

**PUBLIC WORKS**  
**and**  
**TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE**  
**of the**  
**SUFFOLK COUNTY LEGISLATURE**

A regular meeting of the Public Works and Transportation Committee of the Suffolk County Legislature was held in the Rose Y. Caracappa Legislative Auditorium of the William H. Rogers Legislature Building, Veterans Memorial Highway, Smithtown, New York, on Tuesday December 8, 2009.

**MEMBERS PRESENT:**

Legislator Brian Beedenbender - Chairman  
Legislator Steve Stern - Vice-Chairman  
Legislator Wayne Horsley  
Legislator Daniel Losquadro  
Legislator Edward Romaine

**ALSO IN ATTENDANCE:**

George Nolan- Counsel to the Legislature  
William Lindsay - Presiding Officer  
Lance Reinheimer - Budget Review Office  
Kevin Duffy - Budget Review Office  
Gil Anderson - Commissioner - DPW  
Tom Laguardia - Chief Deputy Commissioner - DPW  
Bob Shinnick - Transportation, DPW  
Ben Wright - Sanitation, Suffolk County Department of Public Works  
Bill Hillman - Chief Engineer, DPW  
Kaitlyn Kelly - Aide to Chairman Beedenbender  
Barbara LoMoriello - Chief Deputy Clerk - SC Legislature  
Ben Zwirn - County Executive's Office  
Gail Lolis - County Attorney's Office  
Dot Kerrigan - AME  
All Other Interested Parties

**MINUTES TAKEN BY:**

Donna Catalano - Court Stenographer

(\*THE MEETING WAS CALLED TO ORDER AT 2:07 P.M.\*)

**CHAIRMAN BEEDENBENDER:**

If everybody could rise for the Pledge of Allegiance led by Legislator Stern.

### **SALUTATION**

Okay. Thank you very much. I don't have any cards. Is there anybody in the audience who wishes to speak? Seeing none, we will go directly to the agenda.

**LEG. LOSQUADRO:**

Mr. Chairman, I did have a question for the Commissioner before we get started.

**CHAIRMAN BEEDENBENDER:**

Sure. Gil, there are some questions for you from my esteemed colleague from -- Rocky Point.

**LEG. LOSQUADRO:**

Shoreham.

**CHAIRMAN BEEDENBENDER:**

Shoreham.

**LEG. LOSQUADRO:**

Close enough.

**CHAIRMAN BEEDENBENDER:**

Close enough.

**LEG. LOSQUADRO:**

Thank you. Commissioner, this just came up by chance, because I don't usually take a vehicle around here to get it washed, but I just switched vehicles today with our pool cars and took the vehicle over to -- I got a listing of the contracts that we have for vehicle washing, for the car washes. And I went over to a car wash in Commack and got speaking with the owner as I was filling out the sheet, and she informs me that she is currently at least six months behind in being paid. And she got a check from July, but never got one from June. And she says this has been pretty much par for the course for the past two years. Didn't hold back any punches. Said, "You can tell them exactly who said it." She was very, very unhappy.

And I hope this isn't indicative of all the contracts that we have for service in the County. But I know in my district the car wash that was in Miller Place dropped their account with the County because they were eight to nine months behind on payments, and they finally just gave up and said it's not worth it. So we no longer have a contract with that car wash in Miller Place. Now the nearest one to my district office is on Route 112. So I have one that was from my district. Now I just spoke to this woman today.

So if you could give us an update. I mean, I don't want to have to ask for the status of payment on every contract for service we have in the County, but this just seems like this problem might be a little wider spread than just car washes.

**COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:**

Assuming that this is the first -- you know, being that this is the first time I am hearing about it, I'm assuming that this isn't a widespread issue. It may be -- I know that there's issues with paperwork. You know, generally, everybody complains about the fact that --

**LEG. LOSQUADRO:**

In all fairness, I don't know if this individual has contacted you and maybe your staff hasn't made

you aware of it, but she says that she calls multiple times a week for payments for services. So perhaps this is the first you are hearing of it. And I think you should look into this.

**COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:**

Absolutely.

**LEG. LOSQUADRO:**

But -- and I can tell you from my own experience in speaking with the owner of the business in my district, they gave up the contract with the County because they just didn't think the County was good to do business with.

**COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:**

I know we do have issues with paperwork. And I'm not -- by that I mean with contractors submitting all the required paperwork, making sure everything is -- all the i's are dotted and t's are crossed. So if I had to say anything, I would put -- I would tend to put the onus of this on that. I'll look into it. If you can get me the name of the place, I'll find out what's going on. But certainly, that's -- it's got to be the exception, not the rule.

**LEG. LOSQUADRO:**

As I said, in one particular area of service, for car washing, I know personally the one that was in my district and now one I just happened to go today, I'm hearing the same thing from them; multiple month lags in payment, you know, to the point where, like I said, the one in my district gave up and just said, "I don't want to do business with them anymore." So that's really not where we should be. So I wanted to make you aware of it.

I will get you the information and the address of that business. And I hope this is something that's not more widespread, but, you know, I think we may have to look into this more, because I was very disheartened to hear this from this person. Like I said, she didn't hold anything back. She said, "You can absolutely say exactly where you were." So, you know, that's not the position that we should be as a County dealing with the businesses, you know, that we're supposed to be in the business of trying to help. So I wanted to make you aware of it.

**COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:**

Fair enough. Just for the record, I would make the statement that, you know, we do everything we can to get the payments out there as quick as we can. I'll look into it and be glad to get back to you on it.

**CHAIRMAN BEEDENBENDER:**

Legislator Romaine, go ahead.

**LEG. ROMAINE:**

Yes. I just had a quick little comment for the Commissioner. I had received copies of something in terms of the County-wide sewer plan, and it was listed in the plan that you submitted, interestingly enough, the same boundaries that I selected for Center Moriches Sewer District, although, the boundary starts in Moriches and not in Center Moriches.

But that aside, I'm going to push ahead for my resolution. And what I'd like, a commitment from yourself as Commissioner and eventually, of course, the administration who you work for, is if my resolution passes next Tuesday, that you will drop Center Moriches from the County-wide study so that we can do this special study.

And let me tell you and briefly explain my reasoning why without being offensive to anyone. I watched the bus study, the County-wide bus study, take forever and be used as an excuse for not moving forward on things. Rightly or wrongly, whether it was or wasn't, the upshot was no action was taken on buses until this bus study was done and it took years. I hope the same fate is not true of the sewer study. But the reason I want a separate study for Center Moriches is the Town Board

just voted on a resolution that had been introduced by my late son to appropriate \$3 million of Federal money for Center Moriches Downtown Revitalization. And it is my hope and goal to convince those people -- I think I can -- that that money should be used for sewers.

Again, understanding the cost of County sewer system, when I tried to have the County take a look at taking over a condominium in my district and found out it was three times what the condominium was currently paying to a private vendor. I believe the Town of Brookhaven may actually set up this sewer district, and this may become Sewer District Number 3 for Brookhaven, considering that they have \$3 million in Federal money. So the study would be most germane if it was to move forward in an expeditious way because there is Federal money for this.

So as I spoke to Counsel earlier, I will be moving forward next Tuesday whether there's a bond or not to adopt that resolution. And what I would expect and what I would hope if that resolution passes is that you will communicate that you will then drop Center Moriches from the study, which should reduce the cost of your study. And it can take as long as it wants at that point. But Center Moriches has at least a Federal pot of money that they could apply to sewers. And that was passed last Tuesday by the Town Board to the tune of \$3 million.

So that's why the study is germane, because while it will obviously cost much more than \$3 million, it will allow a sizeable chunk of the expenditure to be helped by Federal funds, recovery funds, that the town received from the US Treasury. And the balance of that can be paid by the merchants and those who would connect along the, I guess, mile-and-a-half area involved, mile-and-a-half, two miles maximum area involved.

So I'd just thought I'd part that to you that I am going to push for my bill. I'm going to explain why. And I would hope if my bill passes, you will indicate clearly that you will now drop Center Moriches from the County-wide study and allow it to move forward separately. And then I will deal, once my resolution hopefully passes, with Bond Counsel, and we will probably be voting on the bond in January or February. Thank you.

**COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:**

If I might, a number of issues. The overall study has a time limit on it. So that may be, but it is our intention to have that out and to, meet the time limit. As for the Center Moriches study, the 50,000 that was set aside for that project, isn't sufficient to do an adequate study of that area. It worked out in Southold because we have an underlying study previously done back in the '60s or '70s, and there hasn't been a lot of change in that area to warrant much more than that. I wouldn't recommend going that way. I would recommend going through the, you know, overall study. There is funding to make sure that we have that area covered in the study.

**LEG. ROMAINE:**

When do you think this study would be forthcoming?

**COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:**

The complete study? I can get back to you on that. I think it was one year from the point of award.

**LEG. ROMAINE:**

So the money is here now.

**COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:**

Understood, but it's not sufficient.

**LEG. ROMAINE:**

It would never be sufficient. We would never have enough money. But you're never going to have enough money anywhere. But you do have people who do want to hook up. Three million dollars might be enough to get this project underway and reduce the cost to the eventual users. There is no subsidy in Mattituck, and that's why that is less likely to fly, it may fly, but less likely, because

they'll be a large cost for those who hook up.

**COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:**

The concern I have with Center Moriches is it's one of those areas that doesn't have a treatment plant sited. And that's going to be probably the biggest nut to overcome.

**LEG. ROMAINE:**

Well, I will just tell you, as you know, Pine Hill South, the Villas at Pine Hills and Mirror Pond are all apartment complexes that are run by Heatherwood. They do have a treatment plant. And one of the things the study should do is take a look at whether that plant can be expanded. And that's something that definitely should be taken a look at before you even think about new construction. So -- and that is, you know, exactly less than a mile away from Montauk highway, that's right up on Moriches-Middle Island Road.

And I don't know -- I don't know the capacity of that plant, I haven't done any research on that plant. I would sit, as I would hope to sit with Mattituck, with Mr. White and your staff to discuss this. And in fact, as soon as the New Year begins, I will start scheduling things again where we can have a sit down with the Supervisor of Southold and talk about Mattituck and some of the merchants there.

But Center Moriches has a tremendous amount of possibilities because they do have a treatment plant right there. And further down the road, they have another treatment plant for another condominium in Moriches. But I think the Pine Hills situation should be examined and any other existing sewage treatment plant should be examined, because you may be able to add capacity.

**COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:**

Again, I'm sure that's probably what they would be looking at, but I would make the recommendation to keep Center Moriches within the overall study rather than breaking it out. Certainly it's -- you know, it's the decision of the Legislature, but it's our recommendation to keep it within the overall project.

**LEG. ROMAINE:**

Thank you. My next appeal will be to the Chairman in his next capacity, because maybe the town will do the study then.

**CHAIRMAN BEEDENBENDER:**

I can't imagine what capacity that might be, Legislator Romaine. Legislator Horsley.

**LEG. HORSLEY:**

Gil, can I just go back to the previous questioning. I think what you were referring to when you said there wasn't enough money was that there wasn't enough money in the \$50,000 to do the complete study.

**COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:**

Correct.

**LEG. HORSLEY:**

Not whether --

**COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:**

Not the overall study.

**LEG. HORSLEY:**

Not the \$3 million, whether that was enough to do the sewer district. It was the monies that you set aside, Ed, apparently for this. Now, the other question I would have in relating to that so we can make a decision as a body is -- it's my understanding that that has been let out at that point, isn't

it? And would that not hurt the bid that we just put out; we're going to have make changes to it, delay the whole project? It just seems to me that we're going to throw that, our portion, the rest of the County's portion off if we pull Center Moriches out?

**COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:**

To be frank, we just made -- similar to Legislator Romaine's, you know, bill, we took the content -- the location that he recommended and we put that into the RFP for the overall project. Similar, we just made changes in Bayport. And that has just gone over to the County Attorney's Office, and Ben can speak more on it. But it's basically for their final review, and we will be going out to it shortly. It hasn't gone out yet in its second iteration.

**LEG. HORSLEY:**

Would it put us behind the 8-Ball then if we were to make further changes to it? I mean, how much -- how much of a change is that?

**MR. WRIGHT:**

It's not but of a change. But I just wanted to let you know that talking to the County Attorney's Office and also Purchasing, six weeks from next Monday is our tentative date to receive those proposals back. Obviously, they're going to be as thick as they were before. We had seven to read. It's going to take a couple of months to get to a selected recommendation for a selected consultant a chance to come back to the Legislature. So the actual work wouldn't start probably until, you know, sometime in mid 2010, and as Gil said, would take probably a year, maybe 18 months to complete.

**LEG. HORSLEY:**

A year to 18 months. Now, how much monies was apportioned off in your mind towards -- to go towards the Center Moriches study, of the total study?

**MR. WRIGHT:**

There's 12 areas to study, there's \$2.4 million, so that's roughly, you know, \$200,000 a piece. And, you know, some of the tasks are similar for all areas, like the GIS and so forth. But the one and a half mile area that's designated in Center Moriches is similar to Smithtown and Kings Park, you know, which is 1.7 miles. That's more densely developed, but that study cost about \$250,000, and Rocky Point costs about the same.

**LEG. HORSLEY:**

So what we're saying then is that for the Center Moriches study we will be about \$200,000 short.

**MR. WRIGHT:**

Yes.

**LEG. HORSLEY:**

Thank you.

**CHAIRMAN BEEDENBENDER:**

Legislator Stern.

**LEG. STERN:**

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I think that was really the question I was going to ask. It appears as though what we're trying to look at here is whether or not a particular area might be able to take advantage of Federal funds, although insufficient Federal funds, but at least something that might be able to start the process. But you can't start the process without the in depth engineering plan. And under what's being proposed right now, you wouldn't be able to get that, which would be the key to beginning the work that the Federal funding is meant to go towards. So I just don't see that as being a reasonable approach at this point. What you are saying, Commissioner and Director, is that the request in the proposed legislation here is not going to be sufficient to get you where you

need to be.

**COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:**

Correct. And depending on the three million that the town is getting and the requirements that are, you know, part of that process could dictate the timing. You know, certainly if we don't have sufficient map and plan, we haven't created the district, gone through all the hoops and bells and whistles that we have to go through, the funding may not be there, but that has to be explored further, you know, depending on what the town has. But certainly we don't feel that 50,000 is enough to do an adequate study.

**LEG. ROMAINE:**

Thank you for your information. I'll have to pursue this through the Town of Brookhaven and not through the County of Suffolk.

**CHAIRMAN BEEDENBENDER:**

Just a couple of questions for you, Gil, because I know that for Tuesday, Bond Counsel is going to be probably speaking to our Counsel, when do we expect that the RFP for the sewer study, which, to be fair, the Legislature delayed by adding more money and changing and that's what caused it to have to go out again, when do you expect that that will be put out?

**COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:**

Right now, as I said, it went to the County Attorney for their final review. I'm assuming that given that we have a date picked out, within two weeks the project will be let, the RFP will be let, and then six weeks beyond next Wednesday, we will be receiving bids, whatever that day works out to.

**CHAIRMAN BEEDENBENDER:**

Okay. And I'm just going to guess that the second question Bond Counsel is going to ask is similar to what Legislator Romaine asked you at the beginning; if Legislator Romaine's resolution were to pass on Tuesday, would that RFP be let without Center Moriches in it?

**COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:**

Unless we're directed otherwise, right now, the document contains Center Moriches as one of the 12 areas to be studied. So to answer your question, we are planning on moving ahead with it.

**CHAIRMAN BEEDENBENDER:**

Okay. I'm trying not to put you on the spot here. But for your purposes, is a Legislative resolution considered direction?

**COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:**

Yes.

**CHAIRMAN BEEDENBENDER:**

Okay. All right. Good. Now we can go to the agenda. I apologize for that, Gil, that wasn't meant in jest. I was just trying to clarify for our Counsel.

**COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:**

Understood. Thank you.

**CHAIRMAN BEEDENBENDER:**

Okay. **IR 1510, Directing the Department of Public Works to add a stop on the 3C Bus Route. (Montano)**

We're still talking to the property owner, Gil.

**COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:**

(Shaking head yes).

**CHAIRMAN BEEDENBENDER:**

All right. Motion to table, seconded by Legislator Stern. All in favor? Opposed?

**LEG. ROMAINE:**

Opposed.

**LEG. LOSQUADRO:**

Opposed.

**CHAIRMAN BEEDENBENDER:**

Abstentions? IR 1510 is **TABLED (VOTE: 3-2-0-0 - Opposed; Legis. Romaine and Losquadro).**

**IR 1526, Amending the 2009 Operating Budget, transferring Assessment Stabilization Reserve Funds to the Capital Fund, and appropriating funds for the Yaphank County Center Wastewater Treatment Plant (CP 8158). (Co. Exec. Levy)**

Just as a refresher for everybody, this bill is legal. It was amended after much time. But I think if this were to get to the full floor, it would require a three-quarters vote to adopt it because it is a change in financing from bonded to assessment stabilization or it the other way? From assessment stabilization to bonded.

**LEG. LOSQUADRO:**

Motion to table.

**CHAIRMAN BEEDENBENDER:**

Motion to table by Legislator Losquadro, seconded by Legislator Romaine. If there are no other motions and there's no discussion on the motion, all in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? IR 1526 is **TABLED (VOTE:5-0-0-0)**

**MR. REINHEIMER:**

I just want to inform you that this is Capital Program and tabling it -- well, all resolutions die at the end of the year this year anyway. You lose these appropriations out of the Capital Program.

**CHAIRMAN BEEDENBENDER:**

**IR 1527, Creating a "Share the Road" signage program in Suffolk County. (Eddington)**

At the request of the sponsor to table this, so I'll offer a motion, seconded by Legislator Stern. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? IR 1527 is **TABLED (VOTE:5-0-0-0).**

**IR 1637, Directing the Department of Public Works to erect a flagpole for the Merchant Marines at Armed Services Plaza. (Cooper)**

I believe we are still awaiting Veterans Advisory Board to tell us what to do here. Hopefully we'll have that in the near future, and the next Chairman can figure out where to put the flagpole. And I mean that in all sincerity. So I'll offer a motion to table, second by Legislator Stern. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? **TABLED (VOTE:5-0-0-0).**

**IR 1741, To implement Sunday bus service and extend weekday morning and evening service in Suffolk County. (Romaine)**

I will offer a motion to table.

**LEG. STERN:**

Second.

**CHAIRMAN BEEDENBENDER:**

Seconded by Legislator Stern. If there's no discussion, all in favor? Opposed?

**LEG. ROMAINE:**

Opposed.

**LEG. LOSQUADRO:**

Opposed.

**CHAIRMAN BEEDENBENDER:**

Abstentions? IR 1741 is **TABLED (VOTE: 3-2-0-0 - Opposed; Legis. Romaine and Losquadro)**.

**IR 1869, Approving ferry license for Roncalli Freight Company Inc. D/b/a Coastline Freight (Pres. Off. Lindsay)**

The next two resolutions are about Roncalli Freight. Kevin, I know I had a discussion with you, but if you could just put the record, I know that the Budget Review Office had made two recommendations to Roncalli Freight Company, and it's my understanding they agreed with them both and they amended the resolution to do so.

**MR. DUFFY:**

That is the correct. The petitioner is in the audience in case you have questions. The public hearings are closed, and these can be voted out and voted on at the next meeting. And the resolution granting the license is subject to three conditions as was requested in our report.

**CHAIRMAN BEEDENBENDER:**

All right. That's good. If there are no other questions, I just say thank you to the petitioner for working with our Budget Review Office. And thank you to the Budget Review Office for working with them. I'll offer a motion to approve, seconded by Legislator Stern. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? IR 1869 is **APPROVED (VOTE: 5-0-0-0)**.

**IR 1871, Approving rates established for Roncalli Freight Company Inc. D/b/a Coastline Freight. (Pres. Off. Lindsay)**

Same motion, same second, same vote. **APPROVED (VOTE: 5-0-0-0)**.

**INTRODUCTORY RESOLUTIONS**

**IR 2070, Authorizing transfer of surplus County computer systems and hardware to the Long Island Latino Teachers Association, Inc. (Montano)**

I'll offer a motion to approve, seconded by Legislator Stern. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? **APPROVED (VOTE: 5-0-0-0)**.

**IR 2071, Authorizing transfer of surplus County computer systems and hardware to the North Fork Women's Resource Center. (Romaine)**

Motion by Legislator Romaine, seconded by Legislator Losquadro. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? **APPROVED (VOTE: 5-0-0-0)**.

**IR 2072, Authorizing transfer of surplus County computer systems and hardware to the Long Island ACT'SO Islip Branch. (Montano)**

I'll offer a motion to approve, seconded by Legislator Stern. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions?

**APPROVED (VOTE: 5-0-0-0).**

**IR 2073, Authorizing transfer of surplus County computer systems and hardware to the Senior Citizen Aide Association, Inc. (Beedenbender)**

I'll make that motion, seconded by Legislator Stern. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions?  
**APPROVED (VOTE: 5-0-0-0).**

**IR 2074, Authorizing transfer of surplus County computer systems and hardware to the Guardian Angels of Children, Inc. (Beedenbender)**

Same motion, same second, same vote. **APPROVED (VOTE: 5-0-0-0).**

**IR 2083, Authorizing transfer of surplus County computer systems and hardware to Little Scholars Day Care. (Stern)**

Motion by Legislator Stern, I'll offer the second. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? **APPROVED (VOTE: 5-0-0-0).**

**IR 2085, Amending the 2009 Capital Budget and Program and appropriating funds in connection with construction of sidewalks on various County roads (CP 5497). (Lindsay)**

This is amending the Capital Budget. We're taking 116,000 from Capital Project 1755, the Legislative Infrastructure account and we'd split it between County Road 79 in Bridgehampton-Sag Harbor and sidewalks on County Road 85, Montauk Highway between Idle Hour Boulevard and West Street. If there are no questions -- Legislator Losquadro then Legislator Romaine.

**LEG. LOSQUADRO:**

Are there sufficient excess appropriations in this account? And if so, why are we using it for this? Why is this money not coming out of -- don't we have -- we have an account for infrastructure improvements for this, don't we?

**COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:**

Correct, there is. This is additional funding that would allow us to do four areas, you know, get us to the point where we can get --

**LEG. LOSQUADRO:**

Were these your recommendations?

**COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:**

They were worked with our department. I know that Legislator Lindsay and, I believe, Schneiderman were the -- were two of the Legislators --

**LEG. LOSQUADRO:**

I asked if they were your recommendations. Were these Department of Public Works' priority areas or are there other areas that still -- you want to do before these are done?

**COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:**

As far as I know, these are the areas that we're looking to get done. I don't know whether they're priorities, but I believe if we put them forward, they would be.

**LEG. LOSQUADRO:**

The only reason I ask is you didn't put them forward. This resolution came from the Presiding Officer.

**COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:**

I believe 79 -- oh, here's Bill. Hold on.

**MR. HILLMAN:**

We have one location, County Road 35, I believe it is, that is included in the four locations that are being designed presently. So when you add the four locations up in total, it's roughly four to \$5 million worth of sidewalk and associated work to go along with them. So we will not be able to progress all four locations in any one given year. All four locations, after a study, have yielded the need for sidewalks. So the department initiated County Road 35. The other three were initiated by the Legislators. We studied them, concluded that sidewalk was -- would be a good feature to have. So we're progressing them. But we will not be able to progress -- it will be a phased project over multiple years.

**LEG. LOSQUADRO:**

When did the work start or is this the first phase?

**MR. HILLMAN:**

This would be the first phase. We intend to have all four locations designed by early next year or mid next year. We also have, I believe, in the '10 Capital Budget is about another \$800,000. So then we would have about 1.6 million to probably get us maybe halfway there. And then subsequent years --

**LEG. LOSQUADRO:**

That was going to be my question. Are we now going to have this as part of our normal Capital Budget instead of moving money from Legislative accounts to do this?

**CHAIRMAN BEEDENBENDER:**

Let's just start with the first. Is there money in the account?

**MR. REINHEIMER:**

Yes. Budget Review tracks this account. This is a Legislative offset account, and there is sufficient appropriations for this resolution.

**LEG. LOSQUADRO:**

All right. I just wanted to make sure on that. And then to the other question, is this going to be part of our normal Capital Program, or is this money we're going to continue to take out of our Legislative account?

**P.O. LINDSAY:**

If I could answer that.

**CHAIRMAN BEEDENBENDER:**

Mr. Presiding Officer.

**P.O. LINDSAY:**

It's in the Capital budget. It's an offset account that we put in the Capital budget every year. If we don't use the money now, it dies at the end of the year.

**LEG. LOSQUADRO:**

I understand that. But I just wanted to make sure that these were -- if there were projects that were not included in the normal Capital Program of work, I was asking the Commissioner, are there other projects throughout the County that they ranked higher in terms of priority of getting done.

**P.O. LINDSAY:**

We're designing four sidewalk projects now. And forgive me, I think one is in my district, one in Legislator Schneiderman's district, one is in Legislator Kennedy's district, and I think the other one is in Legislator Cooper's district. And what was explained to me is there isn't enough money when the

design is done to do all four.

**LEG. LOSQUADRO:**

That's what we have heard from the Department of Public Works.

**P.O. LINDSAY:**

And we want very much all four of us to have these projects moved forward, even if they're done in some kind of step order. My project is a phase of a sidewalk project that started six years ago along Montauk Highway. We're gradually replacing the sidewalks along Montauk Highway that were neglected for 30 years. So that's the answer I think. But it is part of the Capital Project.

**LEG. LOSQUADRO:**

Okay. And these are just really long answers to what I thought was a pretty simple question which was are these going to be included in the normal plan of work that we have moving forward?

**COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:**

Yes. The Capital Program -- CP 5497 is for sidewalk construction, and this will be included in there.

**LEG. LOSQUADRO:**

Okay. Thank you.

**MR. REINHEIMER:**

Right. And for the 2010 Capital Program, the Legislature did add \$1.7 million in there for sidewalk as requested by Public Works. So there is money ongoing for sidewalks. And the Legislature did put the money in for 2010 in the Capital Program for additional work.

**CHAIRMAN BEEDENBENDER:**

Legislator Romaine.

**LEG. ROMAINE:**

Yes. Let me talk about sidewalks briefly for a second. Just like most Capital Programs, there's usually a rating that is done; is that correct? Do you do subratings for the various sidewalk projects within the general Capital Project?

**MR. HILLMAN:**

When we're doing a roadway improvement project, we rate the pavement, we rate the curbs, the sidewalk and evaluate the replacements as we go through that evaluation.

**LEG. ROMAINE:**

Okay. I guess you're misunderstanding me, so I'll direct it to Budget Review. You know that every Capital Project gets a rating from you; is that correct?

**MR. REINHEIMER:**

That's correct.

**LEG. ROMAINE:**

My question is when we look at something generic like sidewalks, do we establish a subrating system for each of the projects so we have an idea of a priority on how to proceed with sidewalks?

**MR. REINHEIMER:**

No. The projects are done on a general basis. The project for sidewalks is -- has a ranking of 51, that's for the whole Capital Program 5497. Unless there's Federal money or other issues that would be specific to a particular project, sidewalks is a pretty generic project and it probably wouldn't vary from site to site.

**LEG. ROMAINE:**

But you could put sidewalks -- for example, I think one of the areas here is -- and I'm looking at -- one of the areas is Bridgehampton-Sag Harbor Turnpike. I haven't seen a great deal of pedestrian traffic on that turnpike. And I have had several opportunities to travel that road. So that's what I'm saying. Maybe there's something I don't know; maybe there was a serious accident, maybe other factors went into a rating. My visual certainly is no way a judgment of that project. But you know what I'm saying; there are some areas that are very high-traffic areas, high-pedestrian areas where sidewalk would be needed. Do we make those types of determinations?

**MR. REINHEIMER:**

The way that the ranking form, which was adopted by the Legislature, was developed, doesn't really provide for what you're asking for for a subset of the Capital Project. It's not designed to look at that. It's more of a general ranking.

**LEG. ROMAINE:**

I understand. My concern is that we -- you know, we all have needs. And there's 18 of us here, and we're all going to fight for our district, no question about it. But there are some areas that have, absent our fighting, demonstrated a higher need because of pedestrian traffic, potential accidents, things of that nature, where sidewalks would be called for. That's all I was going to say. I'm surprised we haven't made some type of evaluation so that we could prioritize our projects to deal with the more dangerous intersections and thoroughfares where we do run sidewalks.

**COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:**

Generally if we have those situations and the sidewalk would be warranted, it would be included in a project specific to traffic safety improvements, things like that. These are specific sidewalk projects.

**LEG. ROMAINE:**

Let me ask you one other question. On County roads, does the County have the responsibility for the sidewalks?

**COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:**

To maintain them, no. It falls to the town.

**LEG. ROMAINE:**

To build them?

**COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:**

To build them, no. We don't --

**LEG. ROMAINE:**

I want to emphasize that again.

**COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:**

There's no mandate under State Law.

**LEG. ROMAINE:**

There is no official mandate even on County roads for the County to build, let alone maintain sidewalks. The reason I asked that is because when we ripped up the sidewalks in Center Moriches a long time ago, in 1980-1981, I was in charge of the Town's Community Development Program, and the town had to pay for those sidewalks, even though it was on a County road, because the County would not bear responsibility for replacing the sidewalks.

**COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:**

Under County and Town Law, sidewalks are the responsibility of the local municipality.

**LEG. ROMAINE:**

So then you ask yourself, if that is case, why we spend money on sidewalks.

**COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:**

There's nothing that precludes us from putting in sidewalks if the warrant is there.

**LEG. ROMAINE:**

But usually that is a mandate of the local government, town or village, is it not?

**COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:**

It's only mandated that they maintain it if they are installed. There's no mandate one way or the other who has to put them in.

**LEG. ROMAINE:**

Right. Okay. I just wanted that for the record. Thank you.

**CHAIRMAN BEEDENBENDER:**

Starting to think very critically about where I might be working in January. All right. Well, I don't think we have a motion. I'll offer a motion to approve.

**LEG. STERN:**

Second.

**CHAIRMAN BEEDENBENDER:**

Seconded by Legislator Stern. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? IR 2085 is **APPROVED (VOTE: 5-0-0-0)**.

**IR 2086, Authorizing transfer of surplus County computer systems and hardware to Long Island Child and Family Development Services, Inc. (Cooper)**

I'll offer a motion to approve, seconded by Legislator Stern. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? IR 2086 is **APPROVED (VOTE: 5-0-0-0)**.

**IR 2091, Appropriating funds in connection with energy conservation and safety improvements to H. Lee Dennison Building (CP 1659). (Co. Exec. Levy)**

This is \$75,000 for heating plant upgrades?

**COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:**

Correct. At the Dennison Building, yes.

**CHAIRMAN BEEDENBENDER:**

I will offer a motion to approve, seconded by Legislator Stern. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? The 12th floor doesn't require heat, it's self-heated. **APPROVED (VOTE: 5-0-0-0)**.

**LEG. LOSQUADRO:**

There's plenty of hot air.

**CHAIRMAN BEEDENBENDER:**

That's not what I meant. I just said that.

**IR 2096, Amending the 2009 Capital Budget and Program and transferring Holding Account Funds to the Capital Fund and appropriating these funds in connection with rehabilitation of various bridges and embankments (CP 5850). (Co. Exec. Levy)**

What I meant was that it's heated by the glowing personality of Mr. Zwirn. This is -- let's see -- what is this?

**COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:**

This would appropriate \$575,000 for design of bridge modification to two bridges; County Road 16 over the LIE and County Road 16 over the Long Island Railroad.

**CHAIRMAN BEEDENBENDER:**

I have a note here that this is part of the Caithness public benefit package.

**COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:**

Right. This was -- this money was from an account specific to impact fees that we got through Caithness for improvements on Motor Parkway.

**CHAIRMAN BEEDENBENDER:**

Okay.

**COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:**

In getting their road opening permit, we assess impact fees. I think that they were -- even though they are not on Motor Parkway directly, the size of the project --

**LEG. ROMAINE:**

Horseblock Road.

**COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:**

I'm sorry. Horseblock Road, yeah.

**CHAIRMAN BEEDENBENDER:**

Horseblock Road and Woodside. All right. I'll offer a motion to approve, seconded by Legislator Losquadro this time. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? IR 2096 is **APPROVED (VOTE: 5-0-0-0)**.

**IR 2099, Authorizing execution of agreement by the Administrative Head of Suffolk County Sewer District No. 3 - Southwest with the Town of Babylon landfill (BA-1477). (Co. Exec. Levy)**

**LEG. HORSLEY:**

Motion.

**CHAIRMAN BEEDENBENDER:**

Motion by Legislator Horsley, seconded by Legislator Stern. There's no comments on the motion. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? If there was a question, this is the 30 gallon per day fee. So this is the new fee. IR 2099 was **APPROVED (VOTE: 5-0-0-0)**.

**IR 2100, Authorizing the execution of an agreement by the Administrative Head of Suffolk County Sewer District No. 3 - Southwest for additional capacity for Rubies Office Building (HU-1497). (Co. Exec. Levy)**

**LEG. HORSLEY:**

Motion.

**CHAIRMAN BEEDENBENDER:**

Motion by Legislator Horsley, seconded by Legislator Stern.

**LEG. STERN:**

For discussion.

**CHAIRMAN BEEDENBENDER:**

For discussion? I'll second it then. Legislator Stern on the motion.

**LEG. STERN:**

I just have a quick question. This is for additional capacity to a building that, as I drive by, it doesn't really have anything going on in it. What is the need for the additional capacity?

**COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:**

Again, this is proposed improvements within the building. They feel that they need additional capacity. They've made the application to the Sewer Agency and the Sewer Agency approved.

**LEG. STERN:**

Do they need that based on potential tenants?

**COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:**

Potential use, yes.

**LEG. STERN:**

Tenants that have been signed or just potential for the future as to tenants that may become tenants in the future?

**COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:**

I don't know --

**LEG. STERN:**

Is it based on anything?

**COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:**

It's based on proposed flow, but Ben will verify that.

**CHAIRMAN BEEDENBENDER:**

It's 2000 -- an additional 2000 gallons a day.

**COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:**

An additional 2000 on top of previous.

**CHAIRMAN BEEDENBENDER:**

How much is there right now?

**MR. WRIGHT:**

They've got 12,000 gallons a day right now, but the revisions that they need are for a larger restaurant in the building. That's where the 2000 gallons a day came from.

**LEG. STERN:**

Do you know whether or not there is a potential restaurant coming in, or is that in the hope of being able to draw a restaurant? If you know.

**MR. WRIGHT:**

The discussion at the agency I thought was based on them changing whatever the restaurant was going to be into a different type that was going to need more capacity.

**CHAIRMAN BEEDENBENDER:**

All right. We have a motion and a second. If there is no more discussion on the motion, all in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? IR 2100 is **APPROVED (VOTE: 5-0-0-0)**.

**IR 2101, Authorizing execution of agreement by the Administrative Head of Suffolk**

**County Sewer District No. 7 - Twelve Pines with the owner of Springhill Suites at Bellport (BR-1608). (Co. Exec. Levy)**

This is a connection of a little over 19,000 gallons at the new \$30,000 -- 30 gallon per day fee -- \$30 per gallon, \$30 per gallon. If there are no questions, I'll offer a motion to approve, seconded by Legislator Horsley. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? IR 2101 is **APPROVED (VOTE: 5-0-0-0)**.

**IR 2102, Authorizing execution of agreement by the Administrative Head of Suffolk County Sewer District No. 3 - Southwest with the Town of Babylon - Wyandanch Commercial Corridor (BA-1477.1). (Co. Exec. Levy)**

**LEG. HORSLEY:**

Motion.

**CHAIRMAN BEEDENBENDER:**

Motion by Legislator Horsley, seconded by Legislator Stern. This is a connection fee of 30 gallons per day. Now it's in my head, I'm not going to be able to get that out.

**COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:**

Thirty dollars per gallon per day.

**CHAIRMAN BEEDENBENDER:**

Thirty dollars per gallon per day. Right. For 380,000, so it's an \$11.4 million connection fee. But this has to do with, I believe, Supervisor Bellone's plans in the Wyandanch corridor. Okay. So we have a motion and a second. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? IR 2102 is **APPROVED (VOTE: 5-0-0-0)**.

**IR 2107, Appropriating funds through the issuance of Sewer District Serial Bonds for the improvements to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 5 - Strathmore Huntington (CP 8115). (Co. Exec. Levy).**

**LEG. STERN:**

Motion.

**CHAIRMAN BEEDENBENDER:**

Motion by Legislator Stern, seconded by Legislator Horsley. This is \$750,000 in sewer district serial bonds for the construction of an odor control system. Certainly something that I'm sure our Huntington constituents will be happy about. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? IR 2107 is **APPROVED (VOTE: 5-0-0-0)**.

**IR 2119, Amending the 2009 Adopted Operating Budget and the 2009 Capital Budget and Program and accepting and appropriating funds in connection with the purchase of sewer billing software and hardware (CP 8182). (Co. Exec. Levy)**

This is \$150,000 in interfund transfer from the Southwest Sewer District for processing the billing. It's not really more complicated than that, is it?

**COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:**

That's correct.

**CHAIRMAN BEEDENBENDER:**

Okay. I'll offer a motion to approve, seconded by Legislator Horsley. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? IR 2119 is **APPROVED (VOTE: 5-0-0-0)**.

**IR 2120, Authorizing the County Executive to enter into an agreement with the Town of Brookhaven and amending the 2009 Capital Budget and Program and accepting and**

**appropriating Federal Aid in connection with a study for a Downtown Transit Oriented Development Revitalization Study in the Community of Ronkonkoma (CP 5655). (Co. Exec. Levy)**

That's ridiculously named. Downtown Transit Oriented Development Revitalization.

**COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:**

It's the program.

**CHAIRMAN BEEDENBENDER:**

I know. It's every buzz word you can think of. But I'm happy that it's going to happen because that's a good project for my area. So I'll offer a motion to approve. The County is going to work with the Town of Brookhaven with a Federally-funded study for this TOD development?

**COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:**

Right.

**CHAIRMAN BEEDENBENDER:**

And it's \$100,000, so it's really eighty --

**COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:**

It's 80,000 --

**CHAIRMAN BEEDENBENDER:**

It's 80/20 for a hundred grand?

**COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:**

-- from the Federal Government, yes.

**CHAIRMAN BEEDENBENDER:**

Okay. Legislator Horsley.

**LEG. HORSLEY:**

Just a question to Gil. Does that require the third rail to go over the additional track?

**COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:**

No. This is more towards -- Bob can probably speak more. But this is towards transit -- you know, transportation -- buses and stuff like that.

**LEG. HORSLEY:**

Right. I understood that part. But does it require to have the extra line for this to -- to work?

**COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:**

No, I don't believe so.

**MR. SHINNICK:**

This is a consultant study. The Town of Brookhaven has already started the project. This would fund the third part of a three-part study. Initially, they -- they are looking at the area immediately around the Ronkonkoma Rail Station and how to redevelop the properties to be sustainable to generate business, to be more attractive, pedestrian friendly. And the transit oriented part is to bring people to the station either by transit or other means and make it more, you know, enticable (sic) for people to use a rail service. They've done an inventory, they've done analysis, they've gone through various scenarios of how to develop the property. This money would be spent putting together a how to plan in terms of how to get to where they want to go now.

**LEG. HORSLEY:**

I understand that. Okay. But do you need the extra rail for this to be successful?

**MR. SHINNICK:**

Well, the rail is already there, but, no, you do not need third track back in the Nassau County area.

**LEG. HORSLEY:**

Just back there then it's going to be --

**CHAIRMAN BEEDENBENDER:**

Legislator Horsley, I can add to that, because I was in some of the meetings with the Town of Brookhaven and this whole thing. While certainly having a second line to Ronkonkoma, so -- you know, because I forget where the second line -- there's one line but it's somewhere in Nassau. There's only one train going in each direction. It would certainly be beneficial, but not necessary to do this development.

One of the future dreams and the hope is that if there is a second line, that area becomes even more of a destination or revitalization because the train, it can be serviced much more frequently rather than the once an hour. So it's not necessary, but it will certainly be welcomed at least from the community in that area. And I understand it's part of the MTA capital plan as well to look at it. But that's an excellent question. Bob.

**MR. SHINNICK:**

The Railroad does have a second track project scheduled for -- it's the continuous second track from CI right now to Ronkonkoma and eventually back to Farmingdale.

**CHAIRMAN BEEDENBENDER:**

Great. Okay. We have a motion and a second, right, Barbara.

**MS. LOMORIELLO:**

We don't have a second.

**CHAIRMAN BEEDENBENDER:**

All right. Seconded by Legislator Stern. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? IR 2120 is **APPROVED (VOTE: 5-0-0-0)**. And Barbara, if you could just put my name on that one too, please.

**IR 2121, Authorizing transfer of surplus County computer systems and hardware to RSVP. (Kennedy)**

I'll offer a motion to approve, seconded by Legislator Stern. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? IR 2121 is **APPROVED (VOTE: 5-0-0-0)**.

**IR 2124, Amending the 2009 Capital Budget and Program and appropriating funds in connection with improvements on CR 80, Montauk Hwy, between NYS 112 and CR 101, Town of Brookhaven (CP 5534). (Co. Exec. Levy)**

Gil, I have a note here. Before -- I'll just offer a motion to approve for the purposes of discussion, seconded by Legislator Stern. It's 1.175 million for land acquisition, but it's transferring money from CP 3301, which is safety improvements of various intersections and Capital Project 5806 moveable bridge needs assessment. I guess explain to me why we need an amendment and why those two offsets are okay.

**COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:**

As far as the offset, this is court ordered land acquisition. The court has -- negotiations have gone ahead for land within the East Patchogue corridor where we're doing work presently, and that's what

necessitates this. There's been settlement in certain cases. As for the two offsets, those funds are not needed any longer under this year's Capital Program, so we're taking advantage of them.

**CHAIRMAN BEEDENBENDER:**

Okay. If there are no questions, we have a motion and a second. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? IR 2124 is **APPROVED (VOTE: 5-0-0-0)**.

**IR 2130, Authorizing execution of agreement by the Administrative Head of Suffolk County Sewer District No. 11 - Selden with the owner of the Selden Commercial Center (BR-1616). (Co. Exec. Levy)**

I will gladly offer this motion. This is sewerage in the 4th Legislative District which is quite exciting.

**LEG. LOSQUADRO:**

It's a plant in my district. I'll second it.

**CHAIRMAN BEEDENBENDER:**

Yes. That's what makes it even more exciting; the plant's in your district and the sewer treatment is in mine -- the sewer use is in mine. This is -- this is old, so this is -- this isn't even 15, this is --

**COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:**

No. This is 15, but the cost is split between Venture 11 who did improvements to the plant and -- as well as the district.

**CHAIRMAN BEEDENBENDER:**

Okay. So we have a motion and a second. All those in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? IR 2130 is **APPROVED (VOTE: 5-0-0-0)**.

**IR 2131, Authorizing execution of agreement by the Administrative Head of Suffolk County Sewer District No. 1 - Port Jefferson with the owner of Highlands HOA Office building (BR-0755.1). (Co. Exec. Levy)**

This is the new fee \$30 -- forget it. It's \$30, that's going to be enough. I'll offer a motion to approve, seconded by Legislator Losquadro. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? IR 2131 is **APPROVED (VOTE: 5-0-0-0)**.

**IR 2136, Appropriating funds in connection with renovations to Building 50, North County Complex, Hauppauge (CP 1765). (Co. Exec. Levy)**

**LEG. LOSQUADRO:**

Which is building 50?

**COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:**

The IT Building.

**CHAIRMAN BEEDENBENDER:**

Seventy-five grand?

**COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:**

Yeah, seventy five grand for planning --

**CHAIRMAN BEEDENBENDER:**

Data processing?

**COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:**

Well, it's for improvements to the loading dock as well as the HVAC for the building.

**CHAIRMAN BEEDENBENDER:**

Okay. I'll offer a motion to approve, seconded by Legislator Stern. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? IR 2136 is **APPROVED (VOTE: 5-0-0-0)**.

**IR 2140, Authorizing execution of agreement by the Administrative Head of Suffolk County Sewer District No. 3 - Southwest with the owner of 110 Sand Company (HU-1040). (Co. Exec. Levy)**

This is the new fee, 30 gallons -- \$30 a gallon per day. I think I got it right. This is for an additional hundred thousand gallons per day.

**COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:**

It's for additional 40,000 gallons per day.

**CHAIRMAN BEEDENBENDER:**

Forty.

**COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:**

Yes. But it will --

**CHAIRMAN BEEDENBENDER:**

I gottcha. Okay. And this is -- this is for -- they don't have -- it's a landfill.

**COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:**

It's a landfill.

**CHAIRMAN BEEDENBENDER:**

So it's the leachate that we're treating.

**COMMISSIONER ANDERSON:**

Correct.

**CHAIRMAN BEEDENBENDER:**

Okay. I'll offer the motion to approve, seconded by Legislator Stern. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? IR 2140 is **APPROVED (VOTE: 5-0-0-0)**.

**IR 2141, A resolution making certain Findings and Determinations in relation to the increase and improvement of facilities for Sewer District No. 7 - Medford (CP 8129). (Co. Exec. Levy)**

I'll offer a motion to approve, seconded by Legislator Stern. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? IR 2141 is **APPROVED (VOTE: 5-0-0-0)**.

**IR 2142, Appropriating funds through the issuance of Sewer District Serial Bonds for the improvements to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 7 - Medford (CP 8129). (Co. Exec. Levy)**

I will offer a motion to approve, seconded by Legislator Stern. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? **APPROVED (VOTE: 5-0-0-0)**.

I think this is awesome, the length of the title of the last bill that I'll get to read.

**IR 2143, Approving certain maps and authorizing the acquisition of certain lands together with certain portions of the Findings and Determinations pursuant to Section 204 of the**

**Eminent Domain Procedure Law, in connection with the acquisition of properties for the reconstruction of C.R. 3, Pinelawn Road/Wellwood Avenue at Colonial Springs Road, Towns of Babylon and Huntington, Suffolk County, New York and authorizing planning steps for the voluntary acquisition of land in connection with the acquisition of properties for the reconstruction of C.R. 3, Pinelawn Road/Wellwood Avenue at Colonial Springs Road, Towns of Babylon and Huntington, Suffolk County, New York (CP 5510). (Co. Exec. Levy)**

That's bureaucracy at its best. Motion by Legislator Horsley, seconded by Legislator Stern. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? IR 2143 is **APPROVED (VOTE: 5-0-0-0)**.

Before we adjourn, I just wanted to take the opportunity to just say thank you to a couple of people and say, you know, obviously, it was my privilege and honor to serve as the chairman of this committee for two years. I first must definitely thank Kaitlyn from my office who prepared all the agendas and made sure that I was organized and ready for the meeting.

And also Gil and everybody in Public Works, I can't really think of an instance where you guys weren't as forthcoming as you could be. And if you didn't have the answers, you got back to us. So thank you for all that. I think we've gotten a lot done in the past two years in terms of building roads and projects and buildings throughout the County. Lastly, I just thank the members of the committee. I think we've done good work and this committee has hopefully been as example of how we can talk things out and not talk at each other but with each other. So thank you, everybody. Have a wonderful holiday. We are adjourned.

**(\*THE MEETING WAS ADJOURNED AT 3:00 P.M.\*)**

**{ } DENOTES BEING SPELLED PHONETICALLY**