

Budget Amending Resolution No. 1

Introduced by Presiding Officer Lindsay, Deputy Presiding Officer Vilorio-Fisher and Legislators Cooper, Gregory, Kennedy, Nowick, and Schneiderman

RESOLUTION NO. 979-2010, ADOPTING THE 2011 OPERATING BUDGET, A FISCALLY RESPONSIBLE PLAN FOR SERVICE DELIVERY AND ACCOUNTABILITY FOR SUFFOLK COUNTY RESIDENTS (MANDATED)

WHEREAS, the 2011 Recommended Operating Budget and 2011 Adopted Budget must comply with Local Law Nos. 21-1983 and 29-1995; and

WHEREAS, Local Law No. 29-1995 established the simplified dual budgetary process requiring that separate Mandated and Discretionary portions of the budget be adopted; and

WHEREAS, the Legislature desires to reorder certain County priorities in the 2011 Operating Budget to assure continuity of efficient delivery of health, and other government services to the residents of Suffolk County, while remaining within the framework of no increase in the General Fund Property Tax; and

WHEREAS, it is necessary to make certain cuts in appropriations including salaries, equipment and supplies, and to reduce surplus program expenses to facilitate the reordering of priorities; and

WHEREAS, it is necessary to reprioritize to restore appropriations for under funded senior citizen programs, nutrition services for the needy such as soup kitchens and food distribution, and other community based organizations that provide a network of necessary services to the residents of Suffolk County; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 603.7 (e) of the regulations of the State University of New York Board of Trustees, the Suffolk County Community College is authorized and collects \$300 per FTE (full time equivalent) student from other counties, which upon transfer to Suffolk County offsets the college's debt service; and

WHEREAS, the total accumulation held by the Suffolk Community College is \$719,380 and this amount is to be transferred to the County for the intended purpose to offset the college's debt service; and now therefore be it

PROCEDURAL GUIDELINES

1st RESOLVED, that the County Comptroller and County Executive shall post all revenue increases, revenue decreases, appropriation increases, or appropriation decreases contained in this Resolution directly to the pertinent line item, organization or object, or revenue account in the Adopted 2011 Operating Budget; shall place all personnel changes contained in this Resolution in the Adopted 2011 Operating Budget; shall place and post all appropriation and revenue changes or adjustments contained in this Resolution pertaining to fiscal year 2011 for the purpose of calculating the effect on the 2011 tax warrant; and shall place, post, and make all appropriation, revenue, personnel, and programmatic changes or adjustments contained in this Resolution in the pertinent line item, organization, and sub-object for fiscal years 2010 and/or 2011, all as set forth in the attached Schedule "A", not to be construed as amending the Adopted 2010 Operating Budget because the 2010 Estimated Column contained in the Recommended

2011 Operating Budget shall be construed as informational in nature in connection with the calculation of the 2011 tax warrant and shall be of no legal force or effect; and be it further

2nd RESOLVED, that the Executive Budget Office shall revise and amend the Status of Funds contained within the 2011 Recommended Operating Budget to be consistent with and reflect the changes that appear in Schedule "A" and in any Budget Amendment Resolution enacted subsequent to the adoption of this Resolution; and be it further

POLICY INITIATIVES

3rd RESOLVED, that savings are achieved by rescheduling the Police recruit class in 2011 to allow Academy staff to be deployed to patrol during summer months rather than incurring increased overtime; and that the proceeds of these savings be applied to purchase technology and equipment to enhance public safety; and be it further

VETOED

4th RESOLVED, that pursuant to Section 603.7 (e) of the regulations of the State University of New York Board of Trustees, the Suffolk County Community College is authorized and collects \$300 per FTE student from other counties as their share toward the payment of debt service and this amount has accumulated to \$719,380 and therefore the Treasurer is hereby authorized to coordinate the transfer of this amount from the College to the County to offset the college's debt service which is paid from the General Fund; and be it further

COUNTY ROAD FUND 105

5th RESOLVED, that it is the policy of the Legislature that the County Road Fund 105 be reconstituted pursuant to New York State Highway Law and receive Motor Vehicle Use Fees pursuant to Chapter 363 of the Suffolk County Code and Consolidated Highway Improvement Program funds pursuant to Section 10-c of the State Highway Law and that such County Road Fund 105 is and shall be a transparent presentation of revenue and expenditures; and be it further

BUDGET AMENDMENT CALCULATIONS

6th RESOLVED, that any Budget Amendment Resolution enacted subsequent to adoption of this Resolution, containing budgetary adjustments that are consistent with either an appropriation decrease, appropriation increase, revenue increase, or revenue decrease provided for in this Resolution, shall be treated as an adjustment to be calculated cumulatively from the adopted figures contained in this Resolution; and be it further

7th RESOLVED, that the Executive Budget Office shall create lower level organizations, also known as "Pseudo Code Index Nos.," for any contract agencies designated as "XXXX" in this Resolution; and be it further

RETIREMENT CONTRIBUTION RESERVE FUND

8th RESOLVED, that the transfer from the Tax Stabilization Reserve Fund to the Retirement Reserve Fund as shown in the Adopted 2011 Operating Budget is hereby authorized and the expenditure of \$30 million from the Retirement Contribution Reserve Fund to pay employer retirement contribution expenses in 2011 is hereby authorized in accordance with Section 6-r of New York General Municipal Law; and be it further

PROGRAMMATIC FUNDING

9th **RESOLVED**, that any line item funding provided under this Resolution for a specific purpose, objective, or entity shall not be used or allocated for any other purpose, objective, or entity, unless specifically approved via duly enacted resolution of the County of Suffolk; and be it further

10th **RESOLVED**, that any line item appropriation provided under this Resolution for programmatic purposes shall be expended by the pertinent County Department, Office, or Agency under which such appropriation is allocated and said pertinent County Department, Office, or Agency is hereby authorized, empowered, and directed to expend such appropriations and implement such program without need for further subsequent authorizing or enabling County legislation, unless otherwise directed by other duly enacted Resolution or Law of the County of Suffolk; and be it further

PROGRAMMATIC CONTINGENCY FUNDS

11th **RESOLVED**, that any appropriations placed in a Programmatic Contingency Account i.e., Contingency Account No. 115-MSC-1991 under this Budget, pursuant to Section 4-23 of the SUFFOLK COUNTY CHARTER, may only be transferred, expended, or utilized for the specific purpose pursuant to a separate subsequent duly enacted Resolution of the County of Suffolk; and be it further

12th **RESOLVED**, that any appropriations placed in a Programmatic Contingency Account i.e., Contingency Account No. 001-MSC-1991 under this Budget, pursuant to Section 4-23 of the SUFFOLK COUNTY CHARTER, may only be transferred, expended, or utilized for the specific purpose pursuant to a separate subsequent duly enacted Resolution of the County of Suffolk; and be it further

13th **RESOLVED**, that any appropriations placed in a Programmatic Contingency Account i.e., Living Wage Contingency Account No. 001-MSC-1998 under this Budget, pursuant to Section 4-23 of the SUFFOLK COUNTY CHARTER, may only be transferred, expended, or utilized for the specific purpose pursuant to a separate subsequent duly enacted Resolution of the County of Suffolk; and be it further

EMHP CHARGEBACK

14th **RESOLVED**, that the County Employee Medical Health Plan (EMHP) costs for 2011 shall be charged back on the basis of enrollees, rather than budgeted appropriations, by the County Department of Audit and Control, which shall also establish an annual reserve and/or accrual to preserve appropriations to prevent IBNR from closing to fund balance; and be it further

PROPERTY TAXES

15th **RESOLVED**, that the line item revenue designated "Real Property Taxes" for any fund in this Resolution shall not be construed as adopted by any action taken on this Resolution, since said column is only presented for informational purposes in connection with the calculation of the 2011 tax levy and tax warrant which tax levy and tax warrant shall be adopted and set by separate subsequent resolution; and be it further

APPLICABILITY

16th **RESOLVED**, that this Resolution shall take effect January 1, 2011, except as RESOLVED clauses 1st, 2nd, 5th, 6th, 7th, 8th, 14th, 15th, 16th, 17th, 18th and 19th shall take effect immediately or as otherwise provided therein, and nothing contained herein shall be construed as approving or ratifying the 2010 Estimated Column, as revised or restated, contained in the mandated portion of the Recommended 2011 Operating Budget, which column is only presented for informational purposes in connection with the calculation of the 2011 tax levy and tax warrant which tax levy and tax warrant shall be adopted and set by separate subsequent resolution; and be it further

SEVERABILITY

17th **RESOLVED**, that if any clause, sentence, paragraph, subdivision, section, or part of this Resolution or the application thereof to any person, individual, corporation, firm, partnership, entity, or circumstance shall be adjudged by any court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid or unconstitutional, such order or judgment shall not affect, impair, or invalidate the remainder thereof, but shall be confined in its operation to the clause, sentence, paragraph, subdivision, section, or part of this Resolution, or in its application to the person, individual, corporation, firm, partnership, entity, or circumstance directly involved in the controversy in which such order or judgment shall be rendered; and be it further

AMENDMENT

18th **RESOLVED**, that clauses denominated 1st through 13th RESOLVED Clauses contained on pages 1through 3 of the 2011 Recommended Operating Budget Volume 2 Mandated are hereby stricken and are not adopted and are not approved; and be it further

19th **RESOLVED**, that the Recommended 2011 Mandated County Operating Budget is hereby amended as shown on Schedule "A" annexed hereto and made a part hereof.

DATED: November 3, 2010

APPROVED BY:

County Executive of Suffolk County

Line item vetoed as set forth above and in accompanying veto message.

Date: November 15th 2010

VETO OVERRIDE ADOPTED ON NOVEMBER 16, 2010

Expenditures																	
Omni Code	Exp	Fd.	Unit	Act	Agency	Unit Name	Activity Name	Obj.	Object Name	2010 Estimated	2010 Revised Estimate	2010 Difference Revised - Est	2011 Recommended	2011 Adopted	2011 Diff Adopt - Rec	2012 Forecast	D/M/O
MO14	E	001	6118	0000	DSS	Instructional Foster Care		4690	Assistance Programs	\$14,800,000	\$14,800,000	\$0	\$15,000,000	\$14,000,000	(\$1,000,000)	\$14,420,000	M
MO33	E	001	9010	0000	ERP	Retirement	NON-CONTRACT AGENCY	8280	State Retirement	\$40,240,771	\$40,240,771	\$0	\$52,966,691	\$52,566,691	(\$400,000)	\$54,143,692	M
MO39	E	001	1991	0000	MSC	Contingent	NON-CONTRACT AGENCY	1880	Undistributed Salary Adjustmen	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$21,446,953	\$0	(\$21,446,953)	\$0	M
Revenues																	
Omni Code	Rev	Fd.	Agny	Rev.	Revenue Description					2010 Estimated	2010 Revised Estimate	2010 Difference Revised - Est	2011 Recommended	2011 Adopted	2011 Diff Adopt - Rec	2012 Forecast	D/M/O
MO14	R	001	DSS	4619	Child Care (Ade - Fg)					\$18,523,217	\$18,523,217	\$0	\$19,136,249	\$19,027,649	(\$108,600)	\$19,598,478	M
MO19	R	001	IFT	R818	Transfer Suffolk Comm College					\$503,089	\$503,089	\$0	\$513,151	\$1,232,531	\$719,380	\$1,269,507	M
MO24	R	001	SHF	4368	Jail: Ssa Incentive Program					\$0	\$70,000	\$70,000	\$0	\$60,000	\$60,000	\$0	M
Notes to the Omni Codes																	
MO14	Notes: This portion of the resolution is the mandated companion to Omni Code DO14 and reduces DSS Institutional Foster Care program costs by \$1.0 million to reflect additional foster care placement savings to accrue from maintaining current CPS/Foster Care staff levels via continuation of the auto fill policy plus hiring the new CPS Team in 2011. The net County savings is \$891,400 after a reduction of \$108,600 (10.86%) in associated federal aid. See Budget Review Office report, pages 268-270.																
MO19	Notes: This portion of the resolution transfers \$719,380 from the College to the General Fund (001-R818) to account for revenues dedicated for College debt service paid by the General Fund.																
MO24	Notes: This portion of the resolution increases revenue (001-SHF-4368-Jail: Ssa Incentive Program) for incentive payments that are awarded by the Social Security Administration for information provided by the Sheriff concerning inmates receiving benefits while confined to jail. The 2010 estimate for these revenue is \$0, but based on year to date revenue of \$58,200, which covers payments through September, the 2010 estimate should be increased from \$0 to \$60,000. See Budget Review Office Report page 261.																
MO33	Notes: This portion of the resolution is the mandated companion to Omni Code DO33 and reduces the General Fund State Retirement expense \$400,000.																
MO39	Notes: This portion of the resolution is the mandated companion to DO39 and changes the designation of the contingent account (001-MSC-1991) in the General Fund from mandated to discretionary. This account provides funding for possible future union contract settlements that may either be mandated or discretionary. The mandated designation will prohibit these funds from being available for potential discretionary contract settlements. However, the discretionary designation allows funds to be transferred, if necessary, for mandated contract settlements. There is no financial impact of this action on the budget. See discretionary companion Omni Code DO39.																
Fiscal Impact General Fund	Notes: The actions taken in this resolution decrease the General Fund mandated property tax levy by \$23,587,733. This translates into a \$43.15 decrease in the average homeowner tax bill and a decrease of \$0.095 in the tax rate per \$1,000 of full equalized value of property. The discretionary property tax levy in B.A. No. 2 increases the tax levy by an equal offsetting amount for a zero change in the combined (mandated and discretionary) General Fund property tax levy.																
Fiscal Impact Police District	Notes: The actions taken in this resolution do not change the 2011 recommended Police District property tax.																

COUNTY OF SUFFOLK



OFFICE OF THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE

Steve Levy
COUNTY EXECUTIVE

RECORDED
2010 NOV 15 P 11 51
SUFFOLK COUNTY CLERK

Budget Amendment 1- 3rd Resolved

November 15, 2010

Presiding Officer William J. Lindsay and
Members of the Suffolk County Legislature
William H. Rogers Legislative Building
725 Veterans Memorial Highway
Smithtown, New York 11787

Re: **RESOLUTION NO. 979 - 2010, ADOPTING THE 2011 OPERATING BUDGET, A FISCALLY RESPONSIBLE PLAN FOR SERVICE DELIVERY AND ACCOUNTABILITY FOR SUFFOLK COUNTY RESIDENTS (MANDATORY)**

Dear Presiding Officer Lindsay and Members of the Suffolk County Legislature:

On September 16, 2010, I presented you with a fiscally responsible balanced budget that does not increase property taxes, provides vital services to our residents, and protects our historically high bond rating. The budget that you have returned to me will throw my original budget out of balance by increasing spending through phantom revenues. Inevitably, the increase in expenditures will only fall onto the backs of the taxpayers who simply cannot afford it.

The Legislature has proposed a budget that spends the same revenues twice and is therefore unbalanced and illegal. Remarkably, their budget seeks to reopen the nursing home through money it would obtain from closing the nursing home. This type of budgeting is so obviously convoluted, it is hard to believe that it passed muster with a Budget Review Office, that had prided itself on its independence, and a majority of legislators.

Because there is so little time given for legislators to actually read the details of these bills, many were shocked to find out that they were both selling and reopening the nursing home in the same budget. That is, the Legislature accepted the revenues that would come from the sale of the nursing home that I proposed, thereby acquiescing to its closure, and yet used this revenue to pay for the salaries to reopen the nursing home. Simply put, you can't have it both ways.

If it is the intention of the Legislature to reopen the nursing home, you obviously cannot sell it, and therefore you obviously cannot use the \$17 million that will be derived from the sale of the building, the furniture and the license.

The Legislature used this phantom revenue, which will not exist since it is reopening the nursing home, to help fund millions of dollars in extra spending that was added on to my budget. Included in these increases is approximately \$3.5 million of discretionary money that is sprinkled

to individual legislators to be spent at their whim. These types of member item earmarks may be somewhat tolerable in better times, but they are hard to justify in these very difficult economic conditions.

I was also disturbed that the Legislature sought to delay the police class that I proposed by five months. After a year of complaints by various legislators that we are not hiring enough officers, I put forth a budget this year that fully funds over 220 officers over the 2010-11 fiscal years. A class of 60 that I proposed to commence in April of 2011, to my amazement, was postponed by the Legislature. If one listened to the press releases and the rhetoric over the past year, one would think it was imperative to hire these officers immediately. Moreover, the legislative budget cuts the funding that I submitted for police cars that are essential to allow for these graduating officers to be able to actually patrol our neighborhoods.


My proposed budget dealt with the harsh reality that the County needs to remove itself from the nursing home business once and for all. It is time for everyone to face the facts about the nursing home. With the evolution of health care and Medicaid over the last 50 years, the facility is not an almshouse or a home of last resort. The patients in the facility could be served in the 40+ private nursing homes that operate in Suffolk County, or preferably remain in their beds were the Legislature to sell the facility, as I proposed. Despite all the fiscal efficiencies achieved over the last two years, the facility will always lose money. There is no valid reason that the County should continue to compete with the private sector for the provision of nursing home beds at taxpayer expense—especially when counties throughout New York State are recognizing this burden and removing themselves from the nursing home business, and are being encouraged to do so by the State.

Pursuant to Section 4-11 of the SUFFOLK COUNTY CHARTER, the following **resolved clause** is hereby **vetoed** as a separate line item and not as omnibus vetoes of an omnibus amendment to the proposed 2010 County Operating Budget:

3rd RESOLVED, that savings are achieved by rescheduling the Police recruit class in 2011 to allow Academy staff to be deployed to patrol during the summer months rather than incurring increased overtime; and that the proceeds of those savings be applied to purchase technology and equipment to enhance public safety; and be it further

The 2011 Recommended Budget provides full funding for a 2010-2011 hiring plan of 220 police officers. In a move that defies logic, the Legislature's omnibus resolution delays a police class of 60 by five months and uses that funding to cover other spending desires designated by individual legislators in their districts. This is contrary to what many legislators have been purporting for months, that additional police must be started immediately. Some of the funds are being reallocated to pay for "shot spotter" technology, the effectiveness of which we are still studying. Even if it is proven to have merit, it should not be funded at the expense of officers on the street.

Therefore, I urge you to sustain my veto.

Sincerely,

Steve Levy
County Executive of Suffolk County

SL:tv

cc: All Suffolk County Legislators
Gail E. Vizzini, Director, Budget Review Office
Tim Laube, Clerk of the Legislature

COUNTY OF SUFFOLK



RECEIVED

2010 NOV 15 P 4:54

OFFICE OF THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE

Steve Levy
COUNTY EXECUTIVE

SUFFOLK COUNTY, N.Y.
HAUPPAUGE

Document No. MO19

November 15, 2010

Presiding Officer William J. Lindsay and
Members of the Suffolk County Legislature
William H. Rogers Legislative Building
725 Veterans Memorial Highway
Smithtown, New York 11787


Re: **RESOLUTION NO. 979 - 2010, ADOPTING THE 2011 OPERATING BUDGET, A FISCALLY RESPONSIBLE PLAN FOR SERVICE DELIVERY AND ACCOUNTABILITY FOR SUFFOLK COUNTY RESIDENTS (MANDATORY)**

Dear Presiding Officer Lindsay and Members of the Suffolk County Legislature:

Pursuant to Section 4-11 of the SUFFOLK COUNTY CHARTER, the following line item is hereby **approved** as a separate line item and not as omnibus vetoes of an omnibus amendment to the proposed 2010 County Operating Budget:

This portion of the resolution transfers \$719,380 from the College to the General Fund (001-R818) to account for revenues dedicated for College debt service paid by the General Fund.

Pursuant to the 11th RESOLVED clause of the omnibus resolution, \$719,380 is transferred from Suffolk Community College to the General Fund in 2011, which represents an accumulation of funds owed to the County for payment of College debt service. I am not vetoing this specific line item as the funds are due to the General Fund. However, I must note that this represents yet another one-shot revenue source that the Legislature is permanently budgeting in 2011; a move that cannot be repeated in future years. Rather than dedicating this revenue to reducing the general fund tax impact or transferring it to a reserve fund, the Legislature has chosen to spend it in omnibus with no guarantee that similar funding would be available to meet this fiscal demand in years following. It is not fiscally prudent to spend one-shot revenues on new or recurring expenses and it remains unclear how the Legislature will be able to meet the 2012 budget challenges with spending habits like this.

Sincerely

Steve Levy
County Executive of Suffolk County

SL:tv

cc: All Suffolk County Legislators
Gail E. Vizzini, Director, Budget Review Office
Tim Laube, Clerk of the Legislature

SUFFOLK COUNTY
County Legislature
RIVERHEAD, NY



This is to Certify That I, TIM LAUBE, Clerk of the County Legislature of the County of Suffolk, have compared the foregoing copy of resolution with the original resolution now on file in this office, and which was duly adopted by the County Legislature of said County on November 3, 2010 and that the same is a true and correct transcript of said resolution and of the whole thereof.

In Witness Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and the official seal of the County Legislature of the County of Suffolk.

Tim Laube

Clerk of the Legislature

Motion:
 Romaine, Schneiderman, Browning, Muratore, Losquadro
 Eddington, Montano, Cilmi, Lindsay, Vilorio-Fisher, Barraga,
 Kennedy, Nowick, Horsley, Gregory, Stern, D'Amaro, Cooper

Co-Sponsors:
 Romaine, Schneiderman, Browning, Muratore, Losquadro
 Eddington, Montano, Cilmi, Lindsay, Vilorio-Fisher, Barraga,
 Kennedy, Nowick, Horsley, Gregory, Stern, D'Amaro, Cooper

Second:
 Romaine, Schneiderman, Browning, Muratore, Losquadro
 Eddington, Montano, Cilmi, Lindsay, Vilorio-Fisher, Barraga,
 Kennedy, Nowick, Horsley, Gregory, Stern, D'Amaro, Cooper

LD	Legislator	Yes	No	Abs	NP	R
1	Edward P. ROMAINE	/				
2	Jay H. SCHNEIDERMAN	/				
3	Kate M. BROWNING	/				
4	Thomas MURATORE		/			
6	Daniel P. LOSQUADRO		/			
7	Jack EDDINGTON	/				
9	Ricardo MONTANO	/				
10	Thomas CILMI		/			
11	Thomas F. BARRAGA		/			
12	John M. KENNEDY, JR.	/				
13	Lynne C. NOWICK	/				
14	Wayne R. HORSLEY	/				
15	DuWayne GREGORY	/				
16	Steven H. STERN	/				
17	Lou D'AMARO		/			
18	Jon COOPER	/				
5	Vivian VILORIA-FISHER, D.P.O.	/				
8	William J. LINDSAY, P.O.	/				
Totals		13	5			

MOTION

Approve
 Table: _____
 Send To Committee
 Table Subject To Call
 Lay On The Table
 Discharge
 Take Out of Order
 Reconsider
 Waive Rule _____
 Override Veto
 Close
 Recess

APPROVED FAILED _____
 No Motion _____ No Second _____

RESOLUTION DECLARED

ADOPTED
 NOT ADOPTED

Tim Laube

Roll Call Voice Vote _____

SUFFOLK COUNTY
County Legislature
RIVERHEAD, NY



This is to Certify That I, TIM LAUBE, Clerk of the County Legislature of the County of Suffolk, have compared the foregoing copy of resolution with the original resolution now on file in this office, and which was duly adopted by the County Legislature of said County on November 16, 2010 and that the same is a true and correct transcript of said resolution and of the whole thereof.

In Witness Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and the official seal of the County Legislature of the County of Suffolk.

Tim Laube

Clerk of the Legislature

Intro. Res. BA1

Res. No. 979

November 16, 2010

Motion:
 Romaine, Schneiderman, Browning, Muratore, Losquadro
 Eddington, Montano, Cilmi, Lindsay, Vilorio-Fisher, Barraga,
 Kennedy, Nowick, Horsley, Gregory, Stern, D'Amaro, Cooper

Co-Sponsors:
 Romaine, Schneiderman, Browning, Muratore, Losquadro
 Eddington, Montano, Cilmi, Lindsay, Vilorio-Fisher, Barraga,
 Kennedy, Nowick, Horsley, Gregory, Stern, D'Amaro, Cooper

Second:
 Romaine, Schneiderman, Browning, Muratore, Losquadro
 Eddington, Montano, Cilmi, Lindsay, Viloria-Fisher, Barraga,
 Kennedy, Nowick, Horsley, Gregory, Stern, D'Amaro, Cooper

LD	Legislator	Yes	No	Abs	NP	R
1	Edward P. ROMAINE	/				
2	Jay H. SCHNEIDERMAN	/				
3	Kate M. BROWNING	/				
4	Thomas MURATORE		/			
6	Daniel P. LOSQUADRO		/			
7	Jack EDDINGTON	/				
9	Ricardo MONTANO	/				
10	Thomas CILMI		/			
11	Thomas F. BARRAGA		/			
12	John M. KENNEDY, JR.	/				
13	Lynne C. NOWICK	/				
14	Wayne R. HORSLEY	/				
15	DuWayne GREGORY	/				
16	Steven H. STERN	/				
17	Lou D'AMARO		/			
18	Jon COOPER				/	
5	Vivian VILORIA-FISHER, D.P.O.	/				
8	William J. LINDSAY, P.O.	/				
	Totals	12	5	-	1	-

MOTION
<input type="checkbox"/> Approve
<input type="checkbox"/> Table: _____
<input type="checkbox"/> Send To Committee
<input type="checkbox"/> Table Subject To Call
<input type="checkbox"/> Lay On The Table
<input type="checkbox"/> Discharge
<input type="checkbox"/> Take Out of Order
<input type="checkbox"/> Reconsider
<input type="checkbox"/> Waive Rule _____
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Override Veto
<input type="checkbox"/> Close
<input type="checkbox"/> Recess
APPROVED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FAILED _____
No Motion _____ No Second _____

RESOLUTION DECLARED
<input type="checkbox"/> ADOPTED
<input type="checkbox"/> NOT ADOPTED

Tim Laube

Roll Call Voice Vote _____