

RESOLUTION NO. 513 -2012, MAKING A SEQRA DETERMINATION IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROPOSED REHABILITATION OF BROWNS CREEK BRIDGE (CP 5850), TOWN OF ISLIP

WHEREAS, the Suffolk County Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) has reviewed a project designated as the "Proposed Rehabilitation of Browns Creek Bridge (CP 5850), Town of Islip", pursuant to Section 6 of Local Law No. 22-1985 which project involves the replacement of a deteriorated bridge that carries Middle Road (CR 65) over Browns Creek; and

WHEREAS, an Environmental Assessment Form (EAF) was prepared and submitted to the CEQ Office by the Suffolk County Department of Public Works and a presentation was made by a representative from LKB, Inc. and subsequently sent out to all concerned parties; and

WHEREAS, at its May 16, 2012 meeting, the CEQ reviewed the EAF and information submitted by the Suffolk County Department of Public Works; and

WHEREAS, the CEQ recommended that the above activity be considered an unlisted action, pursuant to the provisions of Title 6 NYCRR, Part 617 and Chapter 450 of the Suffolk County Code; and

WHEREAS, the CEQ has advised the County Legislature and the County Executive by memo dated May 16, 2012 of said recommendations; and

WHEREAS, Section 450-5(H) of the SUFFOLK COUNTY CODE requires the Presiding Officer to introduce legislation for an appropriate SEQRA determination; and

WHEREAS, the Suffolk County Legislature has reviewed the EAF and the CEQ recommendations; now, therefore be it

1st RESOLVED, that this Legislature hereby determines that the Proposed Rehabilitation of Browns Creek Bridge (CP 5850), Town of Islip constitutes an unlisted action, pursuant to the provisions of Title 6 NYCRR, Part 617 and Chapter 450 of the Suffolk County Code, which project will not have significant adverse impacts on the environment for the following reasons:

- 1) The proposed action will not exceed any of the criteria set forth in Title 6 NYCRR, Part 617.7, which sets forth thresholds for determining significant effect on the environment, as demonstrated in the Environmental Assessment Form;
- 2) The proposal does not appear to significantly threaten any unique or highly valuable environmental or cultural resources as identified in or regulated by the Environmental Conservation Law of the State of New York or the Suffolk County Charter and Suffolk County Code;

- 3) All work will be in compliance with all applicable New York State Department of Environmental Conservation Permits;
- 4) The proposed action should improve tidal flow by reducing the current tidal restriction caused by the existing bridge;
- 5) Construction impacts for this alternative will be temporary and will not result in any long term environmental damage;
- 6) Turbidity barriers and silt fences will be used to mitigate temporary impacts; and
- 7) The existing timber sheeting can be utilized as a cofferdam with much of the work being contained within it;

and be it further

2nd **RESOLVED**, that a copy of this Resolution shall be filed with the Suffolk County Clerk, the initiating unit of said project, and with the CEQ; and be it further

3rd **RESOLVED**, that in accordance with Section C1-4(1)(d) of the SUFFOLK COUNTY CHARTER and Section 450-5(C)(4) of the SUFFOLK COUNTY CODE, the CEQ is hereby directed to prepare and circulate a SEQRA notice of determination of non-significance in accordance with this Resolution.

DATED: **JUN 19 2012**

APPROVED BY:



County Executive of Suffolk County

Date: 6-28-2012

SUFFOLK COUNTY
County Legislature
RIVERHEAD, NY



This is to Certify That I, TIM LAUBE, Clerk of the County Legislature of the County of Suffolk, have compared the foregoing copy of resolution with the original resolution now on file in this office, and which was duly adopted by the County Legislature of said County on June 19, 2012 and that the same is a true and correct transcript of said resolution and of the whole thereof.

In Witness Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and the official seal of the County Legislature of the County of Suffolk.

Tim Laube

Clerk of the Legislature

Intro. Res.

1608

Res. No.

513

June 19, 2012

Motion:

Romaine, Schneiderman, Browning, Muratore, Anker
Calarco, Montano, Cilmi, Lindsay, Hahn, Barraga,
Kennedy, Nowick, Horsley, Gregory, Stern, D'Amaro, Spencer

Co-Sponsors:

Romaine, Schneiderman, Browning, Muratore, Anker
Calarco, Montano, Cilmi, Lindsay, Hahn, Barraga,
Kennedy, Nowick, Horsley, Gregory, Stern, D'Amaro, Spencer

Second:

Romaine, Schneiderman, Browning, Muratore, Anker
Calarco, Montano, Cilmi, Lindsay, Hahn, Barraga,
Kennedy, Nowick, Horsley, Gregory, Stern, D'Amaro, Spencer

LD	Legislator	Yes	No	Abs	NP	R	
1	Edward P. ROMAINE						
2	Jay H. SCHNEIDERMAN						
3	Kate M. BROWNING						
4	Thomas MURATORE						
5	Kara HAHN						
6	Sarah S. ANKER						
7	Rob CALARCO						
9	Ricardo MONTANO						
10	Thomas CILMI						
11	Thomas F. BARRAGA						
12	John M. KENNEDY, JR.						
13	Lynne C. NOWICK						
15	DuWayne GREGORY						
16	Steven H. STERN						
17	Lou D'AMARO		✓				
18	William SPENCER					✓	
14	Wayne R. HORSLEY, D.P.O.		✓				
8	William J. LINDSAY, P.O.				✓		
Totals		16	2				

MOTION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Approve
___ Table: _____
___ Send To Committee
___ Table Subject To Call
___ Lay On The Table
___ Discharge
___ Take Out of Order
___ Reconsider
___ Waive Rule _____
___ Override Veto
___ Close
___ Recess
APPROVED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FAILED _____
No Motion _____ No Second _____

RESOLUTION DECLARED
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ADOPTED
___ NOT ADOPTED

Roll Call _____ Voice Vote

Tim Laube

Tim Laube, Clerk of the Legislature