

**RESOLUTION NO. 647 -2011, MAKING A SEQRA DETERMINATION IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROPOSED SEWER DISTRICT NO. 21 - SUNY STONY BROOK WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT UPGRADE (CP 8121), TOWN OF BROOKHAVEN**

**WHEREAS**, the Suffolk County Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) has reviewed a project designated as the "Proposed Sewer District No. 21 - SUNY Stony Brook Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrade (CP 8121), Town of Brookhaven", pursuant to Section 6 of Local Law No. 22-1985 which project, under consent order from the NYSDEC to meet the limits of the Long Island Sound Study for total nitrogen, involves the expansion of and improvements to the existing wastewater treatment plant in order to treat additional flow; and

**WHEREAS**, an Environmental Assessment Form (EAF) was prepared and submitted to the CEQ office by the Suffolk County Department of Public Works and subsequently sent out to all concerned parties; and

**WHEREAS**, at its June 15, 2011 meeting, the CEQ reviewed the EAF and information submitted by the Suffolk County Department of Public Works; and

**WHEREAS**, the CEQ recommended that the above activity be considered an unlisted action, pursuant to the provisions of Title 6 NYCRR, Part 617 and Chapter 279 of the Suffolk County Code; and

**WHEREAS**, the CEQ has advised the County Legislature and the County Executive by memo dated June 16, 2011 of said recommendations; and

**WHEREAS**, Section 279-5(H) of the SUFFOLK COUNTY CODE requires the Presiding Officer to introduce legislation for an appropriate SEQRA determination; and

**WHEREAS**, the Suffolk County Legislature has reviewed the EAF and the CEQ recommendations; now, therefore be it

**1st RESOLVED**, that this Legislature hereby determines that the Proposed Sewer District No. 21-SUNY Stony Brook Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrade (CP 8121), Town of Brookhaven constitutes an unlisted action, pursuant to the provisions of Title 6 NYCRR, Part 617 and Chapter 279 of the Suffolk County Code, which project will not have significant adverse impacts on the environment for the following reasons:

- 1) The proposed action will not exceed any of the criteria in Section 617.7 of Title 6 NYCRR, which sets forth thresholds for determining significant effect on the environment, as demonstrated in the Environmental Assessment Form;
- 2) The proposed action is required under consent order from the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation;

- 3) The proposed action will help meet discharge limits set forth in the Long Island Sound Study for total nitrogen; and
- 4) Future phases of the overall project, once developed, will undergo a full environmental review prior to implementation;


and be it further

**2nd RESOLVED**, that a copy of this Resolution shall be filed with the Suffolk County Clerk, the initiating unit of said project, and with the CEQ; and be it further

**3rd RESOLVED**, that in accordance with Section C1-4(1)(d) of the SUFFOLK COUNTY CHARTER and Section 279-5(C)(4) of the SUFFOLK COUNTY CODE, the CEQ is hereby directed to prepare and circulate a SEQRA notice of determination of non-significance in accordance with this Resolution.

DATED: **AUG 16 2011**

APPROVED BY:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
County Executive of Suffolk County

Date: **AUG 29 2011**

SUFFOLK COUNTY  
County Legislature  
RIVERHEAD, NY



*This is to Certify That I, TIM LAUBE, Clerk of the County Legislature of the County of Suffolk, have compared the foregoing copy of resolution with the original resolution now on file in this office, and which was duly adopted by the County Legislature of said County on August 16, 2011 and that the same is a true and correct transcript of said resolution and of the whole thereof.*

*In Witness Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and the official seal of the County Legislature of the County of Suffolk.*

*Tim Laube*

---

Clerk of the Legislature

Intro. Res. 1682

Res. No. 647

August 16, 2011

**Motion:**

Romaine, Schneiderman, Browning, Muratore, Anker  
Eddington, Montano, Cilmi, Lindsay, Viloria-Fisher, Barraga,  
Kennedy, Nowick, Horsley, Gregory, Stern, D'Amaro, Cooper

**Co-Sponsors:**

Romaine, Schneiderman, Browning, Muratore, Anker  
Eddington, Montano, Cilmi, Lindsay, Viloria-Fisher, Barraga,  
Kennedy, Nowick, Horsley, Gregory, Stern, D'Amaro, Cooper

**Second:**

Romaine, Schneiderman, Browning, Muratore, Anker  
Eddington, Montano, Cilmi, Lindsay, Viloria-Fisher, Barraga,  
Kennedy, Nowick, Horsley, Gregory, Stern, D'Amaro, Cooper

LD	Legislator	Yes	No	Abs	NP	R
1	Edward P. ROMAINE				/	
2	Jay H. SCHNEIDERMAN					
3	Kate M. BROWNING					
4	Thomas MURATORE					
6	Sarah S. ANKER					
7	Jack EDDINGTON					
9	Ricardo MONTANO					
10	Thomas CILMI					
11	Thomas F. BARRAGA					
12	John M. KENNEDY, JR.					
13	Lynne C. NOWICK					
14	Wayne R. HORSLEY					
15	DuWayne GREGORY					
16	Steven H. STERN					
17	Lou D'AMARO					
18	Jon COOPER					
5	Vivian VILORIA-FISHER, D.P.O.					
8	William J. LINDSAY, P.O.					
	Totals	17	—	—	1	—

MOTION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Approve
___ Table: _____
___ Send To Committee
___ Table Subject To Call
___ Lay On The Table
___ Discharge
___ Take Out of Order
___ Reconsider
___ Waive Rule _____
___ Override Veto
___ Close
___ Recess
APPROVED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FAILED _____
No Motion _____ No Second _____

RESOLUTION DECLARED
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ADOPTED
___ NOT ADOPTED

*Tim Laube*

Roll Call \_\_\_\_\_ Voice Vote