

ENVIRONMENT, PLANNING and AGRICULTURE COMMITTEE
OF THE
SUFFOLK COUNTY LEGISLATURE
VERBATIM MINUTES

A regular meeting of the Environment, Planning and Agriculture Committee of the Suffolk County Legislature was held in the Maxine S. Postal Legislative Auditorium of the Evans K. Griffing Building, 300 Center Drive, Riverhead, New York on April 14, 2011.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Leg. Vivian Vilorio-Fisher, Chairperson
Leg. Jon Cooper, Vice Chair (not present)
Leg. Lou D'Amaro
Leg. Thomas Muratore
Leg. Edward P. Romaine

ALSO IN ATTENDANCE:

Leg. Jay H. Schneiderman, Second Legislative District
Sarah Simpson, Assistant Counsel
Tim Laube, Clerk of the Legislature
Eric A. Kopp, County Executive's Office
Laura Halloran, Budget Review Office
Michael Mule, Department of Planning
Director Pamela Greene, Real Property Acquisition & Management
Lauretta Fischer, Principal Environmental Analyst, Department of Planning
Tom Ryan, Aide to Leg. Vilorio-Fisher
Justin Littell, Aide to Leg. D'Amaro
Paul Perillie, Aide to Majority Leader
KelliAnne Sacchitello, Aide to Leg. Muratore
Kevin LaValle, Aide to Leg. Muratore
Sarah Lansdale, appointee, Director of Planning Department
Carl Gabrielsen, appointee, Planning Commission
And all other interested parties

VERBATIM MINUTES TAKEN BY:

Diana Flesher, Court Stenographer

VERBATIM MINUTES TRANSCRIBED BY:

Denise Weaver, Legislative Aide

[THE MEETING WAS CALLED TO ORDER AT 1:07 P.M.]

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Thank you. Please join us in the Pledge led by Legislator D'Amaro.

SALUTATION

Thank you. Legislator Romaine, isn't this terrific, Committee meetings and General Meeting in Riverhead this month.

LEG. ROMAINE:

This is historic. We never have committee meetings in Riverhead.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

And the sun is shining.

LEG. ROMAINE:

That's why.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Okay. So, well how about getting to the people from the public who are here to speak to us. Mr. Wayne Bruyn, please.

Tim, does that have the button at the base or on the top?

MR. LAUBE:

(Inaudible)

MR. BRUYN:

Good afternoon. My name is Wayne Bruyn. I'm an attorney in Southampton with the firm of O'Shea, Marcincuk and Bruyn. I represent East Quogue Partners and they are the topic of your resolution number 1308, which happens to be one of the last on your agenda.

I just wanted to appear today to let you know I am the contact person for the landowner. The property in question is approximately 428 acres in East Quogue. It stretches from north of the Sunrise Highway all the way to the Long Island Railroad and includes several acres of core preservation area. It's entirely in the compatible growth area and it is the subject of a pending subdivision application in front of the Southampton Town Planning Board. It's also been studied by the Town Board in Southampton and been identified as a site for resort development including a golf course.

The reason why this matter -- our Legislator Schneiderman, who's here today, has put forth this resolution on behalf of the Town residents and the Town of Southampton has reached out to my clients to seek interest in whether or not the property should be purchased jointly by the Town and the County. The Town of Southampton has already commenced an appraisal process and it has expressed some interest. Our concern is we're very interested to know whether the County and the Town will pursue this so that we can plan accordingly in our development process.

So we are here today, too, if in your discussion you need to have any questions answered or otherwise. And if you have any other questions, I'd be happy to answer those.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Just quickly, Mr. Bruyn, you had mentioned an approval process with the town. And are there any zoning changes that are involved for this development plan?

MR. BRUYN:

The development plan that's in front of the planning board is as-of-right subdivision process and it involves 82 lots. We are proposing a clustered subdivision, which is what the Town would seek. However, the Town Board had undertaken a generic EIS and a study, which was trying to promote resort development, second homes, to -- as a basis of assuring a good tax base compared to normal single family residences that would impact the school district. And as part of that, their study had promoted a championship golf course. We have done studies that would involve a zone change, but that has not yet been submitted.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Are there any other questions for Mr. Bruyn? Okay. If when we get to the resolution we have questions --

MR. BRUYN:

I'll be happy to answer them.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Thank you, sir.

MR. BRUYN:

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Thank you for being here.

Okay, now there are two resolutions that I'm going to move to take out of order because they are for appointments and both people are here.

The first one will be 1278 so I'm going to make a motion to take 1278 out of order, seconded by Legislator Muratore. All in favor? Opposed? IR 1278 is before us. **1278 - Approving the appointment Sarah Lansdale as Director of the Suffolk County Department of Planning. (Co. Exec. Levy).**

And, Miss Lansdale, if you could come up and join us, please. And I believe Tom Ryan -- just take a seat or go to the podium. You can speak standing up; you can choose to do so. You can see that all of you have received letters of support from the Long Island Farm Bureau and the Long Island Builders Institute. And, Tom, wasn't there also one from the Long Island Planning Council?

MR. RYAN:

(Shaking head no)

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Oh, I thought you said Michael White had also sent us a letter. Okay. It was Mitch Paley who sent us a letter.

Go ahead, Sarah, sorry. Just tell us a little bit about yourself and how you think you envision your position in this job, etcetera.

MS. LANSDALE:

Sure. Thank you so much for this opportunity. I'm Sarah Lansdale and I am a urban planner. I have -- my educational background is, I have a masters degree in urban planning from New York University as well as a bachelor of science in -- for my undergraduate in environmental studies and a minor in agricultural economics.

For the past ten years I've worked at Sustainable Long Island and facilitating land use plans in several communities across the Island including five here in Suffolk County.

There are many -- I'm really pleased to be nominated for this position to have the opportunity to continue the level of professionalism and service to the County that previous planning directors, most importantly, Tom Isles, performed in his capacity as the previous Planning Director.

Specifically my vision for the department and for the -- and for Suffolk County is to continue the professionalism, to maintain continuity and provide stability for the Department and advocate for the important work and projects in this department for the County and to make this Department the best Planning Department in the entire country.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Thank you, Sarah. There are a couple of questions. We'll begin with Legislator D'Amaro.

LEG. D'AMARO:

Okay. Good afternoon and thank you for coming forward. Appreciate that. You're right, there are big shoes to fill in this position. I think we all have a very high level of respect for Director Isles and everything he's done for Suffolk County as well.

I just wanted to ask you, I'm taking a look at a copy of the Charter which lays out the general jurisdiction of the Department. It talks about comprehensive planning, it talks about working with the municipalities with our towns with respect to planning functions and even as deep as variance requests and special permits. Do you have experience working with the Towns on planning? Can you tell us a little about that?

MS. LANSDALE:

Yes, I do. For the past ten years I've been working at Sustainable Long Island and for the past six of those ten as the Executive Director. And I've worked with several towns in Suffolk County as well as Nassau. I'll focus on Suffolk, to -- as well as local community groups to facilitate the development of local plans. And to do that -- and I'm proud to say that all the plans that I've facilitated have been adopted or accepted by the towns. So I've specifically worked in the Town of Babylon, in the Town of Brookhaven and the Town of Huntington, to name just a few.

LEG. D'AMARO:

So when it comes to planning you have hands-on experience with respect to all the competing interests that go into, let's say, development use and land use, there's often more than one side to the story when it comes to various projects.

MS. LANSDALE:

Definitely. And one of the exciting things about planning is the balancing aspect of it; of balancing the environmental, economic and the local considerations with the County goals and other goals in mind and getting to yes.

LEG. D'AMARO:

All right. Well, obviously your experience as well as your educational background are superb and I wish you the best of luck. Thank you. Thank you, Madam Chair.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Any other questions? Legislator Romaine.

LEG. ROMAINE:

Yes, Miss Lansdale, now are you a certified planner?

MS. LANSDALE:

Yes, I am. I received the certification from the American Institute Certified Planners; yes.

LEG. ROMAINE:

Great. If you could, very briefly, as you start this, realizing that, you know, you really haven't worked in a governmental position in planning, how do you envision your role as Planning Director for Suffolk County?

MS. LANSDALE:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on that. There are a number of exciting things, initiatives, that the previous Planning Director had already initiated. So I see myself for the first few months in learning and listening to the staff, the talented staff, at the Planning Department. There are a number of exciting projects such as the update to the Suffolk County Comprehensive Plan. It's the first time that it's being updated in 30 years. And I think one of the most important things that we can do as a County is really set forth that vision for future land use and engage all of the stakeholders in the development of that plan.

LEG. ROMAINE:

Can I ask you, have you seen that, I guess it's a DVD or video, called the Clock is Ticking?

MS. LANSDALE:

Yes, I have.

LEG. ROMAINE:

Okay. Any thoughts or comments on that video? Because it spells out some very stark choices for Suffolk County.

MS. LANSDALE:

Yes. You know, the -- as I said previously, one of the important things about planning, the approach is to balance all of these competing considerations between economic, environmental and equity choices. And I think that the Clock is Ticking has provided really a great roadmap for what needs to be done and the imperative for making planning a priority for the County and the region.

LEG. ROMAINE:

Any thoughts on Legacy Village? Well, I might as well throw you into the fire right away.

*(*Laughter*)*

MS. LANSDALE:

I appreciate that opportunity. Well, I think the important thing regardless of what happens moving forward, and I know that there's an important piece of -- there's legislation that's going to be considered at the April 26th meeting, at the full Legislature, I think it's important to take a step back and look at planning and look at that -- that this is surplus land and that there needs to be a study done, a plan.

LEG. ROMAINE:

I don't mean to correct you. This is not surplus land. This has not been declared surplus by the County and all efforts in that direction and any undertaking, any expenditure of money on lands that are not considered surplus, highly questionable in my -- from my point of view, because the law is pretty clear, that you have to declare something surplus before you move forward and expend money but --

MS. LANSDALE:

I stand corrected. Thank you.

LEG. ROMAINE:

That's okay. But, thank you, and I'm sure we're going to have some engaging conversations.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Okay.

LEG. D'AMARO:

Could you just finish that thought, you know, take a step back, look at the planning and just expound on that a little bit more.

MS. LANSDALE:

Sure. I think that the property around, that's under consideration for Legacy Village, needs to look at -- a plan needs to be in place to evaluate the economic, environmental and other considerations before anything is done; that it's important to balance and understand both the opportunities and constraints and what can be done with that property.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Legislator Schneiderman.

LEG. SCHNEIDERMAN:

Two quick comments. One, on the Clock is Ticking, I believe that all the information that was used to compile, that was done -- was gathered by the Rauch Foundation, which Sarah worked for. How many years with Rauch Foundation?

MS. LANSDALE:

I was at Sustainable -- in my capacity at Sustainable Long Island we provided consulting services and we're a technical advisor to the Rauch Foundation, yes.

LEG. SCHNEIDERMAN:

Okay. Yeah, I knew you had some involvement with Rauch.

In terms of Legacy Village -- I mean, the Planning Department had looked at it and did some analysis to determine at least from the Planning Department's perspective that some of that property could qualify as surplus. We, as a body, haven't made the declaration, which we would have to do. But I know the Planning Department did do some review of it in terms of what the County's future build-out for County offices, etcetera, might be and how much of that land we probably would not need versus what we might need in the future. So there had been some planning review. I just wanted to point that out. So you weren't entirely off in saying the referral as surplus land.

MS. LANSDALE:

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Okay. Sarah, I just want to put in my two cents here because you and I have interacted a great deal over the years because of our commitment to sustainability, social justice and equity. I was very impressed with the work that you've done bringing our agrarian heritage together with social justice issues and the work that you've done in Bellport with an eye on supporting the economics, the agricultural integrity and the education of our youngsters regarding all of that. I thought that was a true crowning project for you towards the end of your time there with Sustainable.

I also worked with you when you were working on the Middle Country visioning in Legislator Muratore's district. We intersect a little bit where the work was being done. And I know that there were great kudos for you from the Town of Brookhaven and the work that you were doing there and that visioning for that area. And I think Bellport also, you worked on -- and it's an ongoing project now in Bellport, an area that needs a great deal in terms of vision for the future and again the equity issue comes here -- comes into play.

So I believe that you have -- not I believe, but it's clear and it's certainly before us that you have

the requisite certifications and the background and the technical knowledge. But I think importantly you also have the heart and the vision to take it where it needs to go. I congratulate the administration for, you know, choosing you and I congratulate you for saying yes. And I think we will have a great partnership with you. I look forward to working with you. And that being said I will make a motion to approve.

LEG. D'AMARO:

Second.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Seconded by Legislator D'Amaro. All in favor? Opposed? **1278 is approved. (VOTE: 4-0-0-1 Not Present: Legislator Cooper)** Congratulations, Sarah. This will be before the full Legislature on Tuesday. I generally tell people that they don't have to come, but for such an important position you're expected to be there for other Legislators to have the opportunity to meet you and greet you and ask you questions and maybe throw real hardballs. Good seeing you, Sarah.

MS. LANSDALE:

Thank you for this opportunity.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Congratulations.

I'm going to make a motion to take IR 1296 out of order.

LEG. D'AMARO:

Second.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Seconded by Legislator D'Amaro. All in favor? Opposed? IR 1296 is before us. **1296 - To Appoint member of County Planning Commission Carl Gabrielsen (Co. Exec. Levy)**

LEG. ROMAINE:

I'd like to make a motion to approve 1296.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Come on up, Mr. Gabrielsen. Okay. So there's a second -- a motion and a second to approve. Did you get the second? That was Legislator D'Amaro. Hello.

MR. GABRIELSEN:

Hello, everyone. I'm Carl Gabrielsen, CEO of Gabrielsen Farms. I want to thank you all for having me today. I'd like to share some of my thoughts on what I do and how it would pertain to the Suffolk County Planning Commission.

I'm a farmer of course and I left my shovel and pitchfork at the door. They wouldn't let me bring it in, but that's okay.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

We don't want any pitchforks coming in.

MR. GABRIELSEN:

Okay. Anyway, agribusiness is a billion dollar industry out here as we know, which directly supports our number one industry, which is tourism. So it's really vital that we need to preserve our farms, keep our farmers in business. We have about, I would say, it's close to 36,000 acres of farmland left. That's it. No more. Can't produce it, can't make any more. Of that I think we've actually preserved, I believe, it's around 9,000 acres under the land -- Farmland Preservation Program. So that's a really important thing. We can't stop it now; somehow we've got to come up with ways to

keep this program going. I know some of the Towns now we're just totally out of money. I know here in Riverhead Town, we're out. I think there's a couple of Towns Southold and Southampton, I believe, still has money for that program, you know, besides what the County puts in. So it's imperative that we keep that going.

We're a large greenhouse operation. We're farming in the Town of Riverhead. Our family's been farming here since the late 1800's. So we know a lot about farming and we know that farming has to be sustainable in order for there to be a future today in farming out here in Suffolk County. Because farming's changing just dramatically; in the last five year there's so many changes so we need to keep up with that.

We're constantly looking for new ways of growing here at Gabrielsen Farms. We go to seminars. We go to conferences all over the country. We just got back from a major conference in San Diego and there, of course, their -- of course, the big problem there is water and water runoff and actually lack of water. So we learned a lot out there and we apply it to our farm here in Jamesport and Aquebogue.

Some of the changes we've made to be sustainable in the last couple of years we've installed energy curtains, smart computers. We've cut our fuel consumption right in half. I mean it's just -- these are things that we have to do now or we won't be in business any longer. We've reduced our water runoff to near zero. We have applied drip irrigation systems throughout the whole place. At our new location in Aquebogue, we're actually recycling water now.

We implemented a integrated pest management system where we monitor our insect population. We apply yellow sticky cards. And then once a week we have students coming in from the Riverhead High School Research Team. They monitor these insects and so we know where everything is. Years ago we would just do a general spray of insecticides over the whole area. Now we can just spot treat different areas of the greenhouses, you know, where we know our population is. We have saved a lot on pesticides this way.

And now we're excited about the newest part of our program where we're releasing beneficial insects instead of relying exclusively on pesticides. Last year we -- it was our first year, we cut our pesticide usage down by 40%. This year we've actually cut it by over 90% as, you know, we're learning more and more how to release these beneficials.

Many of the old sprays that we had, insecticides, what's happening now is a lot of the insects and things, they're becoming resistant to this; so what's happening is the farmers are -- we're spraying again and spraying again, spraying again and it's just not doing anything. So this is why we have to change really our way of thinking. And some of nurseries, and we're already starting to do that.

Not only do we know how this helps our local environment, but it also, we realized, the cost effectiveness of using less heat, water, fertilizers, no more runoff, less fertilizers wasted. This is all saving us money. So these are changes we have to make now in the farming industry in order to survive. Change comes best through educating our farmers and our residents. It's my hope that I can use my experience as a Suffolk County farmer to contribute to the Suffolk County Planning Commission.

Now, I had some ideas I'd like to share with you, but maybe some of you have some questions.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Actually, Carl, I was sitting listening to you and getting very excited because I was hoping that you were going to say that you were working on many of these initiatives with our Ag Stewardship Program with Becky Wiseman because I know she's been working with a lot of farmers on helping with, you know --

MR. GABRIELSEN:

Right.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

-- you are working with --

MR. GABRIELSEN:

No, I'm actually working directly with Riverhead High School students from their research team. So they're -- I mean, these kids are --

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Because our Ag Stewardship Program has also sent out technicians to help with that, with the insects.

MR. GABRIELSEN:

Yes.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

You know, with the -- I think the white fly population.

MR. GABRIELSEN:

White fly is a major pest on Long Island. The other thing is thrips; major, major problem.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Yes. So I know that our -- so maybe you and Becky Wiseman can get together with Cornell Cooperative. She's been one of our --

MR. GABRIELSEN:

Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

-- people working on the Ag Stewardship Program.

MR. GABRIELSEN:

Right.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

And saving farmers a lot of money.

MR. GABRIELSEN:

Oh, it's tremendous, yeah. And like I said, a lot of these pesticides, they're not working anymore because these, you know, insects are totally resistant to this, you know. And then also, a lot of it's being taken off the market by the state, you know, so we have less and less tools to work with. But now -- I mean, this is really exciting things, you know, just all new stuff that's out that we have available now to help in the farming community. So we're really excited. I mean, we go all over just getting trained in this and, you know, I've been passing it onto other greenhouse operators and they're trying that, you know, so we're having really great results. We're really, really excited.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

And there are great opportunities for grants, too, you know, the EPIC grants that come in.

MR. GABRIELSEN:

Yes, yeah.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

And, again, we're working with Soil and Water.

MR. GABRIELSEN:

Okay.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

And the Cornell Cooperative.

MR. GABRIELSEN:

Right, right.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

The Ag Stewardship Program is helping farmers to get those grants in order to help keep our pesticide and --

MR. GABRIELSEN:

Right.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

-- our fertilizer numbers low.

MR. GABRIELSEN:

Right. Keep them low.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Or lower them because we have over 200 farmers who are part of that program.

MR. GABRIELSEN:

Right, right, right.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

So it'll be great to have you on board.

MR. GABRIELSEN:

But it's really cutting edge stuff. And, you know, the important thing is educating all the farmers. And I know there's a lot out there, but we need to do more, you know.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Yes.

MR. GABRIELSEN:

So, that's really, really imperative, you know.

Another thing is how much value does this add to our crops and our fruits and vegetables, Right? We're competing against other countries that import. We actually import -- 40% of our produce is imported out of country, mainly from Mexico, mainly from Central America. Now, what's the value of our crops being grown green versus these imported things, imported vegetables and fruits and things like that?

Another thing is I know some of our school districts are starting to use our local produce, but why can't we have a general -- in Suffolk County, a general rule where we need to buy local, you know. This would be a good thing to help the local farmers, keep us in business. More green markets selling -- where we can sell our produce.

So these are some of the ideas that I, you know, I would have, I'd like to bring to the Planning Commission. And then with the experience that I have, you know, as a lifetime farmer. Okay.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Well, I don't mean to hog him up, but I just want to -- because I'm very excited and very involved in this. And the first meeting of our Food Policy Council is going to be coming up probably later this month or the beginning of May.

MR. GABRIELSEN:

Okay.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

And we'll put you -- make sure that Tom has your e-mail address so that we can put you on the list.

MR. GABRIELSEN:

Right.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Because you're really exactly where we want to head with our Food Policy Council.

MR. GABRIELSEN:

Right, yeah.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Because of sustainability. We want to use the produce that's grown here rather than shipping it out and then shipping it back in.

MR. GABRIELSEN:

Right. That's right.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

And we want to create a local market for our farmers.

MR. GABRIELSEN:

Yeah, okay.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

So that it all stays here.

MR. GABRIELSEN:

All right.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

You're really the voice that I really would like to see on the Planning Council.

MR. GABRIELSEN:

Appreciate that.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

So it's good to meet you today.

MR. GABRIELSEN:

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Legislator Romaine has a question.

LEG. ROMAINE:

Not a question, just to add comment. I would simply say I join with you. I think that Carl will be

an excellent representative on the Planning Commission. And I think it's also important that the agricultural community be represented on the Planning Commission with someone that's been deeply involved whose family I know. Excellent family. His brother's a Councilman in the Town of Riverhead and he's done a number of great things in the Town of Riverhead. So excellent appointment for our County Planning Commission.

MR. GABRIESEN:

I appreciate it.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Is there any other Legislator who has a question or comment? Okay. We have a motion to approve and a second. All in favor? Opposed? **(VOTE: 4-0-0-1 Not Present: Legislator Cooper)** Congratulations, Mr. Gabrielsen. I look forward to working with you.

MR. GABRIESEN:

Thank you.

LEG. ROMAINE:

Clerk, please list me as a cosponsor on that resolution, please. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Okay. At the request of Legislator Schneiderman, we're going to take 1308 out of order. Can the Planning Department come forward? So I'll make a motion to take 1308 out order.

LEG. D'AMARO:

Second.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Seconded by Legislator D'Amaro. All in favor? Opposed? **1308 - Approving planning steps for the acquisition of environmentally sensitive properties known as East Quogue Partners, LLC. (Schneiderman)** Okay. Laretta?

DIRECTOR GREENE:

Madam Chairwoman, if I may, I also brought updated funding sheets for members of the committee, which might be helpful for you to have as you're reviewing this planning steps resolution.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Are you saying there might be sticker shock when we look at something that's 438 acres?

DIRECTOR GREENE:

I think it might be helpful to understand the funding limitations and opportunities that the County's going to have.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Understood. Thank you.

LEG. SCHNEIDERMAN:

And as this is being circulated, just to be aware that the Town of Southampton in this part of this resolution, would be a partnership. And I think the discussion was at a 50% partnership. So whatever we did pay would be half price.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Okay. Miss Greene, did you want to start first?

DIRECTOR GREENE:

As members of the Committee may be aware, the Division has successfully completed its mandate to comply with the 2007 referendum, which the Legislature approved and the voters of Suffolk County passed, which authorized the accelerated borrowing and bonding capacity out to the year 2030. That accelerated bonding capacity gave the Division of Real Property Acquisition and Management the ability to purchase up to \$209 million worth of property.

So what you're seeing before you on the last column to the right is the amount of that bonded Quarter Percent Drinking Water Protection Fund. And I'll follow it through for you. You have the balance of the account. You have less the amount of contracts that the County is -- been fully executed contract with. You have accepted offers that have -- not have fully executed contracts; some do have authorizing resolutions, some do not, but all of them have accepted offers at this point. You have that giving you your total expenditure. You have the amount for future negotiation. Then you have the line that says "in negotiation". Those right now are offers that have been tendered out to sellers, but have not been accepted. So understanding that about 50% to 55% of all offers are rejected, we do have some room in that number. But that does leave you now showing the red number at the bottom, that means that all of the money that was given or proposed by the Legislature for the Division to purchase open space has been fully committed at this time. So if every one of those offers that's outstanding accepts, we are already now into obligations for the Pay-As-You-Go funding for next year.

LEG. SCHNEIDERMAN:

May, I --

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Could you just explain the very last number under the totals? The 27 million.

DIRECTOR GREENE:

The total all the way to the right?

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Yes.

DIRECTOR GREENE:

That's all the funding sources so you still have -- there's some old Drinking Water Protection money still available. There's some specifically for South Setauket Woods.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

The Multifaceted?

DIRECTOR GREENE:

Correct. That's the total -- the total all the way to the right of all the funding sources.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

And the Multifaceted.

DIRECTOR GREENE:

The Multifaceted again.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Okay. All right. And, Legacy, is that a revolving amount that goes into the Capital Budget every year?

DIRECTOR GREENE:

Legacy has been appropriated. However, there has been, if you will, a policy decision to use the Quarter Percent funding first --

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Right.

DIRECTOR GREENE:

-- before going to new bonding.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Okay.

DIRECTOR GREENE:

So, again, there is the money -- there is the fund in Legacy, which this, if it were a partnership acquisition, would qualify under.

LEG. SCHNEIDERMAN:

Right, Legacy requires --

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Oh, right. Because that was -- wait to be recognized. Okay, so you could apply the Legacy funding because it would be a 50/50 partnership with the Town.

DIRECTOR GREENE:

If directed to use that revenue source, we would.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Okay, all right. Thank you. We'll let Planning do the presentation and then we'll go ahead with questions after that. I just wanted to go over the actual monies first. Is my voice not coming over? Can you use a mike?

MS. HALLORAN:

I just want to say that Budget Review has written that there -- it should be considered that there are also cash balances building up now in the Quarter Percent Drinking Water Protection Program. The presentation before you here includes -- it's a little bit skewed because it includes every property as if it would go to closing, which certainly most of the ones in contract will, but not all the others will and not all the closings will happen in 2011, which is another point. But we feel a significant amount of cash could be building up in the Quarter Percent Drinking Water Protection Program that is not included in these figures. So by the end of 2011 when bonding ends -- well, we have some preliminary figures. It could be 56 million in projected cash balances.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

And those are cash balances in the portion of the Quarter Percent that's allocated for land acquisition?

MS. HALLORAN:

Yes.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

In that subheading.

MS. HALLORAN:

Yes.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Would you be able to give us a memo on that?

MS. HALLORAN:

Yes.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Can budget Review give us a memo on that, please? Because it's important to have those numbers as well.

MS. HALLORAN:

Yes.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

So -- and that's been building up over the years that we've been bonding.

MS. HALLORAN:

Yes, because a certain amount is only permitted for borrowing and then -- I believe it's 80%. And, you know, I don't have the detailed -- for debt service, I meant to say. I don't have the detailed information in front of me, but I would like to -- and we're still fine-tuning it, but we are projecting -- it's a projection -- but we are projecting it.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

This is a very important conversation to have because we're looking at -- right, we're looking at the debt service that we have and how are you framing those numbers vis-à-vis debt service?

MS. HALLORAN:

That certain amount is --

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Let her finish.

MS. HALLORAN:

You know, I have to check the wording exactly, but I know a certain amount has to be put aside for debt service and there is also cash balances building up in the program. And it will continue to build up each year once bonding ends at the end of this year.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

I'm going to ask all members to let's hold this conversation until the next meeting. It's less than two weeks. I'd like to get -- okay, if it's a short question, we'll get to you. But I don't want to spend a whole meeting, you know, with a very long discussion on something on which we don't have all the facts.

MS. HALLORAN:

Okay.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

I'd like to get all of those numbers. I'd like it to be a presentation at the beginning of the next meeting so that we can fully discuss this with all of the information before us because this is a -- these are big numbers with important ramifications. So I really, Ms. Greene, if you could also, you know, be here for the presentation --

DIRECTOR GREENE:

Of course.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

-- and the discussion next week because it's important to have Budget Review and possibly the Budget Office, you know, the Budget Office come and talk about these numbers and how they play out and how we can best use what we have there. Important discussion. Legislator Romaine.

LEG. ROMAINE:

I would simply add to that, if I'm not mistaken, Robert Lipp, put out a memo on the monies left, if I'm not mistaken, and was circulated to this Legislature about this. That's why when Miss Greene did her presentation, I'm like, *hmm*, didn't seem to strike me that we were short of money in this program. Yeah, Bob Lipp put out a memo about this.

So I concur with you, Madam Chairman. And I think at our next meeting maybe Mr. Lipp could be here, we'll be in Hauppauge at that time, I will assume. Well, Mr. Lipp could be here. And at that point Miss Greene and anyone from the Budget Office or the Budget Review Office to have a full frank discussion about the numbers.

DIRECTOR GREENE:

Mr. Romaine, I hope I didn't misspeak when I was clear that the number you're seeing --

LEG. ROMAINE:

Right.

DIRECTOR GREENE:

-- what I said puts us into Pay-As-You-Go money, which would come after next year.

LEG. ROMAINE:

Right.

DIRECTOR GREENE:

So I did not infer that --

LEG. ROMAINE:

No, no, no.

DIRECTOR GREENE:

-- there was not Pay-As-You-Go monies.

LEG. ROMAINE:

What we want to do -- we all have different points of view on land acquisition. I happen to be a huge fan. But obviously we can't spend what we don't have. And what we want to have a complete understanding of is how much do we actually have. And looking at these numbers and remembering vaguely Mr. Lipp's memo, it seemed as if there was more money available than what was reflected. Not to offer criticism, but simply in the way you presented it, that's why I think it would be good to have all parties here and have a full and frank understanding of what the funding stream is as we go forward in the next few years. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

And I'll be discussing this with Miss Vizzini, too. I'm sorry, Pam.

DIRECTOR GREENE:

I'm sorry, just to be clear that you're looking at a status of funds as of right now. This does not include anticipated Pay-As-You-Go funds for next year because that's not --

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Any this is generally how you do present it. And so this is additional information that's very important. There was nothing wrong with the presentation. We just have additional information and we should frame one within the other, you know, having all of the information before us. And so I'll be speaking with Miss Vizzini about what we'll be looking at the next EPA meeting. Legislator D'Amaro.

LEG. D'AMARO:

Yes, very quickly. I agree, we should defer this discussion. But I just want to let everyone know I

do have a copy of that e-mail. It is dated February 28th and it -- with respect to the quarter cent sales tax proceeds, based on borrowing the remaining 56 million for this year, it concludes that we make -- and I'll read, *very well may have a balance of over 80 million for cash purchases at the start of 2012.* So there's an opening point for discussion at the next meeting. Okay.

LEG. ROMAINE:

I remember that memo.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Okay. Well, we'll have that memo also before us and every other piece of pertinent information will be before us at the next meeting.

LEG. ROMAINE:

Maybe Legislator D'Amaro can e-mail that to Miss Greene.

DIRECTOR GREENE:

You just made the staff of Acquisition very happy.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

They're all saying ca-ching. Okay.

So now let us go to -- go ahead Laretta.

MS. FISCHER:

Good afternoon. I just want to go through the various points on this acquisition for you. The map in front of you shows the proposed acquisition in red; outlined in red. We have estimated this at 415 acres, 416 acres. We'll need to just verify that down the road.

Adjacent to this outlined in yellow is the Links at East Quogue that we are presently in the process of acquiring. That is an area of 150 acres relative to this acquisition.

The property outlined in red, there is a portion of it approximately 86 acres north of Sunrise Highway. This area is completely within the Pine Barrens Core area and this is situated within and surrounded by County properties known as the Maple Swamp County Park and Sears Bellows to the east.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Excuse me, Laretta.

MS. FISCHER:

Yes.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

I don't mean to interrupt you.

MS. FISCHER:

No problem.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

But when it's Pine Barrens is it -- I mean we don't usually -- we treat that differently.

MS. FISCHER:

Yes. We already have a standing planning steps resolution to be able to acquire properties within the core at the present time.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Okay. So that northerly piece, the piece north of 27 --

MS. FISCHER:

Right.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

-- is not included in what we're talking about now?

MS. FISCHER:

Well, yes, it was included in the resolution, but I'm just indicating to you that those are, in fact, in the core and it's redundant in the sense that it's covered by a -- an approved planning steps resolution to acquire in the core.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

So in order for the resolution to be correctly drawn up those should be pulled out of that resolution. Shouldn't it? Or treated separately?

MS. FISCHER:

Yes, it's redundant. It's not necessary. We just want to bring that to your attention that those could move forward without -- without them included in this resolution.

LEG. ROMAINE:

Point of information. I'd like to ask Counsel. Redundancy doesn't invalidate this resolution as presently drafted. Does it?

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

(Inaudible)

LEG. ROMAINE:

No, I'm just asking Counsel.

MS. SIMPSON:

I don't believe so.

LEG. ROMAINE:

So we could act on this resolution without any problem?

MS. SIMPSON:

Yes.

LEG. ROMAINE:

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

And when it goes to appraisal, then, it would remove that northern piece and the pieces that would be before us or it would just be appraised according to Pine Barrens?

MS. FISCHER:

It'd be appraised -- correct.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Okay.

MS. FISCHER:

Like we do on other properties that straddle both Pine Barrens Core and compatible growth.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

I wanted to be clear on that.

MS. FISCHER:

No problem. Now, as you move south on Sunrise Highway, again, you can see the demarcation of the Pine Barrens Core area to the -- about a thousand feet down from Sunrise Highway. And that area, that line and the properties north of that area are also in the core. The remaining portion of it south of that line to Lewis Road is in the compatible growth area of the Pine Barrens. There are two small lots, red outlined, outside the compatible growth area and, therefore, outside the SGPA area. This is all within, except for those two lots, they're all within the Central Suffolk SGPA.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

How many acres are outside of the core?

MS. FISCHER:

I haven't done the exact. It's about maybe a 100 or so, maybe 120, 25 acres in the core and the remaining outside the core.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

The core or compatible growth?

MS. FISCHER:

Core. The compatible growth is the remaining area 300 and maybe 250 acres or so.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Okay, 250. Okay.

MS. FISCHER:

With the exception of the two small lots.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Okay.

MS. FISCHER:

There have been preliminary subdivision plans as specified before presented to the Town of Southampton. The existing zoning for this area is five-acre zoning, CR 200. The Town has recommended as previously stated a cluster design, a tight cluster so that all 82 yielded lots would be within the southern section of the property, which is the least environmentally significant area. If you take approximately half of the area below Sunrise Highway, it's a very -- the northern half of it is in an extremely environmentally vulnerable area. It's a glacial knob-and-kettle topography; that means it's hills and dales, it's very undulating, you have steep slopes and it's a pine barrens vegetation in a very sandy soil. So whatever disturbance or development you have there can be significant. Again, this is in the Central Suffolk SGPA. This is the aquifer recharge area and very significant for the South Fork.

The other issues that we want to bring before you is the fact that the Town of Southampton has indicated that they would be a willing partner. We don't know at this time to what percentage they would be interested. We are concerned -- going to the rating we gave it 37 points. Obviously, this is an area that is environmentally significant. But again, we'd like to look at this possibly in some type of phased approach or speak with the sponsor to -- and the Town of Southampton to come to an understanding of how to best approach this if this is approved as is.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Okay, but that would not preclude these planning steps to move forward. And then as you work through the planning steps, you work on that phased approach. Is that what you're saying? So we could approve the planning steps and you could still go on with those conversations?

MS. FISCHER:

Yes, we could. I was hoping possibly to do it before it was approved so that we understand if we want to, you know, subtract anything or look at it in a different way that we have that before you as our best approach to this.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Our next meeting is coming up very quickly. I'm just throwing this out to the sponsor. If -- you know, we only have a few weeks and you want an opportunity to look at that and talk about it with the Town.

MS. FISCHER:

And the sponsor.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

And, of course, with the sponsor. Would it -- maybe if we could just table it for one cycle to take a look at how we could approach this. It is a very big piece of property.

LEG. SCHNEIDERMAN:

We did write it up as a Master List so that it could be done in phases so that it could, you know -- so portions could be purchased and not necessarily the whole thing. The Pine Barrens pieces, some of the more important groundwater protection pieces. Again, I realized in looking at it that a property like this could be a victim of its own size. Of course, we want get the biggest possible parcels to protect groundwater and contiguous woodland areas, yet, you know, we get nervous having limited amounts of funds. And I was a little nervous with framing the conversation with the numbers. But this one might qualify under Legacy, which I think is important as well. And maybe all of it doesn't have to be preserved. Maybe the more important sections would have to be.

So I don't have a problem if you want to wait a couple weeks, if you want to have more conversations. We did have conversations with the Town about a phased approach. I recall, Lauretta, right? That was part of our discussion.

MS. FISCHER:

I wasn't there, but I believe Catherine did indicate that to me.

LEG. SCHNEIDERMAN:

Right. And I think that -- okay, you weren't there, but we did have that conversation as well. And the Town has their own approach to preserving. They've done things like that. They've done installment types of sales, which we have not as a County done.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

And, you know, Jay, I'd love to have our new Planning Director on board, you know, after next Tuesday while we're working through this major acquisition. It would just be another nice piece of -- tabling this for a couple of weeks and let her get on board and work with Lauretta and be part of this process.

LEG. SCHNEIDERMAN:

Okay. And, you know, while the owners are here, I don't know if they ever get into the conversation. But if anybody has questions about this property, that might not be able to be answered next time, you might want to vet them today. So I only have another few minutes myself. So if you want to put it off, I don't have an objection.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Okay. Actually because of the comments by Miss Fischer, I tend to want to table it for the two weeks for the different reasons that I've mentioned that I'd like to have Miss Lansdale also on board and in the Department working with this. This is a big acquisition. There's no reason for me to

believe that we won't be approving it because it's on sensitive property. It's contiguous to County parkland and other acquisitions that we're looking at. And it's also, you know, Pine Barrens and Pine Barren compatible growth area. So there's every reason to believe that -- it has a high rating. Just as its size scares us, its size makes it get a higher rating. So it's got all the good reasons for us to approve it. I would just like to respect the request by Miss Fischer to wait a couple of weeks.

LEG. SCHNEIDERMAN:

I just would like to find out maybe from Counsel, particularly in light of the fact that we're not acting today, I may need to amend it to include the Legacy Fund. So if I could just find out -- the way it's written, would it allow us to use Legacy funds as an option or do I need to make that change to the bill? Because in which case we would have had to table anyway.

MS. FISCHER:

Oh, you do have it in here.

LEG. SCHNEIDERMAN:

It does enable Legacy as well?

MS. FISCHER:

Yes.

LEG. SCHNEIDERMAN:

Okay, okay.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Great. Legislator D'Amaro has question.

LEG. D'AMARO:

Just a quick question. If we go with a piecemeal approach, so we would be, let's say, bundling tax lots, I guess, on some kind of priority with the discussion to be had with the Town and the owner, would we still be getting rating forms as that goes forward? I mean -- or are you looking for a -- passing a comprehensive bill now for all planning steps? Or are we talking about considering the planning steps resolutions separately with the bundled lots together? Because you had mentioned that -- or I think the sponsor had mentioned some of these parcels, or you both mentioned, some of these parcels are less environmentally sensitive than others. And it seems to that me if we're going to consider parcels separately, maybe we should do that from the get-go, from the beginning with the ratings for the various proposed purchases.

MS. FISCHER:

We can do it any way you wish. We can look at it however you wish us to look at it. I have no objection to putting it together nor pulling it apart and looking at them in separate areas. So whatever you feel the sponsor would like to do and you would like, I have no problem doing it.

LEG. D'AMARO:

It might even make more sense not only considering them separately, maybe three or four resolutions with separate ratings attached; but also just for purposes when we look at the funds available, it'll help us to prioritize. So that may be something the sponsor wants to consider.

LEG. SCHNEIDERMAN:

You know, my one concern, because it is a big lot, you know, to the owners really who, you know, have to weigh their options as well in terms of development, you know, I would think that they would need to know what the County's intentions were whether, you know, we're preserving three quarters of it or whatnot. So that may affect the decision whether they're willing sellers or not. Right now I understand they are willing sellers, but if it becomes a different type of acquisition, they may pursue other options. And I don't know whether we'd want to bring them up. I think their rep is here. Do you want to comment on that? Is this an all-or-nothing? Or do we have some options

here?

MR. BRUYN:

For the record again, Wayne Bruyn, of O'Shea, Marcincuk and Bruyn for the landowner.

I think what Legislator Schneiderman just said is the concern of the landowner. This is a large parcel. The development of it -- it depends on looking at the property as a whole not just individual pieces. We'd be very concerned that there is no comprehensive Master Plan in an acquisition or otherwise. The landowner would need to know what the plan and what the proposed acquisition is right up front. So I think the approach that you're seeking, you're planning as a Master Plan as a whole doesn't preclude you from taking the pieces at a later date if my client is willing and we know what the plan is overall.

LEG. D'AMARO:

Just to comment on that, from your perspective, I understand that; but from our perspective we rely heavily on rating forms for acquisition and even whether or not to start the planning step process. And if we're going to be purchasing, I'm not saying one lot at a time, but large tracts at a time, let's say, those different proposed planning steps and purchases will probably have different ratings based on the location of the property.

So, I agree with you, you want to have full disclosure up front when deciding whether or not to sell or not. And that's why I'm raising the issue now that if we did it as separate planning steps, if we could get a handle on what the piecemeal approach may be, the large tracts may be, we may pass all of them, we may pass, you know, I don't know, three out of four or whatever the numbers are. And then you will be in the best position to know, you know, your client will know what the County is proposing even to pursue. Because I would hate to go through that whole process and then find out at the end of the day that certain part of the acquisition has a very low rating. And then, you know, your expectation would be that it was all -- we were purchasing all the property, but the County's not willing to go forward and purchase all the property. I think it's better to just kind of get that out in the open now. That would be my preference.

MS. FISCHER:

I mean, we can -- that was, I think, the intent of looking at this in the next few weeks to come up with an approach. And we would be happy to do that.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

And one thing I want to keep in mind is if we do create several parcels, then we would have to order a separate appraisal for each if it's under a separate resolution. And I'm wondering about the cost of having all those -- I mean, it does cost us money every time we pay for an appraisal and we have to pay for two appraisals each time.

DIRECTOR GREENE:

It does. There may, of course, be an argument that the cost of one appraisal for 400 acres may be similar in scope as three appraisals for, you know, smaller amount.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Okay. Thank you, you answered my question because that's what I was getting at is; is there a big cost differential? And we would have take that into account.

LEG. SCHNEIDERMAN:

Can I say, an appraisal would likely show, though, differences in terms of the value of the Pine Barrens Core area, the compatible growth area and the area outside the compatible growth on a per acre basis or lot basis. So we would have that even with one appraiser. Obviously there's going to be more than one appraisal. It's going to be at least two, by your own policies, and maybe three if the Town is doing their own appraisal.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Okay. All right. Well, I'm going to make a motion to table.

LEG. D'AMARO:

Second.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Seconded by Legislator D'Amaro. All in favor? Opposed? Stands tabled. **1308 stands tabled. (VOTE: 4-0-0-1 Not Present: Legislator Cooper)** Legislator Schneiderman, thank you for joining us.

CEQ RESOLUTIONS

CEQ resolutions, Mr. Mule.

MR. MULE:

This was a brief CEQ meeting at the last one. So, starting off with the one and only CEQ resolution, **CEQ resolution 18-2011 - Ratification of Recommendations for Legislative Resolutions Laid on the Table March 8, 2011.** The marked-up packet was forwarded to you with CEQ's recommendations, again, in the left-hand margin, mostly Type II actions or completions of SEQRA. No Type I actions and pretty pro forma. Nothing out of the ordinary.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Thank you. I'll make a motion to approve, seconded by Legislator D'Amaro. All in favor? Opposed? Motion carries. **Approved (VOTE: 4-0-0-1 Not Present: Legislator Cooper)** Before you leave us --

MR. MULE:

Yes.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

How did the DGEIS public hearing go?

MR. MULE:

It was actually rather brief. It was about an hour in length. We had --

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

I heard, I had said I was going to be there late and Tom called me and said *don't come*.

MR. MULE:

We had nine speakers, two written comments submitted; actually surprising at the turnout. So the next step now is to have the transcript and the written comments come before CEQ. CEQ will make a recommendation to the Legislature on moving forward with the final or not.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Actually, I know what happened, Michael, everybody tried to read it before they arrived and they all went to sleep.

MR. MULE:

I wouldn't doubt it.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Okay. Thank you, Michael.

MR. MULE:

Thank you.

TABLED RESOLUTIONS

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Okay. **IR 1207- Authorizing planning steps for the acquisition of land under the Suffolk County Drinking Water Protection Program, as amended by Local Law No. 24-2007 Peconic Land Trust, Inc. Property Town of Southampton (Schneiderman)**

LEG. D'AMARO:

Madam Chair, at the request of the sponsor, who was just here, he requested tabling so I'll offer that motion.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Okay. Motion by Legislator D'Amaro to table, seconded by myself. All in favor? Opposed? **IR 1207 stands tabled. (VOTE: 4-0-0-1 Not Present: Legislator Cooper)**

IR 1229 - Authorizing planning steps for the acquisition of land under the Suffolk County Drinking Water Protection Program, as amended by Local Law No. 24-2007, the Zoumas property, Town of Riverhead (SCTM No. 0600-075.00-03.00-004.000). (Romaine)

LEG. ROMAINE:

Motion to table.

LEG. D'AMARO:

Second.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Motion to table by Legislator Romaine, seconded by Legislator D'Amaro. All in favor? Opposed? **IR 1229 stands tabled. (VOTE: 4-0-0-1 Not Present: Legislator Cooper)**

INTRODUCTORY RESOLUTIONS

IR 1278, we have acted on that.

IR 1295 - Authorizing planning steps for the acquisition of land under the Suffolk County Drinking Water Protection Program, as amended by Local Law No. 24-2007 - Saw Mill Creek addition - Town of Riverhead (SCTM No. 0600-131.00-01.00-002.001). (Romaine)

LEG. ROMAINE:

Motion to table.

CHAIRPERSON VILORIA-FISHER:

Motion to table by Legislator Romaine, seconded by Legislator Muratore. All in favor? Opposed? **IR 1295 stands tabled. (VOTE: 4-0-0-1 Not Present: Legislator Cooper)**

We acted on 1306 and 1308. No, I'm sorry. Sorry, I marked it by accident. I apologize.

IR 1306 - Amending Resolution No. 712-2010, amending the Adopted 2010 Operating Budget to transfer funds from Water Quality Protection (Fund 477) and amending the 2010 Capital Budget and Program and appropriating funds in connection with stormwater system discharge remediation and stream water silt removal and remediation at the Nissequogue Tributary Headwaters North from CR 76, Townline Road, to Miller's Pond, Smithtown (CP 8710). (Kennedy) And this has gone through the -- through all of the necessary steps. And I will make a motion to approve, seconded by Legislator Muratore. All in favor? Opposed? **IR 1306 stands approved. (VOTE: 4-0-0-1 Not Present: Legislator Cooper)**

And that concludes our agenda. Is there any other business before us? If not, thank you and goodbye.

[THE MEETING CONCLUDED AT 2:10 P.M.]

{ } DENOTES SPELLED PHONETICALLY