

**PUBLIC WORKS
AND
TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE
of the
SUFFOLK COUNTY LEGISLATURE**

A regular meeting of the Public Works and Transportation Committee of the Suffolk County Legislature was held in the Rose Y. Caracappa Legislative Auditorium of the William H. Rogers Legislature Building, Veterans Memorial Highway, Smithtown, New York, on Tuesday November 9, 2010.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Legislator Jay Schneiderman - Chairman
Legislator Steve Stern - Vice-Chairman
Legislator Wayne Horsley
Legislator Tom Muratore
Legislator Tom Barraga

ALSO IN ATTENDANCE:

George Nolan- Counsel to the Legislature
Gil Anderson - Commissioner - DPW
Bill Hillman - Chief Engineer - DPW
Ben Wright - Sanitation Division - DPW
Robert Doering - Budget Review Office
Linda Bay - Aide to Minority Caucus
Paul Perillie - Aide to Majority Aide
Renee Ortiz - Chief Deputy Clerk - SC Legislature
Ben Zwirn - County Executive's Office
Dot Kerrigan - AME
All Other Interested Parties

MINUTES TAKEN BY:

Donna Catalano - Court Stenographer

(*THE MEETING WAS CALLED TO ORDER AT 2:06 P.M.*)

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

Good afternoon. I'd like to call the meeting of the Public Works and Transportation Committee to order this 9th day of November, 2010. Please rise for the Pledge led by Legislator Stern

SALUTATION

Please be seated. Madam Clerk, are there any yellow cards for the public portion?

MS. ORTIZ:

No.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

All right. Perhaps things will go quickly. Mr. Anderson -- Commissioner Anderson, if you will please step forward. Any general questions or concerns for the Commissioner before we begin the agenda?

LEG. HORSLEY:

I do.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

I do. All right. We will start with Legislator Horsley.

LEG. HORSLEY:

Hello, Commissioner, how are you?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

Good afternoon.

LEG. HORSLEY:

I think I just wanted to go over those two bills relating to the Southwest Sewer District. And, of course, you know my issue of incineration and assuring myself that they are not either one of these two that are in place at this point.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

Can you wait to we get to them on the agenda?

LEG. HORSLEY:

Sure.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

No. I meant more if you had any questions or things that were not on the agenda.

LEG. HORSLEY:

Not on the agenda. Well, I will wait then.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

That is the first one. Can I ask you, Commissioner, we held some public hearings on Sunday bus. I guess you have put together a report on that. My understanding is that the fares -- the fare increase -- 50% fare increase that I've been advocating for would not be sufficient to do all the routes that the study had recommended.

We came very close to passing a budget amendment the other day, we fell one vote short, of implementing a pilot program. I am bringing that forward again to raise the fare fifty cents and have the Department of Public Works develop a plan for Sunday bus service to the greatest extent that you could do within that budget of that 50 cent increase. Is that something you would be prepared to do?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

We can certainly do it based on -- you know, the trick would be, I guess, based on the routes with the most ridership. Obviously, that may only be a couple. You know, we looked at increasing our rates to meet the MTA rates, and we weren't anywhere near being able to get all 24 or 28 routes in. So I don't know how far it would get, but we can certainly look at it.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

All right. So I'm not writing the bill saying you have to do ten routes or five routes, only to the greatest extent you can. If you could crunch the numbers on that 50 cent increase and what you believe it would generate, I think it's in the neighborhood of about a million dollars, and then whatever made logical sense. And I would say, you know, assuming that we can pass this bill that -- I know

Cherwony when he did this study, he based his recommendations on Sunday routes based on whatever was the busiest Saturday routes, because we have the numbers from Saturday, assuming those would be retail routes. And if we use the same methodology by starting with the busiest routes for Saturday and then seeing where your money runs out, that might be one approach to do it, leaving yourself some wiggle room. But, again, it would be up to your department to figure out how to best implement. But as long as I know that's you would be prepared to do.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

Yes.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

All right. Any other questions or comments for the Commissioner? All right. We will go to the agenda then. The first Tabled Resolution is on that Southwest sludge improvement bill.

1881, Calling for a public hearing for the purpose of considering the increase and improvement of facilities fro Sewer District No. 3 Southwest (Sludge Improvements) (CP8180). (Co. Exec.)

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

If I may, I would ask that this be withdrawn. The other resolution which, I believe is IR 2002, supercedes it. It does do, as Legislator Horsley requested, it would remove the cogeneration facility that was originally included in it. But this one we ask to be withdrawn.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

We can't withdraw it, but we could table it subject to call.

LEG. HORSLEY:

I'll make that motion.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

Okay. There's a motion to table subject to call by Legislator Horsley, seconded by Legislator Stern. Any discussion? All those in favor? Opposed? **TABLED SUBJECT TO CALL (VOTE: 5-0-0-0).**

1979, Amending the 2010 Capital Budget and Program and appropriating funds in connection with off-street parking off CR 80 in Patchogue (CP 5534). (Eddington)

Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

This resolution was prepared by Legislator Eddington and calls for \$300,000 for land acquisition and construction of off-street parking in the East Patchogue area where we're doing some work now. It was not discussed with this department, and as such, we have some concerns with the resolution, although we do agree with its intent.

Our objections if you will bear with me are as follows: One, the funding source is being offset from CP 5539, which is the Wicks Road Corridor Study. We had intended on using these funds to offset other needed projects.

Two, there are no design funds included within the cost developed within the resolution, and the funds are very tight given what is trying to be done here.

Three, normally if a project like this is to be undertaken, it is a multi-step process taking a number of years. And by this, I mean the acquisition of the land takes a couple of years as we negotiate with the landowners and then a year to design it, and then hopefully another year to construct. We would recommend that the project be developed through normal the Capital Program process and not be furthered through this resolution. As such, we do not recommend that this resolution be approved, if you got all that.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

I did. I think I did. So you had a question about the offset and the timing.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

Correct. And the process of what we're doing here.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

Okay. I make a motion to table.

LEG. BARRAGA:

Second.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

Seconded by Legislator Barraga. Any discussion?

LEG. STERN:

Commissioner, is the -- the project as it's laid out here, forgetting the source of funding for just a moment, is the project defined in the appropriate way? When you say that the department essentially in theory supports the project, this is not something that your department prepared. Is there an actual project that this seeks to fund? And is it defined in a way the department approves of?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

Although we don't know specifically the intent of the location, when we developed the corridor project that is underway now, right now, they are putting in sewers, we've pretty much done most of the roadwork within the corridor, it was -- the intent of the design was to look at creating off-street parking. So we understand the intent and what the Legislator is doing. We believe it's behind one of the local establishments. There's a property that, you know, we looked at as

potentially being a parking area. But again, this wasn't discussed with my department, so we're not really sure -- we are envisioning this is the location that would make the most sense. But again, we are not sure.

LEG. STERN:

All right. So it's not just a particularly defined project. And you're not just talking about funding and timing, it might be the overall scope and how the project is developed.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

Correct.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

What is the entire capital cost roughly for the project?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

For the overall project, the one we just did?

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

Yeah. I imagine it's in the several millions.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

Oh, it's in the millions, without question.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

Is there no money left within that budget to do this off-street parking?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

No. No.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

Have we gone over-budget on this one?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

I believe so. Bill? In fact, this is one of areas, if I may, that we've been working with the Town of Brookhaven. They're extending the sewers from the Village of Patchogue out into this area, and we're coordinating the project. We have done 95% of the construction; all the roadwork is done, all the curbs, sidewalks. They are going to come in and put in sewers, and then we're going to close up the road essentially. But, Bill, I'll let you answer the question.

MR. HILLMAN:

This project was actually coordinated down to the penny to the point where we were not able to install additional landscaping as requested by the Legislator and by the community. So it's maxed out.

LEG. HORSLEY:

Mr. Chair? On this matter, can you maybe contact Legislator Eddington and see if he can come down next meeting if we are to table this matter, so he can maybe defend it and give us an opinion on it and where his thinking is?

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

Well, because this is a 2010 Capital Budget item, we are running out of 2010. I mean -- but Commissioner, you are basically saying even if we were to approve this, it couldn't happen in 2010, right?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

Correct. The land acquisition process alone takes a year or two depending on, you know, the need for negotiation.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

Did you speak with the Legislator? Do you know why he did this as a 2010 and not 2011?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

No.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

All right. Right now there is a motion and a second to table. Is there any other motion?

LEG. STERN:

Yeah. I guess just one more comment. Commissioner, it just sounds like there are outstanding issues that go above and beyond just the timing and the source of funding. I suggest that hopefully you or your department can speak with Legislator Eddington before we come back for our next session so that we can have more information and a better idea on where he would like to go. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

We will reach out to the Legislator.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

I mean, to me the fact that you guys are eying the capital offset for other things, I think it's fair game that Legislators eye the same pot of money. You know, if we end up using some of the Wicks Road corridor study money for this project, then obviously you'll have to identify another pot for some of the things you might be contemplating. If there's money there, I think that's fair game for the Legislator to use that pot, but the timing issues are more substantive to me. It's not going to happen this year anyway. Okay. Should I call the vote? All those in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? **TABLED (VOTE: 5-0-0-0).**

1993, Amending the map of the County Road System to remove a portion of CR 19, Patchogue-Holbrook Road (West Avenue) and authorizing the County Executive to execute an agreement with the Village of Patchogue transferring ownership and maintenance of said roadway to the Village of Patchogue. (Co. Exec.)

Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

This resolution provides for the transfer of a portion of County Road 19, which is Patchogue-Holbrook south of Montauk Highway approximately 200 feet south up to Division Street within the Village of Patchogue. The resolution will authorize the County Executive to enter into an agreement with the village and will lead to the amendment of the map of the County road system, eliminate taking that portion of the road off the County road system map. There is no cost to this resolution. I would advise that part of the process is that once we have done this, we still have to submit a request to the Commissioner of the New York State Department of Transportation pursuant to Highway Law.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

It's 200 feet.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

Two hundred feet, yeah.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

Was this initiated by us or the village?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

I believe by the village. This is one road, it's a very small road. You know, it's, like, one of those odd roads that really don't make an awful lot of sense being a County highway because it is so small. I believe it's the location of the Clare Rose project that's going on in the village, you know, where they are going to build housing.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

Okay. So this gets us off the hook of liability with the maintenance?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

At least within these 200 feet, yes.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

Okay. Do we have a motion to approve? Motion by Legislator Muratore, seconded by Legislator Barraga. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? **APPROVED (VOTE: 5-0-0-0).**

1997, Authorizing execution of agreement by the Administrative Head of Suffolk County Sewer District No. 3 Southwest and Canon USA (HU-1604). (Co. Exec.)

That's pretty self-explanatory. Motion by Legislator Horsley, seconded by Legislator Stern. Any discussion? All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? **APPROVED (VOTE: 5-0-0-0).**

1998, Appropriating funds in connection with the roof replacement on various County buildings (CP 1623). (Co. Exec.)

I make a motion, seconded by Legislator Muratore. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? **APPROVED (VOTE: 5-0-0-0).**

2001, Amending the 2010 Capital Budget and Program and transferring Assessment Stabilization Reserve Funds to the Capital Fund and accepting funds from Changing World Technologies and appropriating the Assessment Stabilization Reserve Funds and Changing World Technology Funds to the Capital Program of Fats/Oils and Grease to Fuel Demonstration Project and authorizing execution of agreements for the project (CP 8186). (Co. Exec.)

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

Okay. Commissioner, explain.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

This resolution appropriates \$50,000 to put towards a project with -- in conjunction Changing World Technologies who are also kicking in \$50,000. It's to study taking fats and oils and grease that come from our treatment plant, you know, waste and try to convert that into fuel. The work is actually being done in Brookhaven National Labs. And this is a pilot project in conjunction with similar work that they're doing on the same type of material to convert it into fuel.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

It's great. Any questions? Legislator Horsley.

LEG. HORSLEY:

Yeah. I put the monies in for Changing World Technologies a couple of years ago into the budget. This is the same dollars that we put in. We put it in a couple of years in advance, and this is now going to be used as a joint -- explain it one more time.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

Yeah. I believe Changing World Technologies has committed \$50,000 towards this specific as well as the County.

LEG. HORSLEY:

Originally, they were talking they were going to bring in federal dollars. Has that happened at all?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

I believe there is a study going on now in Brookhaven National Lab with Changing World Technologies. This is taking specific sanitary waste as compared to just taking the oils and whatnot in general.

LEG. HORSLEY:

Good stuff. It's great, so to speak.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

Hopefully it works.

LEG. HORSLEY:

Motion to approve.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

Motion by Legislator Horsley, seconded by Legislator Muratore. Any more discussion? All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? **APPROVED (VOTE: 5-0-0-0).**

2002, Calling for a public hearing for the purpose of considering the increase and improvement of facilities for Sewer District No. 3 - Southwest (sludge improvements) (CP 8180). (Co. Exec.)

Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

This request is --follows the original one we just tabled subject to call, where we are -- I'm sorry. Okay. Bear with me one second. We revised the resolution to separate the request -- bear with me one second. The initial request revolves around a public hearing to discuss the report. I'm going to let Ben take this one.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

We were going to hire consultants for --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

Right. For 200,000. We undertook -- the County undertook a study to develop how to best handle the sludge at Southwest Sewer District. And the sludge -- the committee recommended beneficial reuse of that sludge. We are going to be going out for a proposal to get companies that would want to bid on this process, and these funds will help us procure the services of our consultant to help us go through these bids, because we anticipate them being pretty large. I'm going to let Ben take over.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

That's IR 2002? Yes? That's what IR 2002 does, that's the consultant?

MR. WRIGHT:

Yes, it does. And I know there was a lot of discussion on this at the last meeting, and --

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

Right. We separated it out. So which are the two new bills, the 2002 and --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

Well, 2002 supercedes -- the other bill never -- we haven't processed the other bill. It effectively removed it.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

What was that that we removed? It had to do with --

MR. WRIGHT:

It was the cogeneration. We already had gotten the funds for that in 2009, and there was just a mistake on our part by asking for it again with Resolution 1881.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

All right. So any questions on 2002?

LEG. HORSLEY:

No, but let's go back to the 2009. Say that again.

MR. WRIGHT:

2009, there was \$200,000 in the Capital Budget for consultant assistants on cogeneration, and it's really for the same kind of assistance where it help us prepare the RFP, send the RFP out, and then go through reviewing those proposals that were received. That RFP hasn't gone out yet, but we have those funds in place in 2009.

When we requested \$500,000, which is in the current 2010 Capital Budget, we basically made a mistake that we already had 200,000 in 2009, we didn't need 500,000, and because of the sludge management plan being a little more efficient than originally thought, we only need \$200,000 to accomplish the assistance necessary for the sludge management plan.

LEG. HORSLEY:

I'll have to take a look at that 2009 piece of legislation. Okay.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

All right. So the only thing that's in front of us really is 2002, which is the hearing. And I guess this also makes the money available for the consultant; is that right?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

Correct.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

Okay. Do we have a motion? Motion by Legislator Muratore, seconded by Legislator Barraga. Any discussion? All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? **APPROVED (VOTE: 5-0-0-0).**

2008, Calling a public hearing upon a proposal to amend the map and plan of service for Suffolk County Sewer District No. 4 Smithtown Galleria, in the Town of Smithtown, to determine whether it is in the public interest to extend the boundaries in the district and amend the operation and maintenance budget to reflect full current costs and annual rate to be charged for sewage treatment. (Co. Exec.)

Commissioner, do you have more information on this?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

This is a resolution that called for a public hearing to consider amending the map and plan of the service area for Suffolk County Sewer District Number 4. The proposal calls for extending the boundaries to include two adjacent restaurants as well as amending the operating and maintenance budget and annual rate to reflect current rates. This is a district that was created back in 2002 with a map and plan.

We have looked at it and discussed it quite extensively a number of years ago because we had found that the costs weren't sufficient to operate the district properly, and we looked to amend it. We were requested to go back essentially to the drawing board to look how we could decrease the annual rate. And one of the ideas that came forward was the inclusion of those two adjacent restaurants to do it. To be frank, it's still very expensive, but this is a public hearing to see whether the community would be willing to consider it.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

Any questions? Do I have a motion? Motion by Legislator Muratore, seconded by Legislator Stern. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? **APPROVED (VOTE: 5-0-0-0).**

2028, A Resolution making certain Findings and Determinations in relation to the increase and improvement of facilities for Sewer District No. 5 Strathmore Huntington (CP 8115). (Co. Exec.)

Commissioner, any additional information?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

This we held -- at the last meeting of the Legislature, we held public hearings to -- you know, regarding \$400,000 towards improvements of the sewer system for Sewer District Number 5. The project cost is \$400,000, and this just basically makes the findings and determinations.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

Any questions from the committee? All right. Do we have a motion? How about we take the same motion, same second as the last one? All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? **APPROVED (VOTE: 5-0-0-0).**

2029, A Resolution making certain Findings and Determinations in relation to the increase and improvement of facilities for Sewer District No. 14 Parkland (CP 8118).

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

Again, this was to make certain findings and determination from the public hearings that were held to consider the project, the one million dollar project at Sewer District Number 14, which is Parkland, for phases two and three of our program of improvements at the district. These involve sludge system modifications, infrastructure and hydraulic improvements as well as odor control.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

Same motion, same second, same vote. **APPROVED (VOTE: 5-0-0-0).**

2030, A Resolution making certain Findings and Determinations in relation to the increase and improvement of facilities for Sewer District No. 7 Medford (CP 8150).

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

Again, this is making certain findings and determinations based on public hearings that were held recently for a \$200,000 project at Sewer District Number 7 - Medford which will look to design renovations to sewers serving within the district.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

Questions? Same motion, same second, same vote. **APPROVED (VOTE: 5-0-0-0).**

2031, A Resolution making certain Findings and Determinations in relation to the increase and improvement of facilities for Sewer District No. 3 Southwest (CP 8181).

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

This makes certain findings and determinations based on public hearings for a \$3 million project to improve the sewer collection system for Southwest Sewer District Number 3 by reducing infiltration and inflow within the sewer system. It involves a million dollars of engineering funds for pipe cleaning and closed-circuit TV as well as construction of \$2 million worth of improvements such as pipe grouting, lining and manhole ceiling with lock-in covers.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

Any questions? Same motion, same second, same vote. **APPROVED (VOTE: 5-0-0-0).**

2032, A Resolution making certain Findings and Determinations in relation to the increase and improvement of facilities for Sewer District No. 3 Southwest (CP 8183).

Sounds like the same one. This is a different capital project, 8183. Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

This makes certain findings and determinations from public hearings which were held recently to consider improvements to Southwest Sewer District, specifically the expansion of the treatment plant by ten million gallons per day at a cost of \$65 million.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

Sixty-five million dollars?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

Yes, sir. Well, for ten million gallons per day. It's a bargain.

LEG. HORSLEY:

Which gives us a total of what?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

Forty-one.

LEG. HORSLEY:

Forty-one.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

Yep.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

This was all part, obviously, of the long-term planning of that facility.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

Correct.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

Any questions? Do we have a motion? Same motion, same second, same vote. **APPROVED (VOTE: 5-0-0-0).**

2033, Amending the 2010 Capital Budget and Program and appropriating funds in connection with Fuel Management/Preventive Maintenance and Parts Inventory/Control System (CP 1616). (Co. Exec.)

Commissioner, if you have any more information.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

This is an ongoing project that we have been undertaking County-wide to upgrade our fuel management systems to make -- you know, keep them in accordance with current regulations. The -- under this, what we are currently contemplating is at the Yaphank/Westhampton Highway Yard as well as the Marine Bureau and the Commack fuel facilities, it appropriates \$1.95 million; 75,000 for planning, \$975,000 for equipment and then another \$900,000 for construction.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

Is there an offset involved with this within the project?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

Yes, it's in the Capital Program.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

I'll make a motion, seconded by Legislator Muratore. Any discussion? All those in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? **APPROVED (VOTE: 5-0-0-0).**

2041, Authorizing execution of an agreement between the Town of Brookhaven and the County of Suffolk for use of County dredged sand in exchange for utilization of town landfill space. (Co. Exec.)

Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

This resolution authorizes the County Executive to enter into an agreement with the Town of Brookhaven whereby the County will provide the town with 6000 cubic yards of dredge sand intended as reserve for the beach nourishment at Cupsogue Beach and other beaches east of Cupsogue for the town's needs at their landfill. Right now, the Army Corps is doing some dredge work in the intercoastal area, and they're depositing the material at Cupsogue.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

So you're saying Cupsogue is getting sand from a different source?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

No. What this is, Cupsogue and beaches in that area -- there's a stockpile behind Cupsogue -- this allows for the town to take 6000 yards of that material for their needs. They're going to pay us for it at a rate -- I believe it's \$10 a yard. But they need it for the landfill.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

Don't we need the sand?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

The material is stockpiled. It's a large -- I don't know off the top of my head the amount of material being stockpiled there.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

Because when we go and buy sand, we're paying more than ten a yard, aren't we?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

No. That was a fair rate. It really was a fair rate. We looked at ongoing prices -- we looked at two, I don't remember the name of the two facilities, but we looked at it.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

I'm nervous to take sand away from a stockpile that's there for beach nourishment and move it to someplace else.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

The stockpile, I mean, was renourished a couple of years ago when we did the Moriches. This is adding to it. You know, it's kind of like a drop in the bucket for the material that's back there.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

Brookhaven is using it for daily cover?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

I'm not sure.

MR. ZWIRN:

Beach replenishment.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

Okay. It's going for beach replenishment.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

In Brookhaven.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

In Brookhaven.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

Town beach? County beach? Town beach.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

Oh, I apologize. Yes, I misread that. What we are doing is we are doing an exchange for utilization of landfill space. What happens is we bring in debris to the landfill.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

So they're not paying us \$10 a yard, they're giving us that value in --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

In barter basically.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

Barter for dumping. Now, Brookhaven takes, what, just construction and demolition?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

They take our street sweepings, they take, you know, debris that we find on the highway and things like that.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

All right. And they obviously charge us for that material, so we're getting a credit basically against the sand.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

Well, the sand -- within the Town of Brookhaven, there is no charge for any sand brought in -- street sweeping that goes to the landfill.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

Because they use that for daily cover.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

Correct.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

Right. When are getting that?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

Well, we do bring in debris, you know, cuttings, things like that that come off of County roads to the landfill, and this basically allows us to bring that material in for free.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

We're basically getting a \$60,000 credit in exchange for 6000 --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

Correct.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

-- cubic yards of sand?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

Correct.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

And typically would we use that \$60,000 credit in a given year?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

I would think so. I don't know off the top of my head, I can find out. But I believe it is -- you know, it was a good deal. Our guys were -- you know, they were fine with it.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

All right. As long as we would normally spend that.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

Shortly, there should be a resolution coming I think the next cycle that goes for an MOU with

Brookhaven. We're looking -- we reached an agreement with Brookhaven to bring in sweepings from outside of the Town of Brookhaven on County roads at a set fee. So it will get used. You know, we are having a problem with that material, where to place that material, you know, the street sweepings. So \$60,000 isn't a -- it won't take long to spend it.

MR. LIPP:

Point of information. The resolution says not less than 50,000.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

It depends on how many yards they take? It's \$10 a yard, is that the price that was negotiated?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:

It's between ten and eight. I believe you were right when you said it the other day. I believe it was like eight dollars a yard, but still a fair price.

CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN:

Is there a motion? Motion by Legislator Barraga, seconded by Legislator Stern. Any discussion? All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? **APPROVED (VOTE: 5-0-0-0)**.

That concludes our agenda. We are adjourned.

(*THE MEETING WAS ADJOURNED AT 2:45 P.M.*)

{ } DENOTES BEING SPELLED PHONETICALLY