

RESOLUTION NO. 1096 -2012, AMENDING THE 2012 CAPITAL BUDGET AND PROGRAM AND APPROPRIATING FUNDS IN CONNECTION WITH RESTORATION OF SMITH POINT COUNTY PARK (CP 7162)

WHEREAS, the Commissioner of Parks, Recreation and Conservation has requested funds for planning and construction as per the Comprehensive Resource Management Plan for Smith Point County Park but not limited to, sand replenishment at Smith Point County Park; and

WHEREAS, there are sufficient funds within the 2012 Capital Budget and Program to cover the cost of said planning and construction under Capital Program Number 7162; and

WHEREAS, Resolution No. 471-1994 as revised by Resolution No. 461-2006 established the use of a priority ranking system, implemented in the Adopted 2012 Capital Budget, as the basis for funding capital projects such as this project; and

WHEREAS, the County Legislature, by resolution of even date herewith, has authorized the issuance of \$1,250,000 in Suffolk County Serial Bonds; now, therefore be it

1st **RESOLVED**, pursuant to State Environmental Quality Review Act Environmental Conservation Law, Article 8 (hereinafter "SEQRA"), Resolution Number 656-1997 determined that these actions constitute a Type I action pursuant to the provisions of Title 6 of the New York Code of Rules and Regulations (NYCRR), Part 617.4 (b) (1), (6), and (10) and Chapter 279 of the Suffolk County Code, since it involves adoption of a municipality's Comprehensive Resource Management Plan for Smith Point County Park, which involves activities other than construction of residential facilities that include the physical alteration of more than 2.5 acres within publicly operated parkland which will not have a significant effect on the environment for the following reasons:

- 1.) The proposed action will not exceed any of the criteria in Section 617.7 of Title 6 NYCRR which sets forth thresholds for determining significant effect on the environment;
- 2.) All significant habitats including wetlands, dunes and bird breeding areas within the park will be protected;
- 3.) All necessary DEC permits will be obtained; and
- 4.) The Master Plan will enhance recreational activities;

and be it further

2nd **RESOLVED**, that it is hereby determined that this project, with a priority ranking of thirty-eight (38) is eligible for approval in accordance with the provisions of Resolution No. 471-1994, as revised by Resolution No. 461-2006; and be it further

3rd **RESOLVED**, that the 2012 Capital Budget and Program be and they are hereby amended as follows:

Project No. 7162
Project Title: Restoration of Smith Point County Park

	<u>Total Est'd Cost</u>	<u>Current 2012 Capital Budget & Program</u>	<u>Revised 2012 Capital Budget & Program</u>
1. Planning	\$ 1,160,000	\$ 50,000B	\$ 150,000B
3. Construction	<u>\$11,210,000</u>	<u>\$1,200,000B</u>	<u>\$ 1,100,000B</u>
TOTAL	\$12,370,000	\$1,250,000	\$ 1,250,000


and be it further

4th **RESOLVED**, that the proceeds of \$1,250,000 in Suffolk County Serial Bonds be and are hereby appropriated as follows:

<u>Project No.</u>	<u>Project Title</u>	<u>Amount</u>
525-CAP-7162.115 (Fund 001-Debt Service)	Restoration of Smith Point County Park - Planning	\$150,000
525-CAP-7162.321 (Fund 001-Debt Service)	Restoration of Smith Point County Park - Construction	\$1,100,000

DATED: December 4, 2012

APPROVED BY:



Acting Chief Deputy, County Executive of Suffolk County

Date: 12-20-12

SUFFOLK COUNTY
County Legislature
RIVERHEAD, NY



This is to Certify That I, TIM LAUBE, Clerk of the County Legislature of the County of Suffolk, have compared the foregoing copy of resolution with the original resolution now on file in this office, and which was duly adopted by the County Legislature of said County on December 4, 2012 and that the same is a true and correct transcript of said resolution and of the whole thereof.

In Witness Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and the official seal of the County Legislature of the County of Suffolk.

Tim Laube

Clerk of the Legislature

Intro. Res. 2143

Res. No. 1096

December 4, 2012

Motion:
 Romaine, Schneiderman, Browning, Muratore, Anker
 Calarco, Montano, Cilmi, Lindsay, Hahn, Barraga,
 Kennedy, Nowick, Horsley, Gregory, Stern, D'Amaro, Spencer

Co-Sponsors:
 Romaine, Schneiderman, Browning, Muratore, Anker
 Calarco, Montano, Cilmi, Lindsay, Hahn, Barraga,
 Kennedy, Nowick, Horsley, Gregory, Stern, D'Amaro, Spencer

Second:
 Romaine, Schneiderman, Browning, Muratore, Anker
 Calarco, Montano, Cilmi, Lindsay, Hahn, Barraga,
 Kennedy, Nowick, Horsley, Gregory, Stern, D'Amaro, Spencer

LD	Legislator	Yes	No	Abs	NP	R
1						
2	Jay H. SCHNEIDERMAN					
3	Kate M. BROWNING					
4	Thomas MURATORE					
5	Kara HAHN					
6	Sarah S. ANKER					
7	Rob CALARCO					
9	Ricardo MONTANO					
10	Thomas CILMI					
11	Thomas F. BARRAGA					
12	John M. KENNEDY, JR.					
13	Lynne C. NOWICK					
15	DuWayne GREGORY					
16	Steven H. STERN					
17	Lou D'AMARO					
18	William SPENCER					
14	Wayne R. HORSLEY, D.P.O.		✓			
8	William J. LINDSAY, P.O.	✓				
Totals		17				

MOTION	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Approve
Table:	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	Send To Committee
Table Subject To Call	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	Lay On The Table
<input type="checkbox"/>	Discharge
<input type="checkbox"/>	Take Out of Order
<input type="checkbox"/>	Reconsider
<input type="checkbox"/>	Waive Rule
<input type="checkbox"/>	Override Veto
<input type="checkbox"/>	Close
<input type="checkbox"/>	Recess
APPROVED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	FAILED _____
No Motion _____	No Second _____

RESOLUTION DECLARED
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ADOPTED
<input type="checkbox"/> NOT ADOPTED

Tim Laube

Tim Laube, Clerk of the Legislature

Roll Call _____ Voice Vote