

PW 2/26/13

**PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE
OF THE
SUFFOLK COUNTY LEGISLATURE
MINUTES**

A meeting of the Public Works Committee of the Suffolk County Legislature was held in the Rose Y. Caracappa Legislative Auditorium of the William H. Rogers Legislature Building, 725 Veterans Memorial Highway, Smithtown, New York, on February 26, 2013.

Members Present:

Legislator Schneiderman - Chairman
Legislator Stern - Vice-Chair
Legislator Horsley - Deputy Presiding Officer
Legislator Krupski
Legislator Muratore

Also In Attendance:

George Nolan - Counsel to the Legislature
Christina DeLisi - Aide to Legislator Schneiderman
Debbie Harris - Aide to Legislator Stern
Paul Perillie - Aide to Legislator Gregory
Ali Nazir - Aide to Legislator Kennedy
Kevin LaValle - Aide to Legislator Muratore
Gil Anderson - Commissioner of Public Works.
John C. Donovan - Chief Engineer, Department of Public Works
Jill Moss - Budget Review Office
Rick Brand - Newsday.
Beatrice Ruberto - Sound Beach Civic Association
Ernestine Franco - Sound Beach Civic Association
Concetta Palamaru - Sound Beach Civic Association
Marc Palamaru - Sound Beach Civic Association
Arlynne S. Bleier - Bus Riders and Sound Beach
Donald A. Rettaliata - Davis Park Ferry Company
All Other Interested Parties

Minutes Taken and Transcribed By:

Gabrielle Skolom - Court Stenographer

(*Meeting was called to order at 2:24 p.m.*)

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

Good afternoon. I'd like to call this meeting of the Public Works and Transportation Committee to order on this 26 day of February, 2013. If you all will rise and join us for the pledge of allegiance led by Legislator Krupski.

(Salutation)

You may be seated. Do I have any yellow cards for the public portion?

MS. ORTIZ:

No.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

Is there anybody who wishes to be heard before the committee before we begin our regular agenda? No? Okay. Let's go right to the agenda.

Actually, Gil, Commissioner Anderson, if you'll come forward to the table. I do have, actually, a question or two, a couple quick questions. One, sometime ago, there was a report done that looked at some of our bridges and said that they -- some of them were red-flagged, that they needed particular attention. Are we done with that review? Are we done with those changes? Where do we stand with that?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

We had -- we let an emergency contract -- and Bill can certainly speak in more detail on it. Last year, we let an emergency contract to repair the bridges that were, as you put it, red-flagged. Every two years, every bridge in Suffolk County is inspected by the State of New York DOT. So we then, upon receipt of their report, verify it, go through it and see it. But what happened on a couple occasions, you know, was that the steel was deteriorated enough that it warranted that red flag, and we got the contract. We immediately let it, and I believe the work is underway now. So we are addressing that. We are moving forward to, you know, make those repairs with the contractors, and, you know, that's just part of the process. We do this -- we have 70 bridges. Like I said, every two years, they are inspected, and in most cases, you'll get either a good report or they'll have a yellow flag with little things here or there, but for the most part, any red flags we address right away.

LEG. SCHNEIDERMAN:

I don't know if you'll have the answer to this question. Your office had reviewed the plans for work permit from Montauk Observatory to put up a fiberglass dome at the gator (ph) site to be used as housing an astronomical telescope, and apparently the plan was rejected because the fiberglass dome was too close to the building. It was in 30 feet or something like, and there's some requirement that fiberglass can't be within a certain distance of a building. I hadn't heard this before, maybe if it burst into flames or it burns or something. Do you know anything about that?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

I remember seeing the application. I don't know the --

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

Can you look into it?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

Yeah, absolutely.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

'Cause rather than having to go back to the drawing board, you know, and try to install a whole new slab, maybe there's way to put fire-retardant on the dome or maybe because the building itself is concrete and not flammable. It's a military building that's -- maybe whatever that State building code --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

It'd be the first I've ever heard of that, but I will --

LEG. SCHNEIDERMAN:

Can you look into that --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

Yeah, I'll into it, and I'll get back to you.

LEG. SCHNEIDERMAN:

-- because I know they're trying to come up with alternative plans now because of this 30-foot setback. If there's a way to get some kind of variance for it, it might be simpler or mitigate the problem.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

Will do.

LEG. SCHNEIDERMAN:

And I also wanted to ask you about the RFP for the utility poles. We had passed a law requiring utility poles to be removed within 60 days of notice on County roadways, and with the provision in that law that the County could use a contractor to do all the assessment, all the inventorying and billing. And I know after Hurricane Sandy, we all said, Let's wait a little while, because there were so many more double poles that resulted from that. But I'd like to get that moving now, so is your department ready to put out a proposal for that?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

I know when we last -- when I last spoke to staff about it, they were very close to doing that. Again, Sandy kind of stepped in and threw a monkey wrench in it. But, again, I will look -- I'll go back to my staff, see where we are. I'll let you know before the next committee meeting.

LEG. SCHNEIDERMAN:

I appreciate that.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

I know we were close.

LEG. SCHNEIDERMAN:

Actually, I ended up with three yellow cards. So, Gil, you can sit back down or stay where you are. Does anybody else have anything for Gil that's not on the regular agenda? All right.

Then let's take care of the public portion. Beatrice Ruberto, Sound Beach Civic Association, on IR 1027. Beatrice, if you'll step up to the podium and identify yourself, and then you'll have three minutes to present your comments.

MS. RUBERTO:

Good afternoon. My name is Bea Ruberto, and I'm the president of the Sound Beach Civic Association. First, I'd like to thank Legislator Anker for putting up resolution 1027, to study the S62 and 5A bus routes. I'd also like to thank Commissioner Gil Anderson and Acting Director of Transportation Garry Lenberger for taking the time to talk to us about these two routes.

At one point, Legislator Anker had asked if I could give her data on usage for these routes. Since good service doesn't exist in Sound Beach, such data would not give a clear picture. It would be like giving numbers on a system that often doesn't give people what they need. I can give you some anecdotal evidence, however. For example, a graduate student who wanted to use the bus to attend classes at Stony Brook but couldn't get there and back; a woman who can get to work using the bus but then can't get home; or a man who uses the train to get to work and often has to walk from 25A in Mount Sinai to the center of Sound Beach because there's no longer a connection from the S62 to the 5A.

The bottom line is that the existing bus service in Sound Beach cannot be relied on for the working person. This was okay when our hamlet was a summer community. This hasn't been true for years. People now live here full time. We're a middle-class community made up of working people and senior citizen, and both need access to better bus service.

I don't pretend to know how to solve this ; I'll leave that to you all. But what would alleviate the problem is better coordination between the two routes as well as having an earlier run of 5A westbound and a later run eastbound. We realize that all municipalities are looking for ways to save money, but, especially in this economic climate, a better bus system can only help those most in need. So I urge you to pass this resolution and to do whatever is possible to give us a more viable system. Thank you very much. And I have a copy, too, for the record if I could just give that to you. Thanks.

MS. ORTIZ:

Thank you.

LEG. SCHNEIDERMAN:

Thank you. Okay. Arlynn Bleier, who I think is speaking on the same issue, IR 1027.

MS. BLEIER:

Hi. My name is Arlynn Bleier, and I am a bus rider. I represent several bus riders from the Sound Beach area. I'd just like to make a few suggestions. Busses in general are ways to get from one place to another, small town to large town, whether it's a hamlet the size of Sound Beach or a town the size of Stony Brook. If you're seeking equal opportunity for schooling, equal opportunity for good medical care, and equal opportunity for jobs, you cannot create ghettos like you're doing in Sound Beach by depriving the area of proper bus service. The idea is to get a sufficient number of busses into the area that will work during the normal working hours, providing transportation to a job or to school and return transportation. As it stands now, this system does not exist, so it's something for you to think about. If you have people in Sound Beach, which is normally a smaller town, and with quite a few residents who had been on public assistance, if you think of a way to get them off of public assistance with a bus system, you can get them educated; you can get them good medical care; you can get them good jobs; and you can get them out of the rut that they are in. Thank you.

LEG. SCHNEIDERMAN:

Thank you. My last card is Donald Rettaliata and speaking on the topic of the Davis Park Ferry Company license.

MR. RETTALIATA:

Donald Rettaliata, 4250 Veterans Memorial Highway, Holbrook, New York. I'm the attorney for the Davis Park Ferry Company, which is one of the last items on your agenda tonight, and the purpose of the resolution is to extend the service from Patchogue to Davis Park and from Patchogue to Watch Hill Seashore. The company has been in business for 60 years running the Davis Park/Patchogue portion of it. We have a license -- I'm sorry, a lease with the Town of Brookhaven that runs through 2020 for those locations, and we just want to urge the adoption. I know it has to get laid

on the table, I believe, and go for public hearing next week. Thank you.

LEG. SCHNEIDERMAN:

It'll have to be tabled before this committee until after it's closed, the public hearing is closed.

MR. RETTALIATA:

Yeah.

LEG. SCHNEIDERMAN:

Okay. So we'll go to the agenda. Let me ask one more time. Is there anyone else who hasn't been heard who wishes to be heard? All right.

So tabled resolutions first.

Tabled Resolutions

IR 1997, Directing the Department of Public Works to make common sense changes to Bus Routes 1A and 1B (Gregory). Motion to table by Legislator Stern. I will second. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? So **tabled. (VOTE: 5-0-0-0)**

IR 1998, Directing the Department of Public Works to conduct a traffic study on a portion of County Road 28 (Gregory). Motion to table and I will second it. Tabling motion by Legislator Stern, second by myself. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? So **tabled. (VOTE: 5-0-0-0)**
2027, Adopting Local Law No. -2013, A Charter Law to strengthen monitoring of sewer plants operating in Suffolk County (Schneiderman). Still working on this so I will make a motion to table. Second by Legislator Stern. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? **Tabled. (VOTE: 5-0-0-0)**

2167, Directing the Department of Public Works to consider rising water levels and storm surge when planning projects (Hahn). I'll make a motion to table, second by Legislator Stern. Any discussion? All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? So **tabled. (VOTE: 5-0-0-0)**

2192, Encouraging efficiencies and coordination during infrastructure improvements.

Motion to table by Legislator Horsley.

D.P.O. HORSLEY:

Motion to table. Second by --

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

Second by Legislator Muratore.

D.P.O. HORSLEY:

On the motion.

LEG. SCHNEIDERMAN:

Sure. On the motion.

D.P.O. HORSLEY:

Yeah, I just wanted to -- just a short update. We have met with myself, Gil and others met with the utilities. We are coming up with a program, I believe. We are rewriting that piece of legislation to talk about hardening system after hurricanes and in the future and a program that will hopefully be helpful through all of Suffolk County. So we're in the middle of writing it. We've met, we've drafted it, and Counsel has advised us that he'll have it ready for us in the next couple days. But table it now.

LEG. SCHNEIDERMAN:

Thank you. So calling the motion to table, All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? 2192 is tabled. (VOTE: 5-0-0-0)

2253, Requiring Suffolk County to install storm water remediation practices and/or storm water filtration gardens when paving new and existing County-owned parking lots with the assistance of the Suffolk County Soil and Water Conservation District (Sarah Anker).

LEG. MURATORE:

Table.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

Motion to table by Legislator Muratore. Second by Legislator Krupski. Any discussion? All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? Tabled. (VOTE: 5-0-0-0).

Moving on to **Introductory Resolutions.**

Starting with **1022, Amending Resolution No. 40-2012, establishing a Blue Ribbon Panel to examine restructuring all County-owned sewer districts into one consolidated district.**

Motion to approve by Legislator Horsley. I will second. This is adding more time?

D.P.O. HORSLEY:

That's correct.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

Okay. Any other comments, questions? Legislator Krupski.

LEG. KRUPSKI:

Question.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

Yep.

LEG. KRUPSKI:

What were the -- what's the purpose of that one consolidated district?

D.P.O. HORSLEY:

Through the Chair, the purpose of it, to see if there was any synergies and cost reductions in having one district rather than all the districts that we have, the 100 and odd districts we've got across the County, and we're also looking at -- we've actually expanded the scope of that conversation to see whether or not, maybe, we should look at the Suffolk County Water Authority, what synergies are there between sewers and the Suffolk County Water Authority. We're looking at the whole sewer programming and how to make it easier to grow sewers in Suffolk County, and that's the purpose.

LEG. KRUPSKI:

Thank you.

LEG. SCHNEIDERMAN:

All right. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? 1022 is **approved.** (VOTE: 5-0-0-0).

1027, Directing the Department of Public Works to study the S62 and 5A Bus Routes (Anker). Any motions on this one?

LEG. STERN:

Table.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

Motion to table by Legislator Stern.

LEG. MURATORE:

Second.

LEG. SCHNEIDERMAN:

Second by Legislator Muratore. On the motion. Commissioner, I don't see anybody from Suffolk Transit here but --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

We met with the legislator and the civic groups. We are working right now to forward this proposal, essentially looking to get better service up there. We've got to look at the routes. We've made a commitment to work towards that, and I reached out to Legislator Anker and asked her to table this, similar to what we did with Legislator Gregory's bill, that as long as we moved forward and progressed the project, it wouldn't need to be legislated.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

I was going to ask you about that, anyway, whether there was something you could do without the resolution. So I see you are doing it, and I just urge you to keep the community in the loop, particularly the bus riders. There was one who spoke today.

Okay. So there's a motion and a second to table. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? So **tabled. (VOTE: 5-0-0-0).**

1035, Eliminating impact assessment fees (Cilmi). I will make a motion to table. Second by Legislator Stern.

On the motion, I talked to Legislator Cilmi. I think this is still being worked on, so it's not exactly yet what you and I and Legislator Cilmi had discussed.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

This is like the original bill. This is the same bill, and it actually calls for the elimination of impact fees, which we can't really do.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

Right. It's not something I'm supporting, but we're looking, I think, to standardize them a little bit more.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

Correct. We were looking to expand the actual impact fee to lower the level whereby you go with an impact fee. We have to, under SEQRA, we are required to mitigate any additional traffic that's generated by a development or a project, and, depending on that level, we either use impact fees or we negotiate some type of improvements.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

I think there was a -- I could be wrong but something -- some estimate that, on average, we collect \$400,000 in impact fees.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

Whatever that amount is, you would have to have an offset based on the Stern bill.

LEG. SCHNEIDERMAN:

So there's no offset in this bill. Either way there's a motion and a second to table. If there's no further discussion, all in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? 1035 is **tabled. (VOTE: 5-0-0-0).**

1068, Updating Resolution No. 1045-2008 requiring Identification of County Purchasing Personnel pursuant to New York General Municipal Law Section 104-b(2)(f) (County Executive). Commissioner, do you have additional information on this?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

This is something we have to do every two years. Essentially, it allows the four names including myself to complete -- basically responsible for purchasing throughout the County, so this is just something that has to be part of the code. It has to be established every couple of years as people come and go. Tom Malanga is now the gentleman who is the principal contracts examiner. He handles all the purchasing for non-DPW, if you will, projects and as well as I would sign for all DPW purchasing.

LEG. SCHNEIDERMAN:

Thank you for that. I'll make a motion to approve. Second by Legislator Stern. Any questions? Comments? Discussion? All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? 1068 is **approved. (VOTE: 5-0-0-0).**

1093, Transferring Assessment Stabilization Reserve Funds to the Capital Fund, and appropriating funds for Chemical Bulk Storage Facilities for sanitary facilities in Suffolk County Sewer Districts (CP 8178) (County Executive). I'll make a motion to approve. Second by Legislator Stern. Any discussion?

LEG. KRUPSKI:

Discussion.

LEG. SCHNEIDERMAN:

Sure. Commissioner, you want to come up?

LEG. KRUPSKI:

What's the whole purpose of this? Is it mandated?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

Okay. This is -- some of it is, yes. In fact, it's 300,000 -- it's to appropriate \$300,000 to construct certain improvements to each of our sewer facilities, our treatment plant facilities. They could be either a truck containment system, something that the truck bringing supplies in has to basically roll onto. It contains, you know, if there is any type of spill, it would also -- there are -- it would also include storage tank safety devices, something as similar as, again, a containment device, some type of valving, things like that and any other appropriate documentation that we have to do to meet the federal requirements.

LEG. KRUPSKI:

Federal requirement?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

Federal and State, yeah.

LEG. KRUPSKI:

Unfunded mandate?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

Yup.

LEG. KRUPSKI:

Do you have a timeline for it to be implemented by, or is it -- this is a general type of thing that came down?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

I don't believe there's a timeline established.

LEG. KRUPSKI:

I don't believe in unfunded mandates.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

I don't believe there's a timeline established, but I'm --

LEG. KRUPSKI:

I don't believe in unfunded mandates so --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

I mean, for what it's worth, these particular ones are good practice, but they are an unfunded mandate.

MR. DONOVAN:

It's an ongoing program. We've been doing this for the last couple years to bring our tanks into compliance. It's also County Health Department regulations, State and Federal government. It's regulations, so it's stuff that private industry has to do, we have to do, everybody has to do. So this is an ongoing project. We do about -- we try to get \$300,000 worth of work of upgrading every year. We're almost towards the end of it. Hopefully, this will be the last year. I think next year, I'm not sure, but I think we put another 300,000 in for future.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

Do I have a motion and a second for that?

MS. ORTIZ:

Yes, you do.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? **Approved. (VOTE: 5-0-0-0).**

1094, Appropriating funds in connection with the purchase of Sewer Facility Maintenance Equipment (CP 8164) (County Executive).

This is a million-dollar bond approximately. There's a split roughly between Bergen Point and several other sewer districts for various pieces of equipment. I'll make a motion to approve. Is there a second?

LEG. MURATORE:

Second.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

Second by Legislator Muratore. Any questions? Discussion? All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? **Approved. (VOTE: 5-0-0-0).**

1095, Appropriating funds through the issuance of sewer district serial bonds for the improvements to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 7 – Medford (Sewers) (CP 8150)(County Executive).

This is also about a million dollars. Commissioner, do you want to explain anything about this one?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

Yeah, this one and actually the resolution after it are specific to Sewer District 7, which is in Medford. This particular one looks to appropriate a million dollars so that we can do improvements and repairs to sewers within the district.

LEG. SCHNEIDERMAN:

Any questions? Legislator Krupski.

LEG. KRUPSKI:

Thank you. These costs are borne by the whole County, then why aren't they -- no?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

No, they're actually borne by the district. Each district is responsible to pay back any of the bonds and any of the operating costs as well.

LEG. KRUPSKI:

Thank you.

LEG. SCHNEIDERMAN:

It is borne by taxpayers but not Countywide. Any other questions?

LEG. KRUPSKI:

No.

LEG. SCHNEIDERMAN:

All right. So let's call the vote on that one. That was 1095. All in favor? Any opposed? Abstentions? **Approved. (VOTE: 5-0-0-0).**

And very similarly, 1096, Appropriating funds through the issuance of sewer district serial bonds for the improvements to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 7 – Medford (Woodside) (CP 8119). Same motion, same second, same vote. **Approved (VOTE: 5-0-0-0).**

1097, Appropriating funds through the issuance of sewer district serial bonds for the improvements to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 11 – Selden (CP 8117) (County Executive). Motion by Legislator Muratore.

Second by Legislator Krupski. Commissioner, do you want to add any more detail?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

Yeah, this is to appropriate a million dollars to do sewer force main repairs through the district.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

They all seem to be a million dollars today. Okay. Any questions? All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? **Approved. (VOTE: 5-0-0-0).**

IR 1098, Amending the 2013 Operating Budget, transferring Assessment Stabilization Reserve Funds to the Capital Fund, and appropriating funds for safety and security improvements for sanitary facilities in Suffolk County Sewer Districts (CP 8103).

Commissioner, do you have more detail on this?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

This is our program to look at and alleviate any arc flash. That's where you will actually get an arc come from electrical equipment and arc out to an employee. This 400,000 allows us to look and make improvements at various districts. It's a safety improvement. It wasn't part of the capital program, so that's why we're looking to go to the stabilization relief fund to take the money, but it will be used, you know, at various districts throughout the County. It is a very important safety project.

LEG. SCHNEIDERMAN:

Okay. Any questions? What's the cost of it?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

\$400,000 for this portion.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

And it's from assessment stabilization.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

Correct.

LEG. SCHNEIDERMAN:

You okay with that, Wayne?

D.P.O. HORSLEY:

Yeah. I just never heard of that issue.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

Okay.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

We initiated it at Bergen. It was one of the first places.

D.P.O. HORSLEY:

I well understand why. Is this something from water to metal or?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

No. It's actually airborne, from what I understand. It's actually, if you get enough, if the temperature is right, the humidity is right, you can actually get a pop, and I believe we actually had an injury because of it. The fella lived, but it sent him flying.

LEG. SCHNEIDERMAN:

We had a motion and a second, right?

MS. ORTIZ:

No.

LEG. SCHNEIDERMAN:

So Legislator Horsley, you want to motion?

D.P.O. HORSLEY:

Sure. Legislator Stern seconded it. Approved. **(VOTE: 5-0-0-0).**

IR 1103, Appropriating funds in connection with Bulkheading at Various Locations (CP 5375) (County Executive). I'll make a motion to approve. Second by Legislator Stern. Any questions on this one? Commissioner, it is what it says.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

Exactly. It's an ongoing capital program. In this particular case, we are looking at but we're not limited to repairing bulkhead at County Road 35 Mill Dam Road.

LEG. SCHNEIDERMAN:

Go ahead, Legislator Krupski.

LEG. KRUPSKI:

Do you take into account, you know, storm surge and all that? Do you raise the elevation of the bulkhead at the time of replacement, or do you keep it at the same elevation?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

Well, I would imagine we keep it at the same elevation, I mean, unless there is some -- certainly if there is evidence that it would increase beyond -- or the need to heighten it would be beyond that. But again, everything is dependent on permitting, and in most cases, this is just a replacement program, not so much a new program.

LEG. SCHNEIDERMAN:

Any of those repairs related to Hurricane Sandy? Any of them reimbursable, or this is general maintenance?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

This is our normal maintenance project, but it's not -- we've made many applications and repairs that were needed through to FEMA right now, so that's pending.

LEG. SCHNEIDERMAN:

So there was a motion and a second on this one, yes?

MS. ORTIZ:

All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? **Approved. (VOTE: 5-0-0-0).**

IR 1104, Appropriating funds in connection with Reconstruction of Shinnecock Canal Locks (CP 5343) (County Executive).

I will make the motion on this one. Second by Legislator Stern. And, Commissioner, this is to years we've done quite a bit of work on those locks. Are we approaching the end, or is this an ongoing thing?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

This is an ongoing maintenance. This really is a project that we have to do every couple of years where we clean everything, paint it again. Over the years, we've had instances where the locks have actually fallen off and, you know, it's a big burden. This is the only lock in New York State that is not run by New York State, and we would gladly give it back to them, but it does require a lot of maintenance, a lot of maintenance.

LEG. SCHNEIDERMAN:

Somehow I don't think they'll take it.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

No. We've been trying.

LEG. SCHNEIDERMAN:

All right. So I made a motion. There was a second by Legislator Stern. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? **Approved. (VOTE: 5-0-0-0).**

IR 1105, Appropriating funds in connection with Painting of County Bridges (CP 5815) (County Executive). All right. I'll make a motion. Second by Legislator Stern. I think this is self-evident. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? **Approved. (VOTE: 5-0-0-0).**

1106, Amending the 2013 Capital Budget and Program and appropriating funds in connection with Rehabilitation of Various Bridges and Embankments (CP 5850) (County Executive). Same motion, same second. Any questions? All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? **Approved. (VOTE: 5-0-0-0).**

1107, Appropriating funds in connection with the Reconstruction of CR 97, Nicolls Road, Town of Brookhaven (CP 5512) (County Executive). Motion by Legislator Muratore. Second by

Legislator Krupski. Any questions? All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? **Approved. (VOTE: 5-0-0-0).**

IR 1115, Approving the extension of the license and franchise of Davis Park Ferry Co., Inc., for Cross Bay Service between Patchogue, New York and Fire Island Community of Davis Park. We have to table this one. I'll make a motion to table.

LEG. STERN:

Second.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

Second. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? So 1115 is **tabled. (VOTE: 5-0-0-0).**

Commissioner, one other thing came up; maybe it's in Parks. I have a resolution to allow the Boy Scouts out on the East End of Montauk, Boy Scouts, to become stewards of the Lindley House, the block house there, to kind of maintain the lawns and grounds and buildings and generator, well, et cetera. There's a question as to whether that building was ready for them to go into, that the County might actually have to do some work prior to inviting a non-for-profit to use the facility. Can you get out there somebody from your -- not you necessarily personally, though you might enjoy a trip to Montauk, but can we get some people out there to do an estimate?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

Yes, we will.

LEG. SCHNEIDERMAN:

So if we need to do a capital item, we can at least have the board take a look at it.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

Yup. We're already working on trying to get folks out there, including myself, to take a look at it.

LEG. SCHNEIDERMAN:

Good. Sounds great. Okay. Thank you.

Any other questions, other business? Hearing none, I'll make a motion to adjourn. Thank you.

(*meeting adjourned at 2:56 p.m.*)