

PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE
OF THE
SUFFOLK COUNTY LEGISLATURE

Capital Budget

A Special Meeting of the Public Safety Committee of the Suffolk County Legislature was held in the Rose Y. Caracappa Legislative Auditorium of the William H. Rogers Legislature Building, 725 Veterans Memorial Highway, Smithtown, New York on Wednesday, May 18th, 2016 at 2 p.m., to discuss the matter of the 2017-2019 Capital Budget.

Members Present:

Legislator Kate Browning - Chairperson

Legislator Robert Calarco - Vice-Chair
Legislator Bridget Fleming
Legislator Monica Martinez
Legislator Tom Cilmi
Legislator Leslie Kennedy
Legislator William Spencer

Also In Attendance:

Legislator Robert Trotta - Legislative District #13
George Nolan - Counsel to the Legislature
Sarah Simpson - Assistant Counsel to the Legislature
Amy Ellis - Chief Deputy Clerk/Suffolk County Legislature
John Ortiz - Legislative Budget Review Office
Ali Nazir - Aide to Legislator Kennedy
Justin Littell - Aide to Legislator D'Amaro
Deborah Harris - Aide to Legislator Stern
Catherine Stark - Aide to Legislator Krupski
Robyn Fellrath - Aide to Legislator Anker
Katie Horst - Director-Intergovernmental Relations/CE's Office
Jason Hahn - County Executive Assistant
Kerri Suoto - County Executive's Office
John Marafino - County Executive's Office
Dr. Michael Caplan - Chief Medical Examiner
Liza Wright - Office of the Medical Examiner
Patrice Dlhopsky - Director/Suffolk County Probation Department
Michael Sharkey - Chief Deputy Sheriff/Suffolk County Sheriff's Office
Anthony Papparatto - Suffolk County Sheriff's Office
James Bello - Lieutenant/Suffolk County Sheriff's Office
Joe Williams - Commissioner/Fire, Rescue & Emergency Services
Joel Vetter - Fire, Rescue & Emergency Services
Timothy D. Sini - Suffolk County Police Commissioner
Stuart Cameron - Chief of Department/SCPD
Frederick Webber - Lieutenant/SCPD
Robert Sharf - Lieutenant/SCPD
Mike Postel - Director/Police Communications System/SCPD
Michael O'Donnell - Detective Sergeant/SCPD

Robert Cassagne - Chief of Support Services/SCPD
Gerard LeGoff - Marine Bureau/SCPD
John Kirshey - Fleet Service Manager/SCPD
Matthew Jones - Information Technology Section/SCPD
Marianne Scheschowitz - Budget Analyst/SCPD
Ed Johnston - Director/Suffolk County Fire Academy

Taken By:

Lucia Braaten - Court Stenographer

*(*The meeting was called to order at 2:54 p.m. *)*

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

Okay. We will start the Public Safety meeting. I know we have a couple of people, but we don't need a quorum, so we'll just get moving. If everyone could please stand for the Pledge of Allegiance, led by Legislator Anker.

*(*Salutation*)*

Okay. Apologies, everyone. Okay. I do know that Mike from the Sheriff's Office, I guess you just have a statement, and things are in good shape. I will delay the P.D., because I know there's a couple of issues that have been discussed, will take a little bit more time. So, Mike, if you want to come up. Go ahead, Mike.

CHIEF SHARKEY:

Okay. Thank you. Thank you for the opportunity to review our Capital Proposal for '17, '18 and '19.

I just want to take a brief moment to introduce to my right Chief Papparatto and Lieutenant Bello. As you know, I've been involved in producing the Capital Budget submissions for the Sheriff's Office for the last eight years, along with both Deputy Wardens Rubacka and then Warden Franchi. We will be covering other responsibilities moving forward. So Chief Papparatto and Lieutenant Bello will be covering our Capital Program moving forward, so I'd just like to introduce them.

We will give a full copy of our presentation to the Clerk, but I'll give a few highlights and details for the record on each of our projects in numerical order. I will point out that, really, there is no significant funding difference between our requested, the recommended and the proposed Capital Budgets, with the exception of one item in the 3014 project. So I'll try to move through this as quickly as I can, just to hit on some high points.

Capital Project 3009 is for renovations and large scale maintenance at the Yaphank Correctional Facility. Establish funding for any construction and maintenance and renovations at the Yaphank Correctional Facility. The current funding is being used for the renovation of the eight dormitories in the old portion of the Yaphank facility; has been underway since April of 2015, with the first two closed dormitories to be completed in June of this year. Renovations of the other dormitories has begun and scheduled to be completed by September of this year, and the renovation of the final dormitories will begin upon completion of the first four. There's additional things that will be covered under this project that will include a vertical conveyor in the Yaphank kitchen, and the renovation of the bathrooms in the stressed membrane and gym facility in Yaphank. The gym facility is also used as swing space, substitute housing when we're doing construction and renovation.

Portions of the facility are in great need of renovation in the oldest parts that date back to 1959, and to facilitate this, we had a comprehensive engineering analysis of the entire correctional facility in 2012, and that serves for our next 12 years plus of planning for this project. Over \$8 million in necessary projects are identified in that analysis, and the Sheriff's Office and DPW plan to undertake over this period of time to maintain the older portions of the Yaphank complex.

I'll skip through some things here. Bottom line is doing maintenance and upgrading and repurposing of space in our correctional facilities is required. Even with the construction of new facilities, it must be remembered that the plan for this system has always included the older portions. We cannot risk losing them because we have failed to maintain them. The cost of maintaining the 504 beds of old Yaphank is dwarfed by the cost of having to recreate them. Should

Public Safety Budget Meeting 5/18/16

we lose any beds because of preventable problems, such as what happened to the 120 permanent beds we lost in 2004 with the closure of dorms North 4 and North -- South, so the investment is well worth keeping these beds on line.

Our second project is Capital 3014, which is the Riverhead facility. It's also an umbrella project to take care of that facility. This facility is over 45 years old. Again, we used the engineering list that was created by Ehasz Giacalone, Architects, to guide our work to be performed under this program. And beginning in 2014 to '16, the analysis replaced the working list that we had prior, and serves as our identifying and prioritizing various renovations and maintenance projects. It gives a systematic look at our needs and will allow us to efficiently allocate resources to those identified and prioritized.

To put the value of maintaining the Riverhead Correctional Facility in perspective, the capacity of the new Yaphank Correctional Facility is 440 beds, and costs \$181 million to plan, construct and furnish. If we do the math and equate these construction costs to recreating the 764 beds in Riverhead, we have a conservative estimate of \$315 million. So, once again, just supporting the annual investment is well worth -- the \$1 1/2 million we ask for annually to maintain this building is well worth and dwarfs the 315 to replace it.

This project includes the building envelopes, walls roof, perimeters, windows, etcetera, electrical, plumbing systems and interior finishes. The levels of funding in '17, '18 and '19 and subsequent years reflects our needs. The cost estimate of completing all the items as identified in the Riverhead portion of the analysis is over \$32 million. This request maintains the funding stream for this -- protection of this asset, and allow the Sheriff's Office, in conjunction with DPW, to implement the maintenance and repair programs in a systematic and prudent matter.

With current fiscal realities in mind, the Sheriff's Office has delayed requesting funding for the largest single item, which is a new kitchen and food storage area in the Riverhead facility. However, we are -- we put the County on notice that this project is coming. We placed the request in subsequent years for 16.9 million. That is the one section that is different between the request and the proposed. Although we understand it, and it is in subsequent years, so we're not -- we're not debating that. We'll address it again in our next submission. And, again, we're relying on a steady stream of fixtures, furniture and equipment money to keep the kitchen running until we can eventually appropriate enough money to replace it.

Okay. I'm going to move on to Capital Project 3019. This is another umbrella project that covers other Sheriff's Office facilities besides Riverhead and Yaphank. Under 3019, it was established -- under the Capital Program, whose purpose is to fund all renovations, major systems and equipment repair, large scale maintenance issues, and repurposing of existing space to accommodate the Sheriff's Office mission at those facilities that are not part of Yaphank and Riverhead. District Court, Domestic Violence Unit in Islip, Headquarters in Riverhead, Criminal Courts, Civil Enforcement, Personnel Investigations in Yaphank, Sheriff's Academy facilities in Brentwood in Westhampton are some of those areas. None of these can be serviced by funds in 3009 and 3014. This was established in 2014 to '16 Capital Program to accommodate these areas where the funding needed to be appropriated for those purposes of addressing issues with major repairs and renovations.

Initially received 200,000 for construction in 2015, with an additional 200,000 in '16. We have asked this funding remain level through 2019. This will allow us to structure and fund this project in the same way as our other maintenance programs, 3009 and 3014. All funding is placed under the construction line, because the planning for any early projects will be done in conjunction with internal DPW assets, thereby saving time and money.

Priority project will be renovation and conversion of an existing structure for the purpose of

Public Safety Budget Meeting 5/18/16

establishing a central and secure evidence storage facility. We are currently extending our County Data Network to this facility, located at our Westhampton Annex. This is a required element in order to maintain the status as an accredited agency going forward. Another project will be the renovation of the access camera and security systems at our First District Court holding facility in Islip.

Project 3060 is purchase of communications equipment. This is a continuation of the existing capabilities upgrade of the Sheriff's Office Communications Section. It incorporates funding necessary to provide the Sheriff's Office mobile assets with both computerized information systems via MDTs, and utilizing the IMPACT software suite and officers' voice communications abilities via radio.

Continuing this year, we're seeking funding for retrofitting of the Mobile Data Terminals to the new model Police Interceptors that will entering service this year. And in subsequent years, we're replacing the current generation of MDTs with the next generation of mobile data assets. We feel this funding is necessary over and above that provided under 3244, as this is for equipment retrofitting and replacement that will not be covered under that capital project.

Project 3065 is the replacement of our Jail Management System and Time and Accrual System. The current Jail Management System and Time and Accrual System are both custom developed in-house programming assets. In the case of the Jail Management System, it has been used and undergone constant development for over 26 years. It has been and continues to be a very powerful and comprehensive tool over that time. However, the application itself is written in a Unisys developed language called Mapper that's even older. Because of this, the Sheriff's Office faces a couple of very difficult issues that will eventually force us to redevelop the JMS and T&A systems, or have it done by a vendor. First, because of the age of the programming, it becomes increasingly difficult, as the technology of the outside world moves forward, to integrate newer technology for things like PDAs, Bio Metric Identification techniques, etcetera, with the Jail Management System. So far, we've been able to get the job done, but not always in the most efficient and seamless manner. This will probably not always be the case.

An additional disadvantage to our current system is because the length of time over which the system has been developed. There are parts of the application that are written in versions of the Mapper language that cause in -- excuse me -- incompatibilities with the newer sections written in more recent releases of the language. This increases difficulty of making updates to the older portions of the application. However, the more important issues we are facing is because of the obsolescence of the programming language, it is becoming very difficult to find personnel with the skill levels and experience that can or are willing to come to the Sheriff's Office and work with it. That, combined with the fact that two of our three programmers currently on staff are either at retirement age or quickly approaching it, puts the office in a potentially difficult position. It's for this reason we feel we need to start the process of putting in place a Capital Program to fund the acquisition of the next generation of Jail Management and Time and Accrual Systems to carry the Sheriff's Office through the next 25 years.

And the last project I will comment on is not our project, it's a DPW project, is Capital Project 3512, Public Safety Vehicles. I just want to give you a brief update on the status of our fleet.

As all vehicles for the County's Public Safety organizations are brought -- are bought from this Countywide project, we'd like to put on record for this cycle our anticipated needs in this area for 2017 through '19. After taking into account the 17 new vehicles we are slated to receive in '16, we have projected the mileage of the remaining vehicles in our current fleet out to July of each of the next three years. Using 160,000 miles as being the level at which we replace the vehicles, we anticipate we will have 50 vehicles reach this mileage in 2017, 31 additional vehicles reach this

Public Safety Budget Meeting 5/18/16

mileage in '18, and 21 additional reach this mileage in '19, for a grand total over the three years of 102 vehicles.

As the operating costs of vehicles of this level of use rapidly becomes more burdensome and unsustainable, it's vital that replacement vehicles for the Sheriff's Office fleet be accounted for when formulating Capital Project 3512 in the Capital Program for '17 to '19.

And that's all I have as far as a presentation. If you have any questions, I'd be happy to take them.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

Yeah. I guess BRO's keeping a tally on the vehicle issue, right?

MR. ORTIZ:

(Nodded yes).

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

The communications, I know probably not a conversation for right now, but -- so your communication upgrade will be compatible with what the P.D. is doing in this larger project?

CHIEF SHARKEY:

Anything we're purchasing is compatible with that project.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

Okay.

CHIEF SHARKEY:

That larger project does replace assets at the Sheriff's Office, but this smaller project that we maintain covers things that will not be covered under the larger project.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

Okay. But just to make sure, that everybody, it's all compatible and you can all communicate.

CHIEF SHARKEY:

Yes.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

Okay. I know, Leslie, you had a question, or do you?

LEG. KENNEDY:

More of a statement. We haven't -- it's 15.3 million for the kitchen. Is that what you said, or did you say 16?

CHIEF SHARKEY:

I think the total is 16.9. That included the construction costs and the FF&E money.

LEG. KENNEDY:

Okay. Well, I want to thank you for putting that off. We've already reached for 2017 a tremendous amount of bonding. But 3014 is a definite at BRO recommended rates. The Riverhead Jail has way more than a kitchen that's a problem. 3065 you definitely need. And it is really shocking that you go to 160,000 miles on a vehicle. I know the police go up that high, too. We'll see what we can do. Thank you.

CHIEF SHARKEY:

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

Okay. I guess, you know, and you're correct, a lot of the police vehicles have gone way -- I know you've probably had over 200,000 on some of your vehicles, but we will see what we can do on that issue. But I'm trying to see what your requests are. Yours -- John, I'm looking at the book here, and to cut it short, are we not requesting enough for '17, '18 and '19?

MR. ORTIZ:

Basically, what you see there is all vehicles that are out of warranty, decommissioned, or over 150 to 160,000 miles. So that's how we kind of prioritize what to replace. I'm sure the -- all of those departments on that list have requested more vehicles than that.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

Right. So we're probably going to be expecting them to go past the 160, correct?

MR. ORTIZ:

Yeah. I think the Police -- and Chief Sharkey was just mentioning that the 50 for '17 is probably going to be okay for them, and I know the Police are satisfied with the number they've been getting over the last couple of years.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

Until they get 175 new guys, that could change.

MR. ORTIZ:

They'll just have to put three in a car.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

Three in a car?

*(*Laughter*)*

Depends on where they're going. Okay. Well, thank you. Any other questions? Anyone else have a question? No? Mike, this is probably one of the shortest, but thank you. We'll be in touch if there's any other questions that come up.

CHIEF SHARKEY:

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

Okay, thanks. Okay. Our Medical Examiner is here, if he'd like to come up. Dr. Caplan, it's looking like -- what page is the M.E.? Go ahead, Dr. Caplan.

DR. CAPLAN:

How is everybody doing?

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

Don't ask.

DR. CAPLAN:

Okay. How's everybody doing?

(*Laughter*)

Is that better? Okay.

LEG. CALARCO:

No, you don't want to ask.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

Do you have 10 million?

LEG. KENNEDY:

Or 30 million?

DR. CAPLAN:

Okay. So just to summarize, we have the three projects that we're talking about, the building, 1109, and equipment, 1132, and then the vehicles, which are 1138. So I can summarize, you know, in our building. Basically, our physical plant, you know, we're -- built in 1989, so we're getting on 30 years here. And, you know, the main issue here is that we want to be able to make sure that our labs are, you know, safe, and that we're maintaining the building, you know, appropriately.

And so the main projects that we're -- that we're operating with have to do with the fume hoods, and the heating, ventilation and air conditioning systems. The -- we have -- also have a new air conditioning unit in our server room which comes out of this fund. Basically, the fume hoods, we have a total of 33 in our labs. About half of them have some mechanical maintenance issues, about a third of them have insufficient air flow, and about 10% of them don't work at all. These -- and this also -- we also have additionally 26 hoods in PHL, of which, you know, a significant amount of them, you know, either have maintenance issues, or air flow problems, or don't work. So those are the main -- the fume hoods and the HVAC issues, those are the main, you know, projects or items in this project.

Some other things that are within the scope have to do with -- we have an incinerator area, which we're not using anymore, we're working on repurposing that, and then some building improvements that have to do with creating -- with replacing some of the exterior stairs to our building. We've got some water infiltration issues, and also some pipe chases to prevent freezing of our waste lines. But the main ones, the main issues in this project are, again, the fume hoods and the HVAC system.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

So it looks -- it looks like County Exec's recommended and BRO's recommended, everybody's on the same page.

DR. CAPLAN:

Yes.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

That's good. And that's what you requested. Have you got questions?

LEG. FLEMING:

Just one question. So we recently -- good morning -- good afternoon.

DR. CAPLAN:

Good afternoon.

LEG. FLEMING:

We recently approved funding for safety upgrades and storage upgrades. And I thought in the description of the project it included safety and storage upgrades. They're not related, are they? We had -- so that you could store bodies in a stack?

DR. CAPLAN:

That's a 2016 --

LEG. FLEMING:

And then there were also storage.

DR. CAPLAN:

Yes.

LEG. FLEMING:

I think some evidence storage or -- I just want to make sure that we're not duplicating the funding.

DR. CAPLAN:

No, no. That was -- that was the 2016 part, and that was the rack system. That was -- the purpose for that was to be able to create more storage space.

LEG. FLEMING:

I appreciate -- no, no. I appreciate. You don't need to describe.

DR. CAPLAN:

Yes.

LEG. FLEMING:

I just wanted to make sure. We're trying desperately to keep --

DR. CAPLAN:

Absolutely, yeah. That's a totally separate -- totally separate project.

LEG. FLEMING:

Thank you.

DR. CAPLAN:

Here, yeah, this is not related to that.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

Good?

LEG. FLEMING:

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

Any other questions? So 1132 and 1138 --

DR. CAPLAN:

Okay.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

-- your request, and the County Exec's, and BRO, again, are the same? No, yes?

DR. CAPLAN:

Yes.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

Oh, good.

DR. CAPLAN:

I'm sorry.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

Okay. Then we won't get into -- because, you know, you're going to have to talk about it when we appropriate the money anyway. And 1138.

MS. WRIGHT:

We just want to thank you for your support, because our equipment, you know, really does need to be replaced. And there is nothing on there that's frivolous and I'm glad that you've come to see that.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

Oh, I don't disagree. We had a very lengthy -- the reason why we're so late, there was a very lengthy debate about spending \$10 million. And when you guys are having issues with, you know, your incinerator, insufficient air flow in a lab, that's where priorities are.

DR. CAPLAN:

Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

So I certainly appreciate that, but you need what you need to do your job right, and to keep the building a healthy building for the people who work there.

DR. CAPLAN:

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

Vehicles, your --

DR. CAPLAN:

Yes. So in terms of the -- in terms of the vehicles, what we're looking for in 2017 is we have a -- most of these vehicles, at least, are -- you know, have an expected mileage replacement that's in the 120,000 range. So for 2017, we're looking for a replacement vehicle for a Suburban, which is -- that's a morgue vehicle. That's basically drivers who go to the scenes and remove bodies. So that has -- we have an anticipated mileage at the end of 128,000 for that. Currently, it's a little less than 90,000 right now. So that's -- and, again, these are vehicles that are going all throughout the County, you know, and that's the morgue vehicle.

We also have a 2007 Ford Escape, replacing -- that's where the Forensic Investigators, who go out not only to pronouncements at death scenes, but also do the DWI blood draws that are requested to go throughout the County. So that vehicle is from 2007, and it's anticipated to have over 125,000 miles on it.

The one -- the vehicle in the 2017 budget that I want to just make a quick comment on has to do with the utility crime scene vehicle. Now you look at that and you say, oh, it's currently 20,400

Public Safety Budget Meeting 5/18/16

miles, expected replacement mileage is 35,000. But the issue here is that what -- this is a 2007 vehicle, and the life of this vehicle is based not on the mileage, but on the running time that's necessary. When these vehicles go to scenes, you know, they have to be completely powered to be able to make sure that, you know, any items that we need to use to process evidence are working. And so it's -- so the mileage itself, it does not reflect, you know, the useful life of that vehicle.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

Oh.

DR. CAPLAN:

And then just briefly, the replacement for the Honda Accord Hybrid, which is Chief Medical Examiner -- I guess that's my vehicle, proposing for replacement an SUV type vehicle. This one has -- will have over 130,000 miles. And the reason for the proposed SUV replacement is to make it a little bit more versatile for, you know, any sort of off-road, you know, scenes, you know, where -- or in the event that an investigator would need to use that at some situation, so just to provide some more versatility there.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

Okay. Any questions? No? Okay. Thank you, Doctor. Nothing else to present?

DR. CAPLAN:

Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

No, thank you. Okay. Now I don't know which one to call first. I'm thinking about tossing a coin here and say heads or tails. Which one are we going to have more questions for? I think the P.D. might be the one with the most questions. I do apologize to the P.D., but I think there's more questions for you guys than there is for anybody. So we're going to hold the most questions until the end.

I guess FRES. Joe, I know that there's one issue that we had questions about for you. And if you want to make it short and sweet, we'll be happy.

COMMISSIONER WILLIAMS:

Okay.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

But there was the one -- the one question I think we could just jump right into is the FRES building, the 3418.

COMMISSIONER WILLIAMS:

Yes.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

Maybe we could just jump into that. And if you could -- let me see if I can find 3418. It's renovations and improvements at the FRES Building. Let me see. Okay. The Executive's recommended was 250. I guess that's planning money in six -- that was in '16. And your requested versus what the recommended, you're zeros in the recommended, however, BRO did 1.1 in 2019. So I don't know if BRO wants to explain why, why there's a difference of opinion here. And maybe, Joe, if you want to give us -- whoever wants to go first.

COMMISSIONER WILLIAMS:

Okay. I'm going to be turning it over to Joel. Joel is the Chief of Operations. But, basically, on

Public Safety Budget Meeting 5/18/16

the \$250,000, the -- what we're doing with the FRES Building right now, it's been in discussion for a number of years. We've all come to, I guess, the conclusion that we have to do something with this building. But what we need to do is, first, do a study or a hard look at working with an engineer and an architect firm, the, number one, take a look at the building, what parts of the building are still useful, and come up with some drawings and projections, even on cost.

That \$250,000 that we have there for this year, that is the jelly -- that is the purpose of that, that we'd be working with DPW, bringing in a firm -- excuse me. That we'll be bringing in a firm that we, hopefully, if we do it, work with us through the whole project. But, first, we need to identify what we need to make FRES Building for the future. That would be that.

The other question I'd like to bring up, and I'll let Joel take it over, is that I know in the BRO recommended, there's in 2018 \$1.1 million for -- there's no way working on this between now and 2017 that we -- I could sit here and ask you to bond \$1.1 million on a project that we are not sure what we're going to build yet. I would -- we at FRES are comfortable with the recommended from the County Executive. You know, we've realized, talking to DPW -- again, we just need to know, and, again, to bond \$1.1 million in the year. If we get this project done earlier, we can always come back, working through the County Executive's Office and everybody, looking to move some money in a construction year. But, at this time, I would ask and not recommend that we move that \$1.1 million into 2018.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

Okay. I mean, I think you know I've had an opportunity to go visit the basement with the Dispatchers. There's nothing I'd love to see more than getting them out of there. I think safety-wise, too, exiting that building is probably also an issue, but -- so are you thinking that maybe you're going to just get -- exit that building? Are you considering building something new? Is that what's going on?

COMMISSIONER WILLIAMS:

We started this past year with meetings with Gil Anderson and his people, and we started like our own internal study on what we envision for it.

What we have there is that we have a radio room that we put almost \$7 million into in that particular building. The preference, at least they're looking at right now, because we have to stay close to the Fire Academy, we work very closely with them, we have to stay close to our radio room. Some of the plans that exist right now in the planning stages internally amongst ourselves would be some talk about putting in a -- renovating the current building, and including also Probation side with us, because we're tied together, and possibly building maybe a two-story building out the back, an extension, or off that, which would give us access back into the building. But, again, that's just the study that we went through this year.

What we did with some of the numbers that we got, we basically got them from like DPW, using two -- like \$400 a square foot for new construction, and \$200,000 -- \$200 for renovation. So we really don't have those -- that's why it's so important to us with that 250. So the plan right now would be to keep FRES where they are, renovate the current building we're in, and put an extension on the existing building. Once we go through this engineering study with the engineer, what we'd like to do, and get architecture conceptual drawings, we're going to have a better idea what do we get out of that. And that's why we just need to really take a hard look at that before we spend, but we need to spend that money to get that idea.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

You had a question?

LEG. FLEMING:

Yes.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

Okay. Bridget, go ahead.

LEG. FLEMING:

Thank you, Commissioner. So I'm just a little bit confused. First of all, I -- it's our information that you requested the 13.1 in 2017, but now you're saying that the 1.1 in '18 is unwise; am I right?

COMMISSIONER WILLIAMS:

That is correct. When we made that recommendation, we were making the recommendation -- and I can turn it over to Joel, he was very much a part of this. We were making our recommendation on basically our own internal study back and forth. There's still some talk going back and forth with that. I think we've come to the conclusion that the realization is, is that we have to do -- a professional come in and give us an exact cost. So, again, we're looking at the 1.1 million -- \$1.1 million in 2018, to put that in.

LEG. FLEMING:

All right. So I guess what I don't understand is you had the 250 allocated for 2016. And I'm a little bit confused of what you've described with regard to the planning that's happened to date. I thought at the beginning of your remarks, you said you needed to identify needs, and you needed to work with DPW to identify a firm. Has it -- are you going to be going out with an RFP? But do you need to do spadework before that with regard to what your needs are? What actually has been done, Commissioner, to move this -- these difficult working conditions forward with the 250 that was allocated in 2016, now that we're in mid May of 2016?

COMMISSIONER WILLIAMS:

We have not touched any of that \$250,000 yet in 2016 yet. What we've done in the last year, approximately starting about this time last year, we worked with DPW. Joel Vetter and Gil's people, we -- they had engineers in our building from DPW. They went through the building, they looked at the electric, they looked at the plumbing, they looked at the layout of the building. And we gave them some conceptual things that we'd like to see, nothing formalized, saying we'd like to move, this, we'd like to do that. The needs, we told them we had to stay in that building because of the radio room. That's been done to date.

What we're looking on that \$250,000 for 2016, is we're looking to move forward with a firm through DPW to bring a professional in to make the architectural drawings, look at them, have numerous meetings, and actually try to design the building that's going to work for FRES in the future. We have not done that so far, because we don't have the -- we're not -- we can't do it, and we need actually the technical assistance to do it.

LEG. FLEMING:

When you say you need the technical assistance, are you talking about an RFP?

COMMISSIONER WILLIAMS:

Yes.

LEG. FLEMING:

And has -- what work has been done to prepare the RFP? That's my question. With the understanding that there is \$250,000 in the 2016 budget and there is a very, very real need to move forward with upgrades, what's been done with regard to the preparation of an RFP?

COMMISSIONER WILLIAMS:

What we've done for the past year, working with DPW and our own people, at least try to -- we took a survey of FRES ourselves, along with the DPW people, what we felt what we had to do to make the building work, what changes internally we had to make in the building, how many square feet we felt that we needed, the size that we needed, working with DPW; that we did our homework, that now we can sit down with an architect or an engineering firm and say, "This is what we envision, what we could finish. Can we do that? What's to look at?"

So the past year has not been wasted. What we did, we went into this so far, and that's the reason why you have not touched that \$250,000. So we were fairly sure in our mind what we felt what we needed in the building, and then we needed to talk to an architectural firm, which we'll do through an RFP, and say, "This is what we'd like to see, this is the space we need, this is the office space we need, the older building is needing." We looked at electric, we looked at plumbing, we looked at everything else through DPW, and now sitting down with them, working with them, we'll go out for this RFP, and the successful company will at least give an idea of what we envision. They may tell us that our vision is wrong. They may tell us build in that vision. But that -- you know, living in the building, we feel we have a pretty good idea what we need to make the building work.

LEG. FLEMING:

Okay. Well, just with regard to timeline, what's the status of the preparation of the RFP? When are you going out to bid?

MR. VETTER:

So we'd have to -- it's two courses, to answer your question. One, we have to address the I.R. for 2016 funding. That \$250,000 is not -- would not fully be used for the five -- the five main areas of what we're looking to research. I would have to give you an estimate of somewhere between 70 and \$80,000 is what would be used. The RFP would be a multi-phased contract, written in a way, hopefully, that we could carry over the firm into the construction and design phase of the future project.

The four areas that have been identified and have looked at, so the last year, this \$250,000 was supposed to be for a survey of the actual building. We've chosen not to do that, we did it ourselves. So we looked at the 100-year flood data history of the location, looked at the other infrastructure from all the utility companies of what's there, what the capabilities are. You know, copper phone lines are something of the past, and our building is maxed on it, so we've had to work with those external vendors.

The four areas that are broken out, and I could share with you offline, are location, size, and design criteria. And then of the room space components, we have identified ten specific goals and objective areas within there with DPW, as well as some of our other partners in planning, the Health Department. So this was something that in this capital project last year was discussed about renovating some of the storage capacities to include the needs for the Health Department, so we've kind of taken that year to get that far along.

I would have to say RFP-wise, with the I.R. process, we're probably looking at about maybe five or six months before we're actually able to start moving on this in a formal aspect.

LEG. FLEMING:

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

Craig, do you want to jump in on that?

MR. FREAS:

Sure. We -- when we made the decision to recommend to advance the \$1.1 million in planning from SY to 2018, the intention was for design and construction supervision funding to be available prior to SY. The original recommendation that we had, as you can see, recommended both, recommended planning and construction money in '17. And I believe the additional funding is either for new -- typically, that's the kind of thing where you add new furniture, I don't have my book in front of me, or for some additional construction expenses that might be related to the building. But because this project has not moved, I believe we mentioned in the write-up, this is the eleventh or twelfth iteration of the project. It's been carried in SY, although not requested in SY during that entire time.

The design study or the study funding that was -- I included in last year's, in the '16 budget, was a necessary first step. But if the planning funding, once the design alternatives are selected, is not available prior to SY, then construction is going to take place two or three years after the design alternative is selected. That's why we moved the funding up. We felt that the -- it might be a better idea to progress this sooner, rather than later, especially given the fact that the -- if the construction is going to take place in SY, the planning has to take place prior to SY. It's axiomatic.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

How soon ahead of SY would we need to -- like say 2020, construction is going to happen. I mean, how soon do we need to have planning in place? I mean, with 2018, could we -- I mean, obviously, we can come back next year and decide to move the 1.1 up into 2018.

MR. FREAS:

Well, that's another reason why we selected 2018.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

Right.

MR. FREAS:

The funding, the funding in 2017 seemed a lot, given the County's fiscal situation, and the -- and the readiness of the Department to move forward at that point. Mr. Vetter and Commissioner Williams, you know, have done a lot of ground work on where they need to go at this point, and they have funds available in the 2016 budget for additional funding. But for them to wait another three or four or five years before doing -- after, say, a design -- the design alternatives are set, and then, obviously, the construction is going up -- is going to go up. The other problem, of course, is it's a -- I would defer to Mr. Vetter or Commissioner Williams. I believe that building was built in 1959 or 1960-something.

COMMISSIONER WILLIAMS:

1968.

MR. FREAS:

1968. And as I think was mentioned earlier, pretty much there's probably going to -- it's probably going to require some asbestos remediation, which will increase the cost of it.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

Yes, Joe.

COMMISSIONER WILLIAMS:

If I could just answer, Craig, the reason why we're not looking for the \$1.1 million in 2018 is, right now, if you're looking at, is that we're still -- the major project is in SY. We feel the way we'd like to move forward with it is, number one, find -- design the building that we need, find out the

Public Safety Budget Meeting 5/18/16

building we need, and then we could talk about moving into real hard benchmarks on what date we want to build this. If you're going to be putting the \$1.1 million into 2018, and we're still in SY, we don't know when we're going to build it two or three years later, fiscally, I just can't -- I really don't agree with that. And I would ask that let us work on this the way we think is the right way to go. Let us come back, and we'll definitely be knocking on the door some day when we get a hard line on what -- when we're actually going to put a shovel in the ground and build this and know what we're going to build.

LEG. FLEMING:

Commissioner, I appreciate your candor. And I think what I'm hearing is that by pushing the money into 2018, is that going to move it -- the project any more quickly?

COMMISSIONER WILLIAMS:

That's exactly what it is.

LEG. FLEMING:

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

Okay. I apologize. Rob, would you want to take over for a minute? I got to exit the room for about 5, 10 minutes.

LEG. CALARCO:

Sure.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

So, I guess --

LEG. CALARCO:

Are we done with --

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

I don't know if we're done with this. This is the only thing we've talked about. I'm assuming everything else we were pretty much on the same page.

LEG. CALARCO:

Yeah. Okay. So -- and everything else you are in agreement with the proposed on this particular --

COMMISSIONER WILLIAMS:

On the capital stuff, yes.

LEG. CALARCO:

Okay. All right. So if there's no other questions for Commissioner Williams, thank you very much, gentlemen.

Okay. We have two more left in the room, P.D. and the District Attorney's Office. Does the District Attorney's Office have anything they wanted to share? I think you'll be real quick. You're in agreement with what's here?

MR. PAVLIK:

Yes, Legislator Calarco. We always -- if anyone had questions, we were here making ourselves available.

D.P.O. CALARCO:

Can you, please, put your name on the record?

MR. PAVLIK:

Sure. It's Craig Pavlik from Administration and Finance in the District Attorney's Office.

LEG. CALARCO:

Okay. Does anybody have any questions for him? No? Thank you very much for coming by.

MR. PAVLIK:

Thank you very much.

LEG. CALARCO:

Tim, you're up. Okay. So that is -- we're up to P.D. Come on up, Chief. I'm sure the Commissioner could come back in in a sec.

LEG. TROTТА:

The Commissioner abandoned you.

*(*Laughter*)*

LEG. CALARCO:

So we -- I know there are going to be some questions for you guys, but perhaps if you had something you wanted to get into first, we'll let you make your presentation, if you had one, and then we'll ask any of the questions we have.

COMMISSIONER SINI:

Good afternoon. Thank you for the opportunity to discuss the Capital Budget and Program for the Suffolk County Police Department for 2017 through 2019. We've proposed several projects, including five new projects, and some of these projects are key to doing the nuts and bolts of the Police Department, and there are some new initiatives that are funded in these projects.

Instead of going into detail in each project, I thought I would just open it up for question and answer.

LEG. CALARCO:

Okay. So I guess the first question is, overall, are you guys -- is the Department comfortable with what has been recommended by BRO, or are there any particular projects that you had disagreements with them over?

COMMISSIONER SINI:

No. We're comfortable with the recommendations.

LEG. CALARCO:

Okay. So I think there were a few projects that we just wanted to have the opportunity to ask you a couple of questions about, and I guess we'll start with the newer projects.

Well, there is the renovation to the Marine Bureau. I know we had spoken with Commissioner Anderson earlier from DPW about the urgency of it, and if there was a possibility of splitting it among a couple of years. And I think he actually got back to us with some numbers in terms of trying to do some of the building repairs to get it water tight for you guys, and then maybe do some of the parking lot repairs in the future. Is that something you would be comfortable with doing,

kind of splitting it in two?

COMMISSIONER SINI:

Yes, Legislator.

LEG. CALARCO:

Okay, good.

LEG. KRUPSKI:

You want to go through the details of the split?

D.P.O. CALARCO:

Yeah. The split was they were looking at asking for 400,000 in the more immediacy to do the windows, the floor, the rolling door replacement, and then 200 later on to do the parking lots, electrical lighting repair, fence replacement. That's fine by you?

COMMISSIONER SINI:

Yes, it is.

D.P.O. CALARCO:

Okay. Any other --

LEG. KENNEDY:

I just have a question. That floor damage of the picture that we were sent is caused by water. Is that just during one event? Does it come in through the sliding door? Is it a recurring thing, or was it just a one-time damage?

CHIEF CAMERON:

Yeah, that's recurring damage, because there's water infiltration into the building. There was a lot of damage to that building during Hurricane Sandy that has not been fully addressed. The overhead door was damaged, and there's water leaks through the windows. The windows are original to the building. The building's about 37 years old and it's obviously in a very harsh environment.

LEG. KENNEDY:

So if we replace the windows and the doors, there will be no more leakage, or we don't know, if we get another event like Sandy, or another storm, or another high tide?

CHIEF CAMERON:

The intent is that there will be no more water infiltration. And I'm sure the windows that we're going to put now will be rated for hurricanes, unlike probably the ones that are in there now.

LEG. KENNEDY:

Okay. Thank you.

LEG. CALARCO:

Okay. So that is the Marine Bureau. The next one we had is 3247. I guess we'll probably go ask you about all of your new projects, Commissioner. This is the Police Information Technology's core systems and infrastructure upgrades. Quite honestly, I think everybody just kind of wanted little details on what this is.

COMMISSIONER SINI:

Sure. This is -- this relates to the core of our computer infrastructure at the Department. This is at the -- this is the backbone of all of our operating systems. I'm going to invite Lieutenant Webber

up to the microphone to give some detail about what this project is going to do.

LEG. CALARCO:

Sure. Go ahead, Lieutenant.

LIEUTENANT WEBBER:

Actually, Matt Jones is going to speak.

D.P.O. CALARCO:

Oh, Matt, go ahead.

MR. JONES:

Good afternoon, everyone. Matt Jones, Director of I.T. for the Police Department.

This is basically our back-end systems. You know, as you know, we're a technology-led Department now. With so much advantageous use of information and data, the storage is expanding. The need for greater applications and application development have expanded. So, essentially, we're trying to improve the back end, so that as information requests come in and come out, more data can be transferred around, more things can be done.

We've worked as hard as we can with grants and other funding sources. We were able to obtain a lot of storage information through grants on license plate readers. But some of the problems we're running into are some of the other systems that tie into that are older, and, really, the backbone or the backplane of all these systems that bring all the information together, those components are old as well, and we're running into bottlenecks, literally, with some of the newer systems talking to the older systems. So this is sort of a two-year approach to replace a lot of that equipment and bring kind of everything right up to the cutting edge. Some of the stuff in there is eight, nine, ten years old, and some of the stuff is just, you know, a year or two old, so it's really tying it all back together.

And it's in the back room. It's at the Wide Area Network, where DoIT has involvement in that. DoIT provides a lot of those kind of equipment. This is really the core components that bring all of our servers and applications together.

D.P.O. CALARCO:

Okay. Does anybody have any questions?

LEG. KENNEDY:

Yes. Your initial request was for 325 for 2017 and 2018. The Exec put in for 225 of each of those years, and that is what BRO recommends. Can you live with that?

MR. JONES:

Yeah. The reduction was really more the software component of it. And, again, working through grants, we've been able to obtain some of our software on those grants, so we're good.

LEG. KENNEDY:

Got it. Thank you.

LEG. CALARCO:

Done? Okay. Thank you very much, Matt, we appreciate it. The next project you have here is the Police Criminal Intelligence Section's Operating Center. I guess you probably are -- go ahead, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER SINI:

Thank you. So, as you all know, we have an Intelligence Led Policing model, and we're creating an Intelligence Center. We're going to have multiple agencies sit physically at Headquarters. It's going to be, obviously, the Suffolk County Police Department's Criminal Intelligence Section, along with Nassau County Police Department, other local agencies, particularly the East End Departments and our Federal Law Enforcement partners. We need to buy hardware and software to have a fully operational Intelligence Center. Legislator Calarco, I actually think you visited Nassau's Intelligence Center.

LEG. CALARCO:

Yeah.

COMMISSIONER SINI:

So that's what an Intelligence Center should look like in --

D.P.O. CALARCO:

It was very impressive.

COMMISSIONER SINI:

Yes, it was -- it is, and that's what an Intelligence Center should look in 2016. So we're going to be buying some hardware, hardware meaning computers for people in the Intelligence Center, as well as tablets. We're also going to be purchasing a video wall, so that we can put on the video wall for everyone to see any type of database, any type of intelligence that's relevant at that time, any type of crime mapping. We just created -- this is not included in the price, because we did this in-house, thanks to Lieutenant Webber. We just created a portal, an enhanced portal. We have a new crime mapping system, so we'll be able to portray crime mapping up on the video wall.

So we'll be purchasing some hardware. We're also going to be purchasing software to better mind and analyze our data. So we're a data-rich department, but we need to do a better job of retrieving that data from all of our different systems, including our incident reporting system, our arrest system. So we, obviously, keep electronic track of all of our arrests, but then pulling information out of that database, analyzing it, and then getting it to -- putting it in a way that Detectives can use intelligently is the challenge. We have a system currently that we want to improve, it's quite clumsy, so we're going to be looking to purchase additional software.

In terms of all the different pieces of equipment, and in terms of pricing, I can have Sergeant O'Donnell give some more details, but that's essentially the overview. It's going to allow us to analyze and distribute criminal intelligence to the appropriate Detectives and Officers, so we can better fight crime. And it will be centered out of Headquarters with multiple agencies, including the Sheriff's Office. I neglected to mention them.

LEG. CALARCO:

Will we be able to communicate and share information electronically with Nassau County's Police Department? Obviously, crime doesn't know political boundaries, and a lot of the folks out there doing burglaries, especially these days, are crossing over the 110.

COMMISSIONER SINI:

Absolutely. We had a robbery pattern, we had a burglary pattern that's bi-county. Obviously, drug dealers don't know boundaries. So, yes, Nassau County Police Department will be collaborating with us and vice versa.

LEG. CALARCO:

Okay. Legislator Trotta, and then Legislator Krupski.

LEG. TROTТА:

Asset forfeiture can't pay for this?

COMMISSIONER SINI:

The -- to get this thing going, we wouldn't have sufficient funds. I mean, at this point in time, our asset forfeiture funds are approximately \$3 million. We have certain -- we have many expenses that are already covered out of asset forfeiture, including cell phones. We lease undercover vehicles with asset forfeiture money, training, conferences. We are at our limit in terms of asset forfeiture. Now, with that said, Legislator, I mean, we have -- we just rolled out a new Asset Forfeiture Section that's going to be under Criminal Intelligence, where we have dedicated Detectives now, all they're going to work on is asset forfeiture, and they're going to report to one Sergeant. So we're going to be trying to maximize the amount of money we can seize from bad guys. So, in the future, we will certainly be looking to use asset forfeiture to build this Intelligence Center.

LEG. TROTТА:

And this will help in that, I'm assuming.

COMMISSIONER SINI:

I'm sorry?

LEG. TROTТА:

This will help seize more money, this --

COMMISSIONER SINI:

Absolutely.

LEG. CALARCO:

Legislator Krupski.

LEG. KRUPSKI:

Thank you. So when you collect all this data and you're going to make it available to your Department, is it collected from the East End Departments, the other small Departments throughout the County, SPCA, Parks? Is it every type of -- I mean, how far out do you go with this kind of information, and then who do you share it with?

COMMISSIONER SINI:

So one of the key cornerstones of the Department moving forward would be collaboration. So, essentially, any department who wants to share data with the Suffolk County Police Department is welcome in the Intelligence Center. We already share data with a variety of agencies in some capacity, but this Intelligence Center will bring it to a new level. And it will not only bring data sharing to a new level, and that includes all the East End Departments who want to participate, but it will also have people, at least during certain times, physically together in a room analyzing this data, and that's a key from a point of developing relationships, developing true partnerships, and brainstorming about to deal with issues that are crossing political boundaries.

LEG. KRUPSKI:

Thank you.

LEG. CALARCO:

Legislator Fleming.

LEG. FLEMING:

So have you already identified the software you're going to be running in this high tech center?

COMMISSIONER SINI:

There's various -- we have a software. It's not software, it's an internet-based program that's very clumsy. So we're exploring various departments to see what they're using. Nassau County built their own, which, of course -- of course, costs money, because they had to do the research and bring on people to build it. NYPD has a similar program that we have now, but they're looking at new Microsoft software. We're looking at another company that has a predicted policing model called PresPol.

CHIEF CAMERON:

PredPol.

COMMISSIONER SINI:

I'm sorry. What is it?

CHIEF CAMERON:

PredPol.

COMMISSIONER SINI:

PredPol. So we are exploring various options. We're trying to learn from other departments that are ahead of us on this issue. And I'm sure Sergeant -- the Sergeant can even provide more detail about that.

LEG. FLEMING:

No, I think that's probably okay. But this -- I guess the vision is that it's all integrated and that you're collecting data, sort of realtime data from the monitors in the sector cars, and any other place that data is created, or -- and is that shared with the District Attorney's Office as well?

COMMISSIONER SINI:

The sharing will be basically whoever wants to partner with the Suffolk County Police Department will share that intelligence, and we will share that with the District Attorney's Office. We would like -- we'd like them to share some of their information with us, so that we can better patrol the streets and solve crimes. But, essentially, we will be inviting every single agency in the region to participate, and everyone that we've reached out so far has been excited about it. So we think we'll have a really robust Intelligence Center.

And you're right about -- the idea of the software and the different programs that we're using is to suck data out of all these different places we put data and put it in one spot, and then have that spot easily searchable, so that Detectives, when they're looking for something, you know, it's as simple as sort of a Google search and this database filled with information.

LEG. FLEMING:

I guess these things are getting simpler as technology evolves. But my question is just to what extent you're going to be able to integrate this data, both bringing it from these other sources and then disseminating it out. And is it going to be designed to function within like the operation of the Police Department? So your information is traveling from an arrest report to a booking sheet to a DA's writeup to --

COMMISSIONER SINI:

That's right.

LEG. FLEMING:

And then that will all be available. And we have so many different departments out east, so will they -- I hope -- I can't imagine that it's possible to integrate it in a way that everyone -- you know, that anyone, no matter what data they're -- no matter what software they're running, will be able to tap into that information. But, I mean, is that the --

COMMISSIONER SINI:

No. There are obstacles when you're dealing with different operating systems, but that's exactly what we're trying to do, though, have a system that can suck data from different operating systems and put it all in one spot, and then when it's entered, do you give access to certain agencies to view that? And you could do limited access. So if we're collecting Homeland Security intelligence, we're not going to provide that to information to anyone who wants to partner with us in the Intelligence Center. So you can have limited access to this -- to this sort of one-stop-shop database. But, certainly, the idea is to suck data from already existing operating systems.

For example, we just rolled out a new criminal intelligence -- criminal intelligence platform where we can do crime mapping. So when Chief Cameron and I took over the Department, we were both perplexed by the amount of work that it took to pull stats and to map crime. And we thought you should be able to push a button and map all the burglaries on a particular street during a particular time period. Well, now we can. And it doesn't -- we don't have someone manually putting in data. Obviously, that would be unworkable. Lieutenant Webber developed a system that sucks the data out of our arrest reports and other places and puts it in this one spot. So that's something -- that's the type of paradigm that we're approaching this project with. And there are a lot of companies out there that do this work.

LEG. FLEMING:

So will you be issuing an RFP for a company to sort of manage the whole thing or --

COMMISSIONER SINI:

If we decide to -- if we decided to outsource, it would most likely have to go through an RFP process.

LEG. FLEMING:

And then there would be ongoing costs with regard to operation and maintenance.

COMMISSIONER SINI:

Ongoing costs that we could possibly share with our participating agencies. And, also, the idea here is to -- obviously, the idea, the primary purpose is to prevent and solve crimes. But a secondary benefit to approaching crime with this frame of mind is to increase the amount of asset forfeiture, and we certainly can explore utilizing that funding stream moving forward for certain costs.

LEG. FLEMING:

Thank you.

LEG. CALARCO:

So just to carry on that, so this particular project and the last one we spoke about that was really upgrading your base platform that a lot of this data resides on kind of go hand in hand, right?

COMMISSIONER SINI:

Yes. The previous project is sort of the core of all of our computer systems.

D.P.O. CALARCO:

And then, just from a perspective of data, this also would help make it easier for requests that are

Public Safety Budget Meeting 5/18/16

coming into P.D. Say, you know, the Village of Patchogue is doing a blight study right now, so they're looking for crime data on certain neighborhoods. So for that blight study, it's going to make that a lot of easier for you to provide it to requesting people?

COMMISSIONER SINI:

Yes.

LEG. CALARCO:

Okay. Thank you. Madam Chair, we just did Capital Project 3248.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

Which one?

LEG. CALARCO:

3248, if you were following along with the new projects.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

Okay.

LEG. CALARCO:

I think there was one more, the mobile data terminals.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

Okay.

COMMISSIONER SINI:

That, we're referring to 3510. So this is the MDCs, some people have called it. It's the computers in the car. So this is literally just replacing computers that are failing in our sector cars and computers that are coming to -- computers that then go past the warranty. The -- I can pull some of the numbers, if you have specific questions, as to how many this will get us and how many we need.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

This is the ones that they use every day. They've gone from the old ones. I thought they were all switched over.

COMMISSIONER SINI:

I'm sorry?

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

I thought we were switched over from the old ones. We still have some old ones in use?

CHIEF CAMERON:

This is actually the fourth generation of laptops. The laptops are warrantied for about five years, and then when they're out of warranty, they begin to fail. So we do need to periodically replace them, just like you would replace your computer in your house. But, basically, the cops cannot function in the sector cars anymore without their computer, because we're so computerized. They receive the data on their calls, they write their reports. So without the laptops, the car is out of service, essentially, so we have to replace them periodically.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

I'm not going to tell you how old my computer was before I got rid of it. But, obviously, I don't use it for the same reasons you do. Okay. Let me see.

LEG. FLEMING:

But everybody's in agreement with the proposed.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

Okay. So everybody's on the same page.

LEG. FLEMING:

Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

That's all we need to know, because there was a couple that were not. Public safety vehicles, you guys are -- for 2017, is that a total of 127? No. Are you guys good on the public safety vehicles?

COMMISSIONER SINI:

Yes, Legislator, we are.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

Okay. Now even with this class of 175, that's -- you, obviously -- I would assume you prepared for that.

COMMISSIONER SINI:

Yes. And, you know, keep in mind that when we add Police Officers to the Force, that doesn't -- you're not adding -- you don't need a sector car for each Police Officer, or not even close to that. Obviously, the issue that we've been having, and you know this, Legislator, better than anyone, is that we were -- when considering the number of days officers work, we were having to backfill officer -- in order to fill all the sectors and other positions, we had to hire officers on overtime. So the 175 class, which I very much appreciate the -- so far, the support that we've received from this Legislature, will help us reduce overtime, and which will also allow us to backfill certain positions that are key to community policing and misdemeanor investigation.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

Okay. And I know it's not a Capital Budget question, but what's your anticipated retirement to date?

COMMISSIONER SINI:

A hundred and -- somewhere in the 130 range for the full year.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

That's what you expect for the year? So we're only going to be up 45. Woo-hoo.

COMMISSIONER SINI:

That's right. It will make a difference. I mean, 45 officers will make a difference.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

I hope so.

COMMISSIONER SINI:

And keep in mind, we just graduated 103, and now they're -- they may all be street ready at this point. Most of them are street ready at this point, they're done with their field training.

LEG. FLEMING:

Great.

LEG. KENNEDY:

3510.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

On which one, 3510?

LEG. KENNEDY:

3510.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

3510, Leslie's got a question.

LEG. KENNEDY:

I just have a question. In our Budget Review book, there are two pictures. And I've heard complaints about officers with them in the center, the computers in the center of the car, because they can't move, that they hit themselves. But, please, tell me, this looks like a picture of a computer in a trunk. Is this where it's going?

CHIEF CAMERON:

We designed where the computers are located. The computer itself is now located in an electronics tray in the trunk, and the officers just have a display monitor and a keyboard, which frees up that space. That was an issue when we transitioned over to the Interceptors.

LEG. KENNEDY:

Okay. All right. I thought that they would have to stand behind their car, which would have been very dangerous.

*(*Laughter*)*

That's fine. Great. Thanks.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

Okay.

LEG. FLEMING:

Good question, though.

LEG. KENNEDY:

It scared me to death.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

There was a couple of questions. Actually, we had Gil Anderson in because the Timber Point Marine Bureau. There was six --

LEG. CALARCO:

We did cover the Marine Bureau.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

Oh, you did?

D.P.O. CALARCO:

Yes. And the Commissioner said that he would be amenable to what Commissioner Anderson had

Public Safety Budget Meeting 5/18/16

sent over earlier, which was to split it into two projects, about \$400,000 in the initial phase. That would replace the windows, the door, you know, basically make the building waterproof again. And then -- and then do some of the external upgrades and --

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

However --

D.P.O. CALARCO:

-- repairs in the future date.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

Okay.

D.P.O. CALARCO:

In a future year.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

So, initially, during the budget meeting, we -- I had said 200 '17, '18, 19. I would still like to advocate for 400 in '17, and the 200 in '18, because, you know, if it's electrical work that needs done, he's talking about window replacement, floor repair, main shop, rolling door replacement. Personally --

LEG. CALARCO:

He asked for all 600 in the first year, anyway, so.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

-- I think electrical work should be done before anything. But if they think it can survive another year --

D.P.O. CALARCO:

I think that's the electrical work for like the lighting in the parking lot.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

Exterior work was 200.

LEG. KRUPSKI:

Yeah, that's exterior.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

Yeah.

LEG. KRUPSKI:

Yeah, he seems happy with the --

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

I can -- yeah, okay. So he's putting the electrical in in the second amount, because I guess he feels it's okay. But I'd still like to move with the -- in '17 the 400,000 for the windows. I mean, we're talking about energy conservation.

LEG. KRUPSKI:

Right. Yeah, we'll vote on that as soon as we --

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

I think we need to look at that.

LEG. KRUPSKI:

Taking care of the building is important.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

Right. So, okay. So I don't think you're going to complain if we can move that up, right?

COMMISSIONER SINI:

No, ma'am.

*(*Laughter*)*

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

The -- what was the other one that was in Headquarters?

D.P.O. CALARCO:

No, the bathrooms.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

The bathrooms. Because I remember being in the building and there was something going on with the bathrooms, that they couldn't even use certain bathrooms because of the condition of them. Is this just --

LEG. KRUPSKI:

Who couldn't use them?

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

The employees.

LEG. KRUPSKI:

Don't start that, Kate.

*(*Laughter*)*

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

The employees could not use certain bathrooms because there was issues with them, I swear to God. I was there. Go visit the door with the signs.

D.P.O. CALARCO:

I think the Work Group decided to go with the Budget Review recommendation, and the Commissioner was saying he was okay with Budget Review's recommendations.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

And that was -- what was the number on that one? What was the number?

LEG. CALARCO:

3189, which would have put 50,000 in 2017, and 125 in '18 and '19, and 300 in future years. And you were okay with that, Commissioner?

COMMISSIONER SINI:

Yes, Legislator.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

Okay. So are there any other questions?

LEG. KRUPSKI:

(Shook head no.)

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

Okay. Commissioner, do you have anything else you need to give us or --

COMMISSIONER SINI:

No. I'd just like to thank you for the time and the support of the Suffolk County Police Department.

CHAIRPERSON BROWNING:

We're all good? Everybody's good. Well, thank you.

*(*The meeting was adjourned at 4:08 p.m. *)*