle Severs - Court Reporter

Minutes Transcribed By:
Gabrielle Severs - Court Reporter
Denise Weaver - Legislative Aide

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
All right. I'd like to call the meeting to order. Could we all rise for the Pledge of Allegiance led by Legislator Browning.

(*Salutation*)

Welcome to the regular meeting of the Committee of Public Works, Transportation, and Energy. All right. We'll start off with public portion. We have 11 cards here. If anyone would like to speak to address the committee, please address the clerk and fill out a card. So the first speaker would be Richard Hamburger with Joe Perry on deck.

MR. RICHBERG:
Good afternoon, Mr. Krupski, Member of the Committee. As you may recall, I represent the Greens of Half Hollow Homeowners Association, and I'm not going to go over the points that were made in the prior opportunities I had. But I understand this is an opportunity for the committee to ask the -- Commissioner Anderson and Mr. Donovan from DPW some questions, and here are the five questions I think this committee should be asking DPW.

First, compared to the creation of a county sewer district, can the Greens' Homeowners Association operate the sewer treatment plant at a substantially lower cost, and therefore would lower cost to the senior residents of the Greens, to the residents of Country Pointe, to the residents of the federally-subsidized low-income community known as Duncan Elder and to children's psychiatric hospital operated by the state? It's a cost issue.

Number two, if the Greens HOA takes over the plant, will there be any visible change in the way the plant operates? Won't the facilities be the same? Won't the connective parties be the same? Won't the plant be managed by the same professional company, Severn Trent? Won't it be under the same regulatory supervision of the Department of Public Works and the Department of Health and the State DEC as to its operations and rates? And won't it have the professional engineer that's well known to the county, Michael Chiarelli, who will be working for the Greens' HOA as well? What difference will there be from the current private operator to a different private operator?

Third, what is the county's opinion about the legality of the HOA owning and operating a sewer treatment plant? There's been discussion about that, and the county attorney has not weighed in as to whether the HOA can legally do it or not; that question should be asked.

Fourth, is the sewer treatment plant in good condition, and would the transfer to the Greens of the $425,000 reserve fund, which has been committed by the current operator, be a sufficient initial cushion for any unanticipated operating costs or capital costs?

And, finally, now that the Greens' Homeowners Association has agreed to indemnify the owner for the defense and costs and claims associated if there were a lawsuit brought to challenge the transfer, what possible reason does GHH have not to cooperate with the transfer of the plant to the HOA other than spite?

Those are, I think, five good questions that should be asked because I think I know what the answers are. And in closing, I'd like to say we understand that it isn't crazy for a sewer treatment plant to be established, and the sewer treatment plant, once established, will provide a public service and will be a benefit to the public from the current situation, but we think that the Greens' ownership would be better than the sewer treatment plant, and we think that DPW will support us on that.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
Thank you.

All right. Joe Perry; and on deck, Herbert Schoenfeld.

MR. PERRY:
Thank you very much for your time. I'm Joe Perry. I'm treasurer for the HOA. We are running a very good community. We have over a million and a half dollars in the bank. We have had two great years of budgets with savings in excess of a quarter of a million dollars each year in capital savings. We would feel very bad when we have to contribute an extra $250,000 for an expense that we don't have to do if we can operate this plant. It is our intention to take this to the full community for a full vote in the months of February and March and to produce those results to the board and to the legislature with the intent that's we hope that it will then be transferred over to us.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
Thank you.

MR. PERRY:
Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
Herbert Schoenfeld and Patricia Lenehan.

MR. SCHOENFELD:
My name is Herbert Schoenfeld, and I'm the president of the Homeowners Association at the Greens at Half Hollow Hills at Melville. I have attended previous meetings with the Suffolk County Department of Public Works regarding the SDP supporting our community. The majority of our HOA board of directors have voted to acquire their sewer treatment and run this park of our complex. We have the ability and the willingness to take over the sewer treatment plant from its present owner and not have the county form another sewer district. I believe your input is critical in the decision that the county's legislators will have to make.

We have two engineering firms review the sewer treatment plant independently, and they both agreed that the plant is in good shape. We have formed a sewer treatment plant committee of our homeowners consisting of professional engineers with experience in this area. As well as other qualified individuals. They have voted unanimously to acquire the SDP. Our finance committee has examined the potential budget and voted unanimously good to go forward. With the assistance of our external insurance brokers and also in conjunction with our own insurance committee, we're in the process of receiving all insurance coverage necessary for the equipment, building replacement, environmental issues, excess umbrella coverage and business interruption in case of a planned shutdown. We're also going forward with phase I study.

It is in the best interest of the county and our community that we run our own SDP. I'm sure your input to the county will be fair and honest. You have to decide if our capabilities are enough to satisfy all of the needs required to own and run this plant.

I want to thank you for allowing me to speak today, and I would also like to thank our legislator, Steve Stern, and this committee. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
George Bartunek, and on deck John Dunleavy.

MR. BARTUNEK:
We're going to wait until (inaudible).

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
It's not really a hearing, so you'd have to speak now.

MR. BARTUNEK:
Good afternoon. My name is George Bartunek, and I'm here in support of the resolution to approve -- to transfer $200,000 for the completion of a recreation trail at EPCAL in Riverhead around the former FRUMMAN property. Where this originally came from when I was on the Riverhead Town Board, there was a resident of Riverhead who proposed to develop part of the security trail around EPCAL as a recreation path. I took this to the supervisor at the time, and we, through community development, were able to procure a hundred thousand dollars from the department of state parks, Bernadette Castro. That required a matching grant of a hundred thousand dollars. About two years later, we were able to, through the help of John Dunleavy, Councilman John Dunleavy and State Senator LaValle came up with the matching $100,000. Subsequently, that was in 2008. The park, the trail was complete with about 3.2 miles of asphalt paving, and what we're doing is we're trying to secure the funds to go ahead with completion of approximately another 3.9 miles that need to be done that would complete approximately 8.5 mile loop for the purposes of passive recreation, non-motorized trail that could be used for walking, biking hiking, cross-country skiing. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
Thank you. When we get into the resolutions, I would ask you to present that map so the committee could take a look at it.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
Councilman Dunleavy and Councilwoman Giglio on deck.

COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY:
Good afternoon. Thank you for letting us come here and speak to you today. I'm here for the bike path at EPCAL. As you know, we have many bikers and walkers on the east end of Long Island. Most of our roads are very narrow. It's very dangerous. We have a lot of bike accidents with vehicles because of the narrow roads. This here, the 3.3 miles that we have now, you can bike, but then you have to turn around and come back. What we want to do is circle EPCAL with a bike path and a walking path. That means you get on at one end, go all the way around and get off where your car is parked. It'll be over eight miles to do that. It's safe. No cars are in there, you can walk, you can bike, you can run, plus eventually when we get this going, we're going to put benches so you can sit down and look in the autumn, the leaves turning colors. We have deer in there that's protected. Plenty of deer running around in there so you can look and just admire the countryside that we have there.

So I think that this is a great opportunity for Suffolk County because we do have a lot of Suffolk County residents come out there. It's not just for the Town of Riverhead. This is for all the people in Suffolk County to come out, and they do bike out there. They come out and bike and see what the east end is like and that we are friendly out there to everybody, and we're going to give them a friendly place to bike. So thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
Thank you, Councilman. Riverhead Councilwoman Jodi Giglio, and on deck Jack Solomons.

COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO:
Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman and Members of the Committee. My name is Jodi Giglio. I'm a councilwoman for the Town of Riverhead. When I was elected to office, I formed an alternative transportation committee because we had a lot of residents in town that were using our roadways, and we did have a $3 million dollar federal grant to extend a bike trail through the Town of Riverhead. That has been completed. There are a lot of people that are using the recreation and the bicycle trials. I know you had a brief history as to the trail, and it -- beginning in 2008, which is when the first 3.2 miles of asphalt was put down and we're seeking funding to complete the trail.

The wreck trail is a non-motorized recreation trail, as per recent resolution the town board adopted, and it's used by all. People come from Greenport. As a matter of fact, I heard from Legislator Krupski's assistant today that her girlfriend, who has an autistic son, she brings him out from Greenport to the trail because it is a fenced-in trail, and we are looking to put the trail along the previous perimeter safety trail and -- that the Grumman police officers used to use when Grumman was there, so it is fenced in. The town currently has a dog park, the ball fields, future soccer fields, multipurpose fields, lacrosse fields, but not everybody can use those amenities, but everybody can use a recreation trail, whether by walking or biking.

Parents come drop off their kids for practice and walk on the existing trail, even though it is just a grass area for the majority of the trail. The businesses within the EPCAL park, the enterprise park at Calverton, current businesses, you'll see them getting on their bicycles at their lunch hours and going out to clear their minds and it really is an economic draw, I think, for future businesses that should land in Calverton, so I think it's a great amenity to be able to leave for lunch and go and clear your mind and get some recreational activity.

As I said, it's safe to use because it's fenced along the perimeter. It's a safe path where people don't have to worry about getting hit. I know that you're probably familiar with several trails throughout your communities that have either the barriers or a way to stop people. It gives you a sense of security, and the fence is already existing, and that's a big expense that comes with trails. So the fences there, that's the first part, and then we just need to finish it with the asphalt.

The events that are planned for there are a veterans triathlon, a Halloween walk for the children, relays for life, bark for life. Children's triathlon, ecology, which we have spoken to the school district about bringing the children out in the fall and in the spring and identify different plant species and tree species throughout the trail, so it'll be an educational aspect to the trail also. The State is a stakeholder with the original grant, and Suffolk County Cornell Cooperative Extension is working with us with their grant from the New York State Department of Health, which is crating healthy places to install benches along the trail and a security fence for the existing navy pump station.

So we've done a lot of work on this for the last couple of years. I'd like to Legislator Krupski for attending several of our meetings or sending people to attend when he was unable to, and, as you know, we have a lot of people these days that are looking for affordable recreation and activities, and this is the way to do it. So on behalf of the Town of Riverhead, I thank you for considering this money to be applied to the Town of Riverhead recreational trail, and I look forward to seeing you again soon. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
Thank you, Councilwoman Giglio. Jack Solomons, and on deck Michael Yesner.

MR. SOLOMONS:
Good afternoon, all, and thank you for letting me speak before you. At the first and second meeting, I had the clerk give you handouts. One was a page out of our offering that indicated the Green Sewer Treatment plant would be dedicated to a sewer agency. The second handout was Resolution 2661, a resolution of the town board of Huntington, dated September 12, 2000, offered by Steve Israel and Supervisor Frank Petrone with all members of the board in favor. It uses the words "dedicated." In part, it reads, "the five-and-a-half acre site will be dedicated to the Suffolk County Department of Public Works, who operate and maintain the facility." "Dedicated" is a very powerful word when it comes to property and other matters in life; for instance, on December 12, 1950, I raised my hand at 39 Whitehall Street in New York City and I swore that I would defend the constitution of the United States and my country, and I became a dedicated soldier. Seven days from today, my wife and I will be celebrating a wedding anniversary. We have dedicated ourselves to each other for 62 years as well as our family. Mr. Adler, speaking on behalf of SBJ, told you at the last meeting that they are dedicated, that under no circumstances will they turn the sewer

treatment plant over to the Greens. The President of our HOA, Herb Schoenfeld, the first time he spoke to all of you, told you in no uncertainly terms that five members of our board have the right to take over the sewer treatment plant and do it without a referendum; I disagree with that. Twenty-three questions from this board at that meeting expressed their opinion that a referendum was needed, some legislators agreed, on a 60 percent pass/fail on the takeover, and some of you said they needed 66 2/3 consent vote. At the second meeting, he changed his mind and stated that maybe 51 or 66 2/3 referendum was needed. At that same meeting, I handed Legislator Stern 326 e-mails and petitions that I collected against an HOA takeover, and they are still pouring into Mr. Stern. Those documents were obtained after numerous explanatory e-mails, engineering reports, and technical data sent to me by -- sent out by me to 1,000 residents over the internet. In addition, residents will still -- are still sending mail to Mr. Stern, as I mentioned.

Our board has known of the sewer treatment plant issue for well over a year, yet the board did not act on it until the very last moment, and at that time, when 40 percent of the residents are in Florida. I asked this legislator to do the right thing by deciding this sewer treatment plant be turned over to the Suffolk County Department of Public Works to operate and maintain that facility. The better choice is for the residents to pay 750 a month or 2750 a month for the takeover, including the five connectees (sic) that includes, but not talked about, the golf course, the clubhouse, and the firehouse, and this will eliminate the complications, risks, responsibilities, and unknown factors associated with the sewer treatment plant issue. Better that we buy a Burger King. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
Thank you, and happy anniversary. Michael Yesner, and on deck Eugene Wishod.

MR. YESNER:
Good afternoon, and thank you for your attention to an important community issue. My name is Michael Yesner, a board director, and I am against the takeover of SDP by the HOA. There's only one rationale that the board gave for favoring an HOA takeover which would effectuate a dramatic and risky change in the governance of our community. Page 21 of the November 21 legislative minutes clears that up. A board spokesman is asked by Legislator D'Amaro, "The only reason you believe the HOA would be better off acquiring title is because it would save hundreds of thousands of dollars to the homeowners?" The answer by the board representative was "yes." I believe that answer is absurd because the additional monthly cost of a county takeover averages $17.50 to $27.50 per household, plus the imposition of a yearly cap of an additional three percent.

The choice is between that or take the risk of unlimited liability via an unexpected catastrophe of nature or leaking pipes that provoke DEC intervention, requiring more stringent pollution standards. We would also be subject to the substantial fines for not enforcing required standards imposed by supervisory agencies. Our liability insurance rates have skyrocketed, and had we have a $50,000 deductible per incident, and there are only one or two insurance companies that will insure our community.

Also, the board has pledged to pay $60,000 additional yearly towards a reserve for the SDP. Aging equipment could quickly wipe out any reserves and require even higher reserve payments. There are just too many risks for the HOA to take over this facility. The extra cost per family for the county takeover is less than the automobile liability insurance that we all pay to avoid the exposure of an unknown and unacceptable costly accident.

The board never intended to, nor did it notify the community, of its intended SDP takeover. There are articles about the status of the SDP and a legislative meeting, but nothing with regard to an actual takeover. This is a very secretive board. It's very difficult to get information out of them. I believe that it would be a disservice to our community to saddle them with the additional risk that this takeover would entail. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
Eugene Wishod, and on deck, and the last speaker that I have a card for, Ruth Albright.

MR. WISHOD:
Good afternoon. My name is Eugene L. Wishod, and I'm counsel to the Greens at Half Hollow, LLC, the owner of the sewers treatment plant issue. We've just been through two exhaustive days of a public hearing before the full legislature. All the issues that have been raised today were raised before the legislature, and that led to many, many questions. We consistently took the position and advised the full legislature of two things: one, we wanted finality, and we didn't believe we could get finality if the HOA took over the plant because they're sharp legal issues about the authority to run a plant and other legal issues involved that could easily lead to litigation. We stressed that we wanted to carry out the offering plan before, pursuant to which all the homeowners took title to their units that calls directly and unequivocally for a dedication of a plant to the County of Suffolk.

I'm at a loss to understand what the proponents of the HOA want this committee to do. The legislature closed the hearings and presumably next week will act on a recommendation of this committee as to which way to go. And I don't know what they're asking this committee to do. They're certainly not asking you to reopen the hearings, and I can't imagine why you would go into the merits when it's been heard and exhausted in two very lengthy public hearings. So I'm puzzled as to what they're asking this board to do, this committee to do and I have not heard what they're asking this committee to do.

So I would ask you -- we've been working for a year and a half with the county to carry out the dedication of the plant to the Suffolk County Sewer District proposed number 26, and we're hoping the legislature will vote one way or another to do that next week, and it seems to me that at this stage of the game after the closing of two exhausted public hearings, this committee ought to send it on to the full legislature and let them decide the issue. Thank you for your time.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
Thank you. Ruth Albright.

MS. ALBRIGHT:
Thank you, Legislator. I'm on behalf of Jodi, John, and George, what they iterated, and just echoing everything that they said concerning the path in Calverton. Being an athlete, it'd be a great opportunity to have this for the simple fact that they would be able to do also duathlons. I know they said triathlons, but duathlons; if anyone doesn't know, that's riding and running and vice versa. You run, you ride, and then you run again. I like the fact that -- great thing. I'm a nature girl, so the fact of the nature that they said would see are -- I know somebody said "deer," but like the fall with the trees turning colors. And also the fact that it's safe for children and people that might not have rode their bikes in years might want to ride their bikes now because of something like this, so I think it's a great opportunity. It's another thing for Suffolk County to just jump on the bandwagon to make our community just a whole lot better, so I'm definitely for this bike trail. I'm just a trail girl, I'm a hill girl, I love nature, and I think it would be a great asset to Suffolk County. Thank you very much, Legislator.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
Thank you. Now that's all the cards I have. Is there anyone else in the public that would like to address the committee? All right. Seeing none, we'll move on to the resolutions, and I would like to, in deference to two elected officials here, I would like to take IR 2158 out of order. Is there a motion?

LEG. MURATORE:
Motion.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
Motion by Legislator Muratore. Is there a second?

LEG. BARRAGA:
Second.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
Second by Legislator Barraga. So IR 2158 -- oh. Thank you. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? So moved.

Now we'll address **IR 2158, Amending the 2014 Capital Budget and Program and appropriating funds in connection with the EPCAL Path, Town of Riverhead (CP 5904) (Krupski).** Is there a motion on that?

LEG. BARRAGA:
Motion.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
Motion by Legislator Barraga. Second by Legislator Muratore.

On the motion, we're all getting maps and information. This is Riverhead. EPCAL at Grumman, yeah. Does any committee member have a question about this project? I think some of the concerns was that this was compatible with future development the town had planned at EPCAL, and I've been assured that this is the case, and another concern was that it was an IMA in place with Riverhead for the construction and maintenance of this bike path.

COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO:
Yes, absolutely, after receiving calls from your office on Friday with concerns of the trail impeding economic development, I did have a meeting with the supervisor of the town and showed him that the trail is outside of all of the planned development that is shown on the proposed subdivision map of Enterprise Park at Calverton, so the trail will not interrupt or impede any economic development at the site.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
Thank you. I have one more question. I don't know if any committee members have a question. Is there going to be any official access on the south side by River Road?

COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO:
There is on Burman Boulevard, which is an access to the subdivision of Calverton Camelot which was established in 2001, and you can either go east or west from that point.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
That's off of River Road, though.

COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO:
That's on River Road.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
Easy access from both sides.

MR. BARTUNEK:
Burman Boulevard will be a public highway as soon as we take it over. It has an entrance to go east or west on the bike path at that point, so it'll be two entrances: one on the north and one on the south side.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
Thank you. Any other questions? If not, I'll make -- we've got a motion and a second.

MR. RICHBERG:
Can I just confirm the motion and the second, please?

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
Motion by Legislator Barraga. Second by Legislator Muratore.

LEG. MURATORE:
All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? So moved. **Approved (VOTE: 5-0-0-0)**.

COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO:
Thank you.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
We'll go back to the beginning. ***Tabled resolutions.***

IR 1812, Authorizing a study to determine the best use of the Old Fourth Precinct property, Hauppauge (Cilmi).

LEG. BROWNING:
Motion to table.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
There's a motion to table by Legislator Browning. I'll second the motion.

LEG. BROWNING:
On the motion. I know Tom and I and a couple of other legislators and I know your representative was at the building, and I think DPW is on the right track. I know it's been sitting there for a lot of years. One of the things that was definitely brought to our attention was the need for storage for the DA's Office and whether we should be knocking that building down to build a new storage room and, you know, I know there was talk about how some counties send their old files to a special storage outside of the state. Personally, I'm not in favor of doing that. I think that they should stay in Suffolk County. I think that they should be definitely under the watch of the District Attorney's Office. I'm not comfortable with a private company taking care of our files, and especially if it's in some other state.

And so I think that DPW is certainly aware of the need looking at that area, and I think you presented some good ideas and not just for that building, but for the other ones in the area that I think that the opportunity should be there to build the storage facility right there in the property next to the DA's Office. So again, I think they're on the right track. I don't think at this point in time this legislation is really necessary. I know it's intended right, but, again, I'm hoping the conversations will continue with the DA's Office to build that storage facility for them.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:
Just to respond, as the legislator mentioned, we met. We are putting together cost estimates for a number of different scenarios, and we will get that to everybody most likely after the new year. If we can do it sooner, we will.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
Thank you. Legislator Barraga, any comment?

All right. I've got a motion to table and a second. Legislator Muratore on the second. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? So moved. **Tabled (VOTE: 5-0-0-0)**

IR 2044, under tabled resolutions, **Making certain Findings and Determinations and an order in relation to the establishment of Suffolk County Sewer District No. 26 - Melville Huntington (County Executive).** Motion to approve by Legislator Stern. Motion to second by Legislator Muratore. Any discussion? All right. We have a motion and a second. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? So moved. **Approved (VOTE: 5-0-0-0)**

IR 2085, Appropriating funds in connection with Improvements to Suffolk County Farm (CP 1796)(County Executive). I need a motion and a second.

LEG. BROWNING:
Motion.

LEG. MURATORE:
Motion by Legislator Browning.

LEG. BARRAGA:
Second.

LEG. MURATORE:
Second by Legislator Barraga. All in favor? Opposed? Legislator Krupski, you have to recuse?

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
Yes. For the record, I'm recusing myself because of a relationship I have with an employee of Cornell Cooperative Extension.

LEG. MURATORE:
Okay. So the motion carries. **Approved (VOTE: 4-0-0-1, Recused: Krupski)**

LEG. BROWNING:
Can you list me as a cosponsor on that please, Jason?

LEG. MURATORE:
Okay. I was remiss. Can I have Cornell come up to do a little presentation on this? Vito, if you would. Al put me up to this, so don't blame me.

MR. MINEI:
Good afternoon, Chairman Krupski, and thank you, Legislator Muratore. I guess it's a little academic, but we came here to document the need for the $100,000, but since you've all been to the farm many times, we're going to go through this very quickly just for the public record. You have an information package before you. I'm joined today by my colleague Vicki Fleming, who you know has the dual role of 4-H youth development director as well as county farm administrator. All of you, like I said, have been to the farm many times, so you also know the history that Cooperative Extension Cornell has overseen the farm since 1974, and the first graphic in your package is an aerial photograph.

*(*The following was transcribed by Denise Weaver, legislative aide*)*

Most people in Suffolk know this as a fabulous asset to the County. We get over 20,000 visitors to the farm every year. And there's about 250 to 275 acres total, more than 200 acres are in preserved farmland.

Before I turn it over to Vicki I just want to thank several people first starting with County Executive Steve Bellone for recommending the $100,000 for the repairs, the Legislature for approving them, our friends at DPW who are just constantly vigilant in overseeing the needs for the repairs and the Sheriff's Department who provide manpower from the inmates to also conduct that.

So if I can I'll just ask Vicki to go -- go through the photos very quickly that document the disrepair, the priorities we have at -- that can be changed at any time because of emergencies but, if you will, Vicki Fleming. Thank you.

MS. FLEMING:
Basically we have a number of roofs that need replacement and siding on buildings, primarily due to the age of the building and infestation from rodents and raccoons that we have done a lot of renovation on but -- but needs further renovation. We've been doing ongoing renovation on our hog house, which has a number of issues so this money will be added to that to include some of the hazardous materials remediation that we have to do for rodent infestation and electrical work that has to do with our fire marshall report coming out so. Basic things.

LEG. BROWNING:
Good.

MS. FLEMMING:
Want me to go through the photos? Or --

LEG. BROWNING:
Sure, I was gonna actually say to you, you know, looking at some of the pictures and obviously have been there, and the condition, I mean, it is a visitors -- a visiting farm and we have a lot of people come from all over that come to visit and, you know, I'm concerned about any kids getting back there and possibly hurting themselves so it's seriously needed. How much of the work will be done by prisoners versus DPW or contractor? Is there -- have we figured that out yet? I don't know who's going to answer that.

MS. FLEMMING:
It depends on the work. For -- for example, the classroom roof, it's a very difficult picture to see but the roof is basically buckling and we had a leak inside recently but it's a low roof so if -- we actually have the County coming in to do the removal of the shingles and then the inmates can do the work underneath and then the County will come back and do the replacement of the shingles. So we kind of work on things depending on. If it's -- if it's something we're they're going up on a high level and it's considered dangerous, the sheriff's department makes that assessment and gives us the nod of whether inmates can do that work or not and it's also within their capabilities for repair work.

LEG. BROWNING:
And, I'm curious, once we pass this how long it's going to be before the projects actually begin.

MS. FLEMMING:
Well, the roofs are currently on the top of the list and they should be done within the next couple of months.

LEG. BROWNING:
Which leads to my other question for DPW, is -- and maybe, Tom, you want to step in. Obviously we've had an issue with our roofing contractor and I'm just curious are we going to be doing some of that roof work inside or is there -- is there a bid going our for the roof work, is there an RFP going out?

MR. VAUGHN:
Were you asking if I would prefer to answer that question or Commissioner Anderson would prefer to answer that question because he's chomping at the bit to answer that question. I think he would much rather answer that question than I would.

LEG. BROWNING:
Chomp away, give him a bit. ***(*Laughter*)***

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:
As of right now, again, without knowing the actual projects, the smaller projects we would likely, especially if there's a time issue, if it's time sensitive, we would likely use the contractor we have on the -- the contractor that we have on -- but having said that, there's also, you know, we could go out to bid with it. Our contract with the existing roofer ends next year so we are in the -- we will be shortly in preparation of a new RFP for -- or a new bid for roofing services as an annual contract.

LEG. BROWNING:
You said it ends this year or next year?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:
Next year.

LEG. BROWNING:
Oh, not until next year. Okay.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:
If I remember right it's May of next year. So, you know, I don't know which roofs and if there's a specific need or an immediate need. Certainly, you know, as it is right now our contractor meets all the requirements of the {ATP}. If that legislation -- if the legislation that's currently underway is passed, I'm not sure where it is with -- if it was passed or not.

LEG. BROWNING:
Yeah, well, we'll be reaching out to you because it's looking, it's going to be January, we're not going to be able to get anything done before the holiday. So --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:
If that legislation passes then it'll likely change the field.

LEG. BROWNING:
Correct, which, you know, so that's the thing is if we pass this today, how soon would it be and, you know, I know that Cornell is not familiar with the issue with the roofing that -- I'll talk to Vito later about that, but I just, I would prefer that if there's a way to hold off on getting roof done, until May, after May, I would think that might be very helpful.

MR. VAUGHN:
Legislator Browning, the one thing I would just add to that is that with any capital funding this year it's one of the things that we need to either appropriate it now or -- or we will lose it, so.

LEG. BROWNING:
Right.

MR. VAUGHN:
I understand the request for the ask to delay the start of the project but --

LEG. BROWNING:
No, no, no, I'm not saying delaying the entire project just the roof part.

MR. VAUGHN:
Okay.

LEG. BROWNING:
Okay, yeah. No, I'm happy with this, I'm very happy that we're getting this work done, but clearly there's issues with the roofing.

MR. VAUGHN:
Very good.

LEG. MURATORE:
Legislator Barraga? Anybody else? Legislator Stern? Legislator Barraga.

LEG. BARRAGA:
Is there any effort being made to replace the facilities where you human beings reside in that farm? Those trailers; they're a dump, they're a disgrace. Most people, you know, wouldn't even want to work in those facilities. I remember sitting here a couple of years ago, I don't know what happened to it, but I remember some -- some of you might recall a drawing we received, potential new building that they were thinking of constructing and I don't know where it's, whatever happened to that particular proposal, but, you know, I see the -- you're going to put the -- do the tin barn and the hog house and the beef thing and but, you know, the facilities out there are just terrible. I mean is there something we can do from a capital perspective or a bonding perspective to go in there and finally fix up that place so it's halfway decent for people to work and reside there?

MR. MINEI:
Boy, am I pleased you brought that up, Legislator. As you know, you've been out there with your grandchildren and we appreciate your sensitivity to the issue and, again, DPW's been great. We have money in the capital program for both planning as well as for construction for new facilities for our visitor center, our educational center, as well as our staffing. And right now I think we're going to use $130,000 of the planning fund -- the architect from DPW has prepared the RFP. I'm hoping that that will be released very shortly so that we do the planning, excuse me, and the design in 2015 with anticipation of construction of that building and I think you've seen some of the architectural renderings we provided to you in private meetings and public. DPW's concept, and we're fully supportive and in agreement with it, is to take the staff and the visitor center from those dilapidated 1960's vintage trailers in the middle of the property and move the building closer to Yaphank Avenue, just outside the preserved farmland, and the architectural rendering we received from DPW is a barnlike structure that will also be a showcase for agritourism as well as we hope to do a lot of education robotics work, Vicki wants to do, as well as staffing in the visitor's center. But I do appreciate you bringing that issue up. I think we're finally starting to address it.

LEG. BARRAGA:
Yeah, I'm glad at least the project is still on track and you anticipate construction in 2016. Right?

MR. MINEI:
Yeah.

LEG. BARRAGA:
Yeah. You guys, you know, frankly, individuals shouldn't be working those trailers. It's a horror show out there, you just have to go in there and you -- you say to yourself, you know, why are people in this -- why is this allowed to even exist. These trailers are falling apart. You know. All right. Thank you.

LEG. MURATORE:
Anyone else? Okay. So we have motion and a second. Right, Mr. Clerk?

MR. RICHBERG:
We already voted.

LEG. MURATORE:
Oh, we passed it already. Okay, good. So now we'll wait for Legislator Krupski.

MR. MINEI:
Okay, thank you.

LEG. BROWNING:
Thank you.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
Thank you, Legislator Muratore. **IR 2103 - Amending the 2014** -- Oh, I'm sorry, before we get into that, I've been asked to take another one out of order here. Is there a resolution regarding the DA's Office relocation? We've been asked to take that out of order.

MR. VAUGHN:
Yes, sir. That's IR 2140.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
Make a motion to take IR 2140 out of order.

LEG. BROWNING:
Second.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? IR 2140 is out of order. **(IR 2140 - Amending the 2014 Capital Program and appropriating funds in connection with the Improvements to Buildings and Facilities Countywide (CP 1817). (Co. Exec.))**

LEG. BROWNING:
Make a motion to approve.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
Motion to approve, Legislator Browning, second, Legislator Muratore. On the motion, Commissioner, could you give us an explanation.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:
We are currently looking to re-stack the Dennison Building. I'm going to ask Phil Berdolt, Deputy Commissioner, to come up and speak a little bit on it but the intent is to bring some of the district attorney staff over from the old 4th Precinct into the Dennison Building as well as others and I'll let Phil speak on that.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERDOLT:
Yes, Commissioner's correct. This is for bringing people from the old 4th onto the fourth floor in Dennison. The money will be used for furniture, HVAC relocation, fire fighting relocation, the ceilings and also the offsetting of the people from the fourth floor will be brought up to the eleventh and also down to second floor and that'll also incorporate new furniture for both eleventh and the fourth to be able to handle all the people that we are moving.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
Anybody have any questions?

LEG. BARRAGA:
On the furniture aspect; are we required as a County to seek out the furniture from Corcraft, which is the State sponsored entity that supplies furniture to all municipalities? Because my impression is that in law, whether it's a county or town or village, the first place they have to go, if the furniture is

compatible and comparable, is to Corcraft, where the furniture's a lot less expensive than using an outside vendor. Do we make that a practice in Suffolk County?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERDOLT:
Legislator Barraga, I'm not sure if that's what we do. I know that the furniture we do get is on contract whether it's a State contract or it's a County bid, I'm not sure.

LEG. BARRAGA:
Okay. You know, the only time you're not supposed to use Corcraft is when the furniture that you're seeking is, you know, they either don't stock it or it's not compatible.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERDOLT:
Right.

LEG. BARRAGA:
But I think there is requirement that you have to use Corcraft. You have to seek them out first before you go anyplace. Because it's -- that's a furniture entity run by the State in the State prison system. I think they do $100 million a year but, you know, they supply furniture to all the municipalities, all the villages, the counties throughout the State, I think that's a requirement of the law.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERDOLT:
I will definitely check on that.

LEG. BARRAGA:
All right, thank you.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
Any other questions? We have a motion and a second. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? So moved. **APPROVED (VOTE: 5-0-0-0)** All right.

IR 2103 - Amending the 2014 Operating Budget, transferring Assessment Stabilization Reserve Funds to the Capital Fund, and appropriating funds in connection with improvements to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 10 - Stony Brook (CP 8175). (Co. Exec.) Motion, Legislator Muratore, I'll make the second. Any questions? Commissioner, on the motion.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:
This resolution seeks to request $250,000 for construction of improvements to sewers and force mains within Sewer District 10 in Stony Brook.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
All right. We have a motion and a second. All in favor? Opposed? So moved. **APPROVED (VOTE: 5-0-0-0)**

IR 2104 - Amending the 2014 Operating Budget, transferring Assessment Stabilization Reserve Funds to the Capital Fund and appropriating funds in connection with improvements to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 9 - College Park (CP 8163). (Co. Exec.) Same motion, same second. On the motion, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:
This resolution requests -- authorizing $500,000 for rehabilitation of leaching pools, air blowers and the electric system within the College Park Sewer District, Sewer District 9.

CHAIRPERSON KRUPSKI:
Are there dollar amounts assigned?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:
Oh, I'm sorry, yes. Well, this is for an additional 500,000. The original -- the total project cost is 1.85 million, which is using partially funded through sewer district bonds for 1.25 million and an additional 600,000 being through the ASRF.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
Now all these STP's are in compliance with DEC?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:
Correct. Yeah, this is just -- again, we're improving this particular facility, we're improving the Denite system, we're -- as I said, we're improving the leaching pools, you know, this is essentially maintenance work but also is some improvements. We are in compliance with all DEC and federal requirements at our treatment plants.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
At what point would you consider an upgrade to treatment at these -- and this or any of the other plants that the County operates?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:
As the technology becomes available, in many cases, we look to put that into our capital program. Some of the stuff, again, like the leaching pools is just a maintenance step, we have to go in there and scarify them and clean them out so they maintain, you know, their useful life but wherever we can, you know, air blowers, that's the same thing. Air blowers have a certain life and you have to replace them. Where we do come into technology that allows us to improve our process we will incorporate that into the capital program.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
Thank you.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:
You're welcome.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
All right. We have a motion and a second. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? So moved.
APPROVED (VOTE: 5-0-0-0)

IR 2105 - Amending the 2014 Operating Budget, transferring Assessment Stabilization Reserve Funds to the Capital Fund, and appropriating funds in connection with improvements to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 14 - Parkland (CP 8151). (Co. Exec.)
Same motion, same second. On the motion, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:
This resolution requests appropriating $250,000 from the Assessment Stabilization Relief Fund. We're looking at replacing portions of the sewer system, the sewer system within Sewer District 14 parkland is at or older than 40 years old and, you know, this is a maintenance upgrade.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
Thank you. All right. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? So moved.

IR 2106 - Appropriating funds through the issuance of Sewer District Serial Bonds for the increase, improvement and extension to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 18 - Hauppauge Industrial (CP 8126). (Co. Exec.) Same motion, same second. On the motion, please.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:
This is a request $2 million for construction. We're looking to expand the sewers as we have expanded the Sewer District 18, it's ran its capacity. We're looking to expand the sewer system to facilitate an additional 250 lots within the Hauppauge Industrial Park.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
What's the time frame on that?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:
Looking to go into construction next year. The design is already underway. So we would begin sewer construction, if we go out to bid now, probably by the middle of next year.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
Thank you. All right, we have a motion and a second. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? So moved. **APPROVED (VOTE: 5-0-0-0)**

IR 2107 - Amending the 2014 Operating Budget, transferring Assessment Stabilization Reserve Funds to the Capital Fund and amending the 2014 Capital Budget and Program and appropriating funds in connection with improvements to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 5 - Strathmore Huntington (CP 8115). (Co. Exec.) Same motion, same second. Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:
This resolution, as you stated earlier, requests $500,000 in capital funds to evaluate and remediate contaminated soil from an abandoned wastewater lagoon within the treatment facility. This is old technology that we are now in the process of cleaning up after.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
And what do you do with that material?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:
Depending on the contamination most likely would go to a landfill off Island. It really depends on what type of material they're up against.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
Thank you.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:
You're welcome.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
All right. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? So moved. **APPROVED (VOTE: 5-0-0-0)**

IR 2117 - Authorizing the execution of an agreement between the County and the New York State Department of Transportation for Federal and State Aid funding for the continuation of the HOV Bus Service on the Long Island Expressway for 2014. (Co. Exec.)
Do I have a motion? Motion by Legislator Muratore.

LEG. BROWNING:
Second.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
Second by Legislator Browning. On the motion, Commissioner?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:
This accepts a total of $940,000 in federal and state funds to help us continue our HOV Program. The HOV Program is a bus service than picks up passengers at exit 63 and exit 58 and brings them into the Route 110 Industrial Park. We do three runs in the morning, four runs in the afternoon. We annually pick up about 18,500 passengers and bring them both ways.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
And how is the bus fare on that is that same as any Suffolk County bus?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:
Yes.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
All right. I have a motion and a second. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? So moved.
APPROVED (VOTE: 5-0-0-0)

IR 2120 - Authorizing the filing of a grant application for Federal Fiscal Year 2013 Section 5307 Passenger Ferry Grant Program funds on behalf of Cross Sound Ferry. (Co. Exec.)
I'll make that motion.

LEG. MURATORE:
Second.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
Second by Legislator Muratore. Commissioner, on the motion.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:
This is -- this resolution authorizes us to file an application on behalf of the Orient Point Ferry. It's for construction of bulkheads and ramps; improvements at the Orient Point Ferry. We would just act as the pass-through. Once awarded, the ferry company was actually awarded the funds through a competitive ferry program grant. The resolution is just to apply for the funds. After the FTA formally awards the funds we will submit a separate resolution to accept and appropriate the funds and enter into an agreement with the Cross Sound Ferry to pass the funds through so that they can implement the construction.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
Thank you. I have motion and a second. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? So moved.
APPROVED (VOTE: 5-0-0-0)

IR 2122 - Authorizing Suffolk County Department of Public Works to issue a permit to Merrin Chabad Center for a new curb-cut on CR 97, Nicolls Road, Town of Brookhaven. (Co. Exec.) Motion by Legislator Muratore.

LEG. BROWNING:
Second.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
Second by Legislator Browning. Seems straight forward, but Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:
Yes, it is. It's actually under the resolution number 666 of 1987. Any new curb-cuts that are proposed for or requested for County Road 97 have to -- have to have the approval of the Legislature before we can agree to it. We're fine with the proposal but we have to bring it before this body for approval according to the Legislature.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
And who requested? The developer?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:
Yeah, the Chabad is asking for that curb-cut. They're going to build a facility, I believe, on the east side of 97, north of 347.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
Thank you. All right. Question or -- we all good? Okay. We have a motion and a second. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? So moved. **APPROVED (VOTE: 5-0-0-0)**

IR 2124 - Appropriating funds in connection with the purchase of Public Works Fleet Maintenance Equipment (CP 1769). (Co. Exec.)

LEG. BROWNING:
Motion.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
Motion by Legislator Browning, second by Legislator Muratore.

LEG. MURATORE:
(Inaudible)

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
On the motion, please.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:
This resolution requests to appropriate $100,000 for new and replacement equipment for our fleet garages. Phil can tell you exactly what we're looking to be purchase with it.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERDOLT:
This equipment will go for the precincts that we're going to be manning soon. This will be going to the 2nd, the 5th and the 6th Precinct. Throughout the years, as you know, we've lost a lot of mechanics and we had to take mechanics out of the precincts. We are putting them back in to those precincts I just spoke about and throughout the year we have been kind of cannibalizing their equipment as needed instead of purchasing -- we've -- taking it from that. So it's tire machines, balance machines, some lifts for the garages themselves. The computer machines to hook up the cars to the computers to diagnostically test them and various compressors also.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
What's your -- what's your timeline on that because we're getting into snow removal season so this seems very important.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERDOLT:
Yes, correct, it is. We already have a mechanic in the 6th. Hopefully by the next week we'll have somebody either in the 2nd or the 5th, we're not sure yet, which one it's going to and we are actively interviewing.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
Great, very good. Thank you.

LEG. BROWNING:
Can I ask?

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
Sure.

LEG. BROWNING:
Is the mechanic there all day, every day, you know, nine to five or how do you work that?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERDOLT:
Seven to three.

LEG. BROWNING:
Seven to three, okay.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERDOLT:
But, yes, it would be five day-a-week and also as needed during snow and wherever else.

LEG. BROWNING:
So you kind of -- you're strategically placing them where -- so you didn't say the 5th precinct. Right?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERDOLT:
We will be, but no, but there's nobody there yet.

LEG. BROWNING:
Okay. Where are the bodies coming from?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERDOLT:
The first one was taken internally. We do have the three SCINs that were signed but there was a need to put somebody, I think, no, I'm sorry, the 5th was already -- I think there's somebody already in the 5th. The 6th there is somebody there because that's their -- the biggest need right away.

LEG. BROWNING:
Okay. And I just, you know, I just want to know that we're not shortchanging, you know, DPW by shifting people to the other precincts that we're -- because obviously the mechanics -- I know that we have the police department work that needs to be done but you also have DPW, you have your snow removal issues, you need to make sure that you're properly staffed especially with the winter.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:
These are three SCINs that we're looking to fill right now. The one -- if they move laterally from an internal, you know, within DPW, we will refill those positions.

LEG. BROWNING:
Okay, thank you.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
Legislator Muratore.

LEG. MURATORE:
So you're hiring two or three new mechanics. Is that what you said?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERDOLT:
There will be three, yes.

LEG. MURATORE:
Three new mechanics, which means you'll be interviewing or have interviewed and now you'll be

calling them up and ask them if they're still interested.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERDOLT:
Correct. Well, in order to be in the actual -- to work independently they have to be Auto Mechanic III. So we are limited on that as far as our own internal staff.

LEG. MURATORE:
Is that a test? Auto Mechanic III, is that a test or is that a grade you put on someone when they come in and get interviewed?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERDOLT:
Excuse me. It has to go with Civil Service. That's -- they're the ones that grade it. They have to be able to work independently. The skill set, you know, or two could be -- could do it probably, but, you know, I don't make the rules with Civil Service.

LEG. MURATORE:
But what's a two and what's a three and how do you become a two, three or one?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERDOLT:
Well, Civil Service, well, for ours and DPW an AM, Auto Mechanic III also needs a CDL license. So that's one of the requirements. So a two may have the same skill set but doesn't have a license.

LEG. MURATORE:
I see, okay. But is there a written test for this position?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERDOLT:
No, I believe for a four there is.

LEG. MURATORE:
But one, two or three there's no written -- on a three you need a CDL.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERDOLT:
Correct. There could be an open competitive test for a four our five but a one, two and a three it's just a skill that defines it.

LEG. MURATORE:
Okay. One other question, do they still have to provide their own tools, these mechanics?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERDOLT:
Yes, always. Any shop you go to.

LEG. MURATORE:
Yeah, well, in the County.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERDOLT:
No, anywhere you go.

LEG. MURATORE:
Oh, any mechanic shop you have to produce your own -- bring your own tools.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERDOLT:
Yes.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
Possibly the only Legislator with a CDL, Legislator Browning.

LEG. BROWNING:
I just need to get the other part.

LEG. MURATORE:
You need your tools. ***(*Laughter*)***

LEG. BROWNING:
I still have my CDL. I didn't give it up. If you need me in the winter I got mine. ***(*Laughter*)*** But is there any on the preferred list or -- there was no mechanics on the preferred list.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERDOLT:
No, there weren't any threes.

LEG. BROWNING:
Okay, thank you.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
All right. Any other questions. We have a motion and a second. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? So moved. **APPROVED (VOTE: 5-0-0-0)**

I make a motion to table **IR 2128 - (Amending Resolution No. 738-2014, amending the 2014 Capital Budget and Program and appropriating funds in connection with Port Jefferson-Wading River Rails to Trails Pedestrian and Bicycle Path (CP 5903). (Co. Exec.))** Is there a, I guess, some questions about the project itself. Motion by Legislator Muratore.

LEG. BARRAGA:
Second.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
Second by Legislator Barraga. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? So moved. **TABLED (VOTE: 5-0-0-0)**

IR 2138 - Authorizing execution of agreement by the Administrative Head of Suffolk County Sewer District No. 3 Southwest and Highland Green Residences (HU-1323). (Co. Exec.) Is there a motion?

LEG. BROWNING:
I'll make a motion for discussion.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
Motion by Legislator Browning, I'll second that motion. On the motion, Legislator Browning.

LEG. BROWNING:
Did you get the list of five questions that -- I have a list of questions here. Talk about -- I'm at a point now it's very confusing what to do with this, and, you know.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:
That is separate from the greens. As part of the greens overall project they were supposed to do an affordable portion of their project as -- in coming to the County we require a certain amount of affordable housing, this is their offsite affordable portion of their project. That's my understanding of it. So, you know, I don't know what the questions were. I must have missed it.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
No, no, this is different. Yes. All the sewer treatment plants are called the greens for some

reason.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:
No, this is just the -- the greens -- the question is, the resolution has already passed that was the acceptance of the finding statement, the findings from the public hearing, this is separate.

LEG. BROWNING:
Okay, then no more questions.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
Okay. Thank you. Any other questions? Thank you. We have a motion and a second. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? So moved. **APPROVED (VOTE: 5-0-0-0)**

Does anybody have any-- anything else for the Commissioner of the Committee? If not, we stand adjourned.

LEG. BROWNING:
Oh, real quick, Gil. When is the -- the dredge, is it {indiscernible} by Smith Point yet?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:
No, as of right now the dredge is in dry dock. It'll get out of dry dock on the 13th, it should arrive at Smith Point on the 17th and begin dredging at that point.

LEG. BROWNING:
Okay, I know we've got something coming up soon, but thank you.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:
You're welcome. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN KRUPSKI:
It's no problem.

THE MEETING CONCLUDED AT 5:07 PM

{ } DENOTES SPELLED PHONETICALLY