

ENVIRONMENT, PLANNING & AGRICULTURE COMMITTEE
OF THE
SUFFOLK COUNTY LEGISLATURE
MINUTES

A meeting of the Environment, Planning & Agriculture Committee of the Suffolk County Legislature was held in the Rose Y. Caracappa Legislative Auditorium of the William H. Rogers Legislature Building, 725 Veterans Memorial Highway, Smithtown, New York on May 23, 2013.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Leg. Kara Hahn, Chairwoman
Leg. DuWayne Gregory, Vice Chair
Leg. Sarah S. Anker
Leg. Thomas F. Barraga
Leg. Al Krupski

ALSO IN ATTENDANCE:

George M. Nolan, Counsel to the Legislature
Sarah Simpson, Assistant Counsel to the Legislature
Renee Ortiz, Chief Deputy Clerk of the Legislature
Jon Schneider, Chief Deputy County Executive
Thomas Vaughn, County Executive's Office
Laura Halloran, Budget Review Office
Sarah Lansdale, Director/Department of Planning
Lauretta Fischer, Principal Environmental Analyst/Department of Planning
Janet Longo, Real Property Acquisition & Management
Debbie Tinnirello, Aide to Leg. Hahn
Michael Pitcher, Aide to Presiding Officer Lindsay
Marie Berkoski, Aide to County Executive
Ali Nazir, Aide to Leg. Kennedy
Paul Perillie, Aide to Leg. Gregory
Jeffrey Kassner, appointee to South Shore Coastal Protection Task Force
Gil Hanse, appointee to South Shore Coastal Protection Task Force
And all other interested parties

MINUTES TAKEN BY:

Diana Flesher, Court Stenographer

5/23/2013 EPA

THE MEETING WAS CALLED TO ORDER AT 2:14 PM

CHAIRWOMAN HAHN:

Okay. Welcome to the meeting of the Legislature's Environment, Planning and Agriculture Committee. Can we please stand for the Pledge of Allegiance led by Legislator Anker.

SALUTATION

And I was told that we don't have any cards. No cards. Would anyone in the audience like to speak during the Public Portion? Okay.

I'm hoping that we can take out of order, if you don't mind, Director Lansdale, we have two appointments on the agenda. And instead of making them sit through the whole Committee, I'd like to take out of order Introductory Resolution 1419. I'll make that motion to take out of order; seconded by Legislator Anker. All those in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? 1419 is before us.

Introductory resolution 1419, to Appoint member to the South Shore Coastal Protection Task Force (Jeffrey Kassner). (Calarco) If Mr. Kassner would like to come forward? Thank you. You can have a seat here. Renee, is that the microphone that stays on, one of those?

MS. ORTIZ:

Yes.

CHAIRWOMAN HAHN:

Okay. Hello. Welcome.

MR. KASSNER:

Thank you very much for inviting me here.

CHAIRWOMAN HAHN:

Thank you for your willingness to participate. Just tell us a little bit about yourself and why you'd like to be a part of this Task Force.

MR. KASSNER:

I retired from the Town of Brookhaven in the Division of Environmental Protection this past November. And prior to that I've been involved since 1977 in all different aspects of coastal management in the Town, and particularly on Fire Island where the -- different erosion control districts on Fire Island. I am also a adjunct professor of oceanography at Suffolk Community College, so I have a long standing interest in the South Shore of Long Island and the barrier islands. So when I was approached by Legislator Calarco to participate in this Task Force, I thought it was a real opportunity to bring forth my expertise in what I know about the South Shore and to hopefully make the lives of the people on the South Shore much better because they are really under the gun with all the risk from erosion and flooding.

CHAIRWOMAN HAHN:

Wonderful. Are there any questions? Does anyone have any questions? Legislator Krupski.

LEG. KRUPSKI:

How are you?

MR. KASSNER:

Thank you. I'm good.

LEG. KRUPSKI:

What are your -- you know, due to recent events with the breach reopening up there, and of course that's a big topic of conversation with people who are interested not only about the potential for flooding, but also potential for better water quality and all the implications about, you know, better shellfish set, you know, finfish spawning, the whole thing, what are -- you know, what are your views on that?

MR. KASSNER:

Well, I think that it has certainly brought changes into the eastern part of the Great South Bay. But I also know that we do not have enough information yet as to decide whether or not it should be allowed to be closed naturally or we should artificially intervene with that. And I know that there's a number of ongoing studies, or soon to be ongoing studies that will investigate that. And I think once those studies have been completed, we'll be in a much better position to determine whether or not any activity or action is needed.

Of course, in the interim Mother Nature must just decide to close it, in which case everything is moot. But we do know that inlets in that area are traditional. They've happened in the past. So it's maybe just a trip back to the future, if you will, as to what the Bay was like perhaps at the beginning part of the 19th century.

LEG. KRUPSKI:

Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN HAHN:

Legislator Anker.

LEG. ANKER:

I just wanted to comment having worked with Jeff, I just have to say he's going to be a very important benefit to this Committee. You know more about environmental issues than just about anybody I know, just about anybody. And I've worked on both sides, both the environmental activism and also working on the side of the government so -- and I think it's really important that we have people on this Committee that understands both sides. So, you know, Long Island -- especially my District from Mount Sinai all the way to Wading River, we have a lot of lot -- you know, a lot of water, a lot of water bodies. And I really look forward to having you on this committee. So thank you for coming out here and thank you for volunteering your time.

MR. KASSNER:

Well, thank you for those very kind words. I'm very excited about this possibility because I think that it is an action that is necessary. I think the very tight timeframe will give us an impetus to get things done rather than to drag it out. And we can also take advantage of all the work that has been done before us. So, not necessarily reinventing the wheel, but see what we know and perhaps identify what also needs to be done, which I think is also very important.

LEG. ANKER:

And honestly I didn't know you taught at the Community College. So as far as the research and educational background, that is vital in understanding what we have today to help with the events that are happening as far as environmental erosion and the pollution -- water pollution, you know. We count on the monies from our environment to help the County, tourism, especially the water. I think it was two billion -- it was billions of dollars toward our economy. And if you can give us guidance to make sure we're making the right decisions, that's so important. So thanks Jeff.

MR. KASSNER:

Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN HAHN:

Any other questions? Legislator Barraga.

LEG. BARRAGA:

Mr. Kassner, I fully understand your answer to the Legislator's question with reference to the break, all right, on Fire Island, and the studies that are being done to make a determination as to whether or not they should close it. As long as there's also an understanding that there are many people who own homes on the coast in Lindenhurst, Babylon, West Islip and further out who really do feel that break is contributing to additional flooding as storms develop from one month to the next. And they want the break closed.

And I can remember back in '91 and '92 we had a hurricane. And there was a break. That break continued to expand for almost -- I think almost half a mile before the Army Corps of Engineers came in and closed it. All right?

So I'm just a bit concerned about those who make the statements, "let's do a study, let's evaluate, we don't have enough information." I doubt very much any of them live on the coast. Because if they did, I don't -- I'm not too sure their approach would be the same. All right.

So all I'm asking is that at some point in time somebody has to make a decision. And this thing has been dragging on now for months. You know, I understand the answer. I've heard that answer many times, but it doesn't really help me as a Legislator with constituents who live on the coast telling them anything that a study's being done. They want the damn thing closed, plain and simple, you know.

MR. KASSNER:

I understand their position. And unfortunately there's sort of two countervailing ideas towards what to do. There's one contingency that says keep it open because it's improving the Bay and it's good for habitats. And the other contingency says, well, it's going to increase the risk of flooding. And until we have, I think, some more information, it's really hard to decide which of the --

LEG. BARRAGA:

I'm just wondering if the ones who want continue without closing it, if they've ever been down to some of the coastal areas in Suffolk County and had conversations with those people who are homeowners. They may have may a, you know, change of heart. Because I have. I mean -- not a change of heart, but certainly I'm on the receiving end of that kind of input. These people are extremely upset that that thing has not been closed.

MR. KASSNER:

I understand. And I do know that the Commissioner of the New York State DEC has convened a Breach Task Force, which will be holding its first meeting on June the 3rd and --

LEG. BARRAGA:

I'm not asking you to defend anything. It's just that -- and I'm not a big fan of tasks force, commissions and committees. That's usually -- they usually come about because some elected official doesn't want to make a hard decision. Okay? But thank you very much and good luck to you.

MR. KASSNER:

Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN HAHN:

Legislator Anker.

LEG. ANKER:

I can appreciate my colleague's perspective, but I have to say, though, I think committees and advisory boards, you know, we're one person. We don't have time to gather all the research and information. And if we can find the people who have done this and are doing this and have the inspiration to keep on, you know, in the interest of keep on -- you know, moving in a direction that we need to know where to go, then it's vital that we have these committees and task force. So, again, I do -- I will speak very highly of your experience. And, again, I hope you're able to get on this committee.

CHAIRWOMAN HAHN:

Any other questions? Mr. Kassner, thank you very much for your willingness to do this in your retirement and to help us bring your expertise to this issue. So, thank you.

So I'm going to make a motion to approve. Because I don't think we made a motion. And seconded by Legislator Anker. All those in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? It is approved. You do not need to attend the General Meeting. So, thank you; thank you very much. You can, if you want. **(VOTE: 4-0-0-1. LEG. GREGORY NOT PRESENT)**

MR. KASSNER:

I have to get to work.

CHAIRWOMAN HAHN:

(Laughter) Okay, I'm going to make a similar motion to take -- motion to take 1420 out of order; seconded by Legislator Krupski. All those in favor of taking it out of order? Opposed? Abstentions? **IR 1420,**

To Appoint member to the South Shore Coastal Protection Task Force (Gil Hanse).

(Calarco). Gil -- Mr. Hanse, if you'd like to come forward and I'll -- for purposes of discussion, I'll make a motion to approve; seconded by Legislator Barraga. Hello. Welcome. Thank you for being here. If you can just tell us a little bit about yourself and why you would like to serve.

MR. HANSE:

Well, being an old grumpy man, that's why I don't know how to operate these new fancy ideas here.

CHAIRWOMAN HAHN:

We still don't either, so.

MR. HANSE:

I have been the Director of Emergency Preparedness for the Town of Babylon for 35 years. And as part of that job, I was designated to be the Town's Project Manager for eight Gilgo Beach nourishment and the beach replenishment operations. I also have been a member of the Governor's Coastal Task Force that was organized in 1994 where a group of scientists, geologists and government officials were put together to study Long Island to see what the problems were and to see if there were any solutions or suggestions that could come of that.

And as such, we toured all of Long Island. And we looked at the North Shore and the South Shore. We wrote a Governor's Coastal Task Force Report, which to this day I feel should be the actual study that we should use and go by.

We also did a Fire Island Breach Closure Plan. And to kind of get into Legislator Barraga's question, that plan was looked at and written with the idea that if a breach were to open at Fire Island, it would be immediately closed. I strongly believe in that. I feel we did all of the research and everything that was needed to justify the closure of any openings on the barrier island.

One of the problems that we do face when an inlet opens is that the sand that needs to follow the

littoral drift from the east to the west is now interrupted by inlets. Inlets need sand to operate. Without it, they will -- they will not operate. Yes, it was true that there were wondering inlets all up and down Fire Island and Jones Island back in the day. But those inlets were able to open and close because there were no disruptions in the sand flow.

I'm sure you may remember or know of the jetties that Suffolk County built in Westhampton and that was the cause of the opening of the inlet at Westhampton Dunes. I was consulting with the Army Corps of Engineers when we closed that inlet. And certainly what we found that -- directly behind the inlets, there was a cause of flooding that could be -- up to 30% of the tidal range was elevated due to that inlet being there. That was done after the inlet was opened for about two months. So I do believe that directly behind open inlets, you will see increased flooding. I don't believe that the Sandy Storm had anything to do with that inlet being open.

One of the problems that government faces -- that every once in a while a government official will have a vision. And that's what Robert Moses did. He had a vision and he had a lot of visions and did a lot. But unfortunately he made Fire Island Fire Island. And once he stopped the natural flow of sand along our coast line -- there is nothing that I consider to be natural along Fire Island, along Jones Island or even along the north shore of Long Island.

So I would like to be able to add my expertise in dealing with the removal of sand from part A and putting it back onto part B knowing what is good for our islands and what isn't, in my judgement. We're trying to take a look at the Great South Bay and figure out why we are seeing an increase in the flooding. Not that Hurricane Sandy wasn't something that we predicted. We knew it was going to happen. We even looked at New York City and how the subways would be flooded. So Hurricane Sandy didn't do anything new. It just showed us what can happen when we don't manage our coastlines properly.

CHAIRWOMAN HAHN:

Any questions? Legislator Krupski.

LEG. KRUPSKI:

Good afternoon. Now you said you were part of a Governor's Task Force Report in 1994?

MR. HANSE:

Yes, sir.

LEG. KRUPSKI:

I was a Town Trustee then. And we were charged with coastal erosion hazard area, mostly Long Island Sound. And it wrapped around Orient Point and Greenport. I'd be very interested -- I don't remember that report. I'd be very interested if we can get a copy of that for the Committee?

MR. HANSE:

Sure. We will get you that report. There has been a lot of work that's done around the coast that has kind of gone away. We also worked on a proposal to put a permanent sand bypass at Shinnecock Inlet where we would have a crane and a suction pump. And during the wintertime, we would bypass the sand from one side to the other so that you wouldn't have had the destruction that you have along that section of Dune Road and along those fishing piers if we had done that. The County refused to do it. And so we -- there are a lot of things that have been done, but there's just no action been taking of it.

Unfortunately our coastlines are not a priority anymore. We don't -- we don't have the same kind of people that took up boating and clamming and fishing. And it's kind of all gone away. And they like to sit around and push these little buttons so these electronic things come on. But we need a resurgence of people that are committed and dedicated to the waterways along Long Island.

LEG. KRUPSKI:

Coastlines are a priority amongst some of us still. So don't despair. And, you know, I'll be happy to support you, but I hope you don't bring a preconceived notion about one thing or another. I hope you look at -- listen to everyone and talk -- look at the whole system.

MR. HANSE:

As I say, we did do that in the '90s. We went around and talked to everyone, every place. And that's where I got a lot of my background from, professors from all of the big universities, Columbia, NYU, we were all on the Task Force together. And we tried not to have a preconceived notion of what and where to do it, but there was this report that was written and there was the beach closure -- the Fire Island Beach Closure Report that was written that are good and are manageable. And maybe we don't have to reinvent the wheel; we just have to look at the wheel.

CHAIRWOMAN HAHN:

What was the name of that report that Legislator Krupski wanted? What was the first one you mentioned?

MR. HANSE:

The Governor's Coastal Task Force Report.

CHAIRWOMAN HAHN:

What year was that?

MR. HANSE:

1994.

CHAIRWOMAN HAHN:

Okay. Thank you. Were there other questions? Okay. We have a motion and a second. All those in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? Motion is approved. **(VOTE: 5-0-0-0)**

Thank you. You do not need to come before the full Legislature. You don't need to be there, unless you want to be.

MR. HANSE:

Thank you.

TABLED RESOLUTIONS

CHAIRWOMAN HAHN:

Okay. So we are back to the beginning of the agenda, tabled resolutions. **Introductory Resolution 1038, Authorizing appraisal of land under the Suffolk County Drinking Water Protection Program, as amended by Local Law No. 24-2007, Estate of Shivella Pasquale Town of Brookhaven (SCTM Nos. 0206-020.00-04.00-001.000 and 0206-020.00-04.00-006.000).** (Hahn) I'm going to make a motion to table.

LEG. GREGORY:

Second.

CHAIRWOMAN HAHN:

Seconded by Legislator Gregory.

LEG. KRUPSKI:

Can I ask a question?

CHAIRWOMAN HAHN:

Sure. Legislator Krupski.

LEG. KRUPSKI:

This is a parcel that has a house on it; a 3.2 acre parcel.

DIRECTOR LANSDALE:

I'm looking into that. Hold on one second. Yes, there is a parcel -- a house on the parcel.

CHAIRWOMAN HAHN:

And it also rated a 16? What was the number on this one? So -- we're tabling it.

DIRECTOR LANSDALE:

This received a rating of 11 out of 100.

CHAIRWOMAN HAHN:

Right. So I made a motion to table. And that was seconded by Legislator Gregory. All those in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? **It is tabled. (VOTE: 4-0-0-1. LEG. ANKER NOT PRESENT)**

Introductory Resolution 1118, Authorizing appraisal of land under the Suffolk County Drinking Water Protection Program, as amended by Local Law No. 24-2007, Gentleman's Riding Park properties - Town of Brookhaven. (Hahn) I'll make a motion to table.

LEG. GREGORY:

Second.

CHAIRWOMAN HAHN:

Seconded by Legislator Gregory. All those in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? **1118 is tabled. (VOTE: 4-0-0-1. LEG. ANKER NOT PRESENT)**

Introductory Resolution number 1232, Authorizing the granting of a permanent easement to the Suffolk County Water Authority for production, distribution and transmission of drinking water on a parcel acquired by the County of Suffolk under the Old Drinking Water Protection Program (Aldrich Lane, Mattituck New York bearing SCTM No. 1000-125.00-01.00-001.000). (Co. Exec.)

LEG. KRUPSKI:

Make a motion to table.

CHAIRWOMAN HAHN:

Motion to table by Legislator Krupski. I will second. All those in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? **1232 is tabled. (VOTE: 4-0-0-1. LEG. ANKER NOT PRESENT)**

Introductory Resolution 1367 -- I'm sorry. I don't have 1356. There was a revised agenda. Something was withdrawn? Yes.

Introductory Resolution 1367, Authorizing appraisal for the acquisition of development rights under the Suffolk County Drinking Water Protection Program as amended by Local Law No. 24-2007 - Gus Wade Farm property - Town of Babylon. (Gregory)

LEG. GREGORY:

Motion to table.

CHAIRWOMAN HAHN:

Motion to table by Legislator Gregory; seconded by Legislator Krupski. All those in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? **It is tabled. (VOTE: 5-0-0-0)**

INTRODUCTORY RESOLUTIONS

Onto Introductory Resolutions. And we have Director Lansdale. Everyone should have the e-mailed maps from Laurretta. Okay. We have **Introductory Resolution 1368, Authorizing appraisal of land under the Suffolk County Drinking Water Protection Program, as amended by Local Law No. 24-2007, Pletenik property - Town of Brookhaven (SCTM Nos. 0209-033.00-07.00-025.000 and 0209-033.00-07.00-026.000). (Browning)**

LEG. GREGORY:

Can I ask a question, Madam Chair? I'll make a motion to approve for purposes of discussion.

CHAIRWOMAN HAHN:

Motion to approve. I will second. On the motion.

LEG. GREGORY:

I had a conversation with the sponsor, I guess, of the next two or three resolutions. And it was my understanding from that conversation -- correct me if I'm wrong -- that these parcels are already on the Master List or -- I don't want to get it wrong, because I don't want to do something that's going to affect these moving forward if there's no need to move forward.

DIRECTOR LANSDALE:

Two of the three parcels are on the Comprehensive Master List. That would be 1368, which is the parcel before you now and -- the resolution before you, as well as 1425.

LEG. GREGORY:

So do we need to do anything or is there some other action outside of these resolutions that need to be done or are we going -- we doing things appropriately? Because they're on the Master List.

DIRECTOR LANSDALE:

They are on the Master List. They're also within the hundred year flood plain as well.

CHAIRWOMAN HAHN:

So have they been approached recently? When was the last time they were sent letters of interest?

DIRECTOR LANSDALE:

I would have to check with Real Estate on that.

CHAIRWOMAN HAHN:

Okay.

DIRECTOR LANSDALE:

2005 is what I've been informed.

CHAIRWOMAN HAHN:

Okay, Legislator Krupski.

LEG. KRUPSKI:

Other than the hundred year flood plain, is there any other way they could be acquired other than a County acquisition?

DIRECTOR LANSDALE:

Yes, at this time there's funding available through NRCS. There's federal sources of funding available right now in the wake of Super Storm Sandy to acquire these low lying parcels within the hundred year flood plain. This is -- actually this area was an area that the County included in our submission of recommended parcels for federal dollars for federal funding for acquisition. And this federal funding is separate and apart from the HUD CDBG funds that are available. Those funds are available for acquisition of parcels that have structures on them.

LEG. KRUPSKI:

Well, in light of that, I would make a motion to table, then, to pursue the federal funding for acquisition.

CHAIRWOMAN HAHN:

Is that happening for these parcels? Like have you -- what is the process to pursue the federal funding? Do we need to have a resolution that tells you to do it --

DIRECTOR LANSDALE:

No, no.

CHAIRWOMAN HAHN:

-- or you're already trying to do that with our Master List?

DIRECTOR LANSDALE:

This is -- local homeowners would have to apply for those funds in partnership with either a non-profit or a local municipality.

LEG. GREGORY:

Madam Chair, may I ask --

CHAIRWOMAN HAHN:

Could they partner with us?

DIRECTOR LANSDALE:

I suspect they can. I would have to look into that, though, a little bit further.

CHAIRWOMAN HAHN:

Because maybe we can review all of our Master List properties within the hundred year flood zone and try to partner for the Federal dollars sooner than -- you know, as soon as possible.

DIRECTOR LANSDALE:

We have done -- we have conducted that analysis. And that was the basis for sending this area and other areas within the County that were included in the list of recommended acquisitions for the use of these Federal funds.

CHAIRWOMAN HAHN:

So you already sent --

DIRECTOR LANSDALE:

Yes, we sent in the list approximately three weeks ago.

CHAIRWOMAN HAHN:

Okay. And these were on it?

DIRECTOR LANSDALE:

Yes, this area is on it.

CHAIRWOMAN HAHN:

Okay. I'm sorry. Legislator Gregory.

LEG. GREGORY:

So what do you recommend? That we table?

DIRECTOR LANSDALE:

I haven't had a chance to speak with the sponsor on this directly, but at this point I would recommend tabling it so that we could explore the use of Federal dollars and then -- and see how that -- the outcome on that before we pursue this avenue.

LEG. GREGORY:

Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN HAHN:

Do we have rating forms for this?

DIRECTOR LANSDALE:

We do. I can distribute those.

CHAIRWOMAN HAHN:

Thank you. And Legislator Anker has a question while -- Debbie will help you distribute this. Thank you, Debbie.

LEG. ANKER:

The question I have is, you submitted areas or parcels for Federal funding. How many parcels are there pertaining to what we're trying to acquire for open space?

DIRECTOR LANSDALE:

I don't have that information with me, but I'd be more than happy to brief this Committee at the next Committee meeting with that information.

LEG. ANKER:

That could be a -- I'll say a gold mine, but that would be wonderful if we could use some of those Federal dollars to preserve some of those parcels, because, you know, we don't have the funding available to acquire the open space that we would really love to acquire. And, you know, that would be great. Thank you, Sarah.

DIRECTOR LANSDALE:

And I'm sure that there are limited Federal dollars so that the need far outweighs the dollars that are available. But the County is certainly making every effort to make our case.

CHAIRWOMAN HAHN:

Any other questions? I had one. So do we have a timeline? Do we know when we would expect to hear from the Feds on the NRCS funding? And have they given any kind of sense as to -- was there a deadline for application?

DIRECTOR LANSDALE:

There is no deadline yet. They're still working out the application procedures and things like that. I can definitely have that information to you by the next Committee meeting in terms of whatever -- where they are in the development of this program.

CHAIRWOMAN HAHN:

I would highly recommend that we try to partner with landowners to pursue this funding, because it really could help us to -- these are highly-rated properties that -- you know better than any of us how, you know, we're down to very few dollars and we're looking for other revenues to help us. But that would be fabulous for that purpose. What did you refer to it as? In your report, as resiliency -- or climate resiliency priority. This would really help our County with that. So, I look forward to you reporting back at the next Committee on that.

DIRECTOR LANSDALE:

Will do.

CHAIRWOMAN HAHN:

Thank you. So do we have -- Legislator Krupski.

LEG. KRUPSKI:

I'd like to get a second on the -- on my tabling motion. I'll ask one more question.

LEG. BARRAGA:

Second.

CHAIRWOMAN HAHN:

Second by Legislator Barraga.

LEG. KRUPSKI:

Now these -- the map shows that -- and I'm colorblind so forgive me -- it either shows high marsh or intertidal marsh. How would that appraise? I mean -- I don't know how Brookhaven Town is -- how their wetlands codes work and what not, but would this be considered buildable?

DIRECTOR LANSDALE:

I'm going to refer that question to Real Estate.

MS. LONGO:

We would do an appraisal on it.

CHAIRWOMAN HAHN:

Janet, just state your name for the record.

MS. LONGO:

Janet Longo. I'm the Acquisition Supervisor. We would order appraisals. Most likely if it's wetlands, it would -- it appraises very low. We do have comparable sales of wetland parcels that are sold on the open market and that's what we use for comparable sales so -- I'm not going to throw a number out because I don't really know. We would have to do an appraisal.

LEG. KRUPSKI:

Oh, sure. Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN HAHN:

Thank you very much, Janet.

LEG. KRUPSKI:

Thank you.

MS. LONGO:

You're welcome.

CHAIRWOMAN HAHN:

Okay. So we have a -- a motion and a second to table. All those in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? **It's tabled. (VOTE: 5-0-0-0)**

Introductory Resolution 1369, Making a SEQRA determination in connection with the proposed Capital Project 5603 - Yaphank CNG Fueling Facility, Town of Brookhaven. (Pres. Off.) I'll make a motion.

LEG. KRUPSKI:

Second.

CHAIRWOMAN HAHN:

Seconded by Legislator Krupski. All those in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? **1369 is approved. (VOTE: 5-0-0-0)**

We did 1419 and we did 1420.

Introductory Resolution 1425, Authorizing appraisal of land under the Suffolk County Drinking Water Protection Program, as amended by Local Law No. 24-2007, Bayview Drive, Mennuti property Town of Brookhaven (SCTM No. 0209-037.00-01.00-021.000). (Browning) I'll make a motion to table because this is the same scenario as we discussed before. I'll make a motion to table; seconded by Legislator Krupski. Is there any discussion on this property? No? Motion to table. All those in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? **1425 is tabled. (VOTE: 5-0-0-0)**

Introductory Resolution 1426, Authorizing appraisal of land under the Suffolk County Drinking Water Protection Program, as amended by Local Law No. 24-2007, Riviera Drive, Mennuti property Town of Brookhaven (SCTM No. 0209-025.00-07.00-004.000). (Browning) I'll make a motion for the purposes of discussion; seconded by Legislator Anker. Director Lansdale. No. Motion to approve for purposes of discussion. This is not one that was on the Master List; correct?

DIRECTOR LANSDALE:

Yes, that's right.

CHAIRWOMAN HAHN:

Okay. So we need to hear about it --

DIRECTOR LANSDALE:

Sure.

CHAIRWOMAN HAHN:

-- with any rating forms you have and --

DIRECTOR LANSDALE:

We used a assemblage rating form so the rating form that I passed out distributed for IR 1368 is the same one that's used for 1426. And this is also within the same area, within Mastic/Shirley Conservation Area within the hundred year flood zone. And it would be our recommendation to -- this would also be an area that would be eligible for funding.

CHAIRWOMAN HAHN:

Okay.

LEG. KRUPSKI:

I make a motion to table.

CHAIRWOMAN HAHN:

Legislator Krupski makes a motion to table. I will withdraw my motion to approve and I will second the motion to table. Any discussion? Question by Legislator Gregory.

LEG. GREGORY:

So the other two properties are on the Master List, but this particular property isn't? But they are all part of assemblage? Is there a reason why that would be the case?

DIRECTOR LANSDALE:

They're all within the Mastic -- Mastic/Shirley Conservation area. That's why we used the same assemblage rating form. And -- I'm sorry, I missed the second --

LEG. GREGORY:

You're using the same rating form because they're all in the general -- the conservation area, but two of the three are on the Master List but this particular property isn't?

DIRECTOR LANSDALE:

Yes.

LEG. GREGORY:

Is there a particular reason why this property isn't?

DIRECTOR LANSDALE:

This is -- it was -- the parcels that are on the 2012 Comprehensive Master List was a compilation of a series of four Master Lists that occurred between 2004 and 2006. This specific area within Mastic/Shirley was not originally on the -- any of those lists. You know, as we continue to update the Comprehensive Master List, this would be an area that would be included for future versions of the Comprehensive Master List.

LEG. GREGORY:

Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN HAHN:

Okay. So we have a motion to table and a second. And so this is going to be something that we look into the Federal funding. So all those in favor of tabling? Opposed? Abstentions? **It is tabled. (VOTE: 5-0-0-0)**

And I think that is it for us today. Any other business that you'd like to share with us, Director Lansdale? Nope?

DIRECTOR LANSDALE:

Not at this point.

CHAIRWOMAN HAHN:

Thank you. With that, we are adjourned. Thank you.

**THE MEETING CONCLUDED AT 2:51 PM
{ } DENOTES SPELLED PHONETICALLY**